

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/252900062>

Tsunami Hazard Evaluation of the Eastern Mediterranean: Historical Analysis and Selected Modeling

Article in *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* · June 2007

DOI: 10.1785/0120060147

CITATIONS

125

READS

396

5 authors, including:



Amos Salamon

Geological Survey of Israel

52 PUBLICATIONS 1,051 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Thomas K. Rockwell

San Diego State University

320 PUBLICATIONS 7,984 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Steven Ward

University of California, Santa Cruz

119 PUBLICATIONS 5,954 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Emanuela Guidoboni

EEDIS - Centro di documentazione euro-mediterraneo su Eventi Estremi e Disastri...

115 PUBLICATIONS 3,340 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



PREVENT: Prevención de desastres sísmicos en las Béticas Orientales mediante la integración de paleosismología, geodesia GPS, Reevaluación del peligro sísmico y concienciación social. CGL2015-66263-R [View project](#)



Landslide generated impulsive wave [View project](#)



THE MINISTRY OF NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURES
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF ISRAEL

Tsunami Hazard Evaluation of the Eastern Mediterranean: Historical Analysis and Selected Modeling

**Amos Salamon, Thomas Rockwell, Steven N. Ward,
Emanuela Guidoboni, and Alberto Comastri**

Prepared for the
Steering Committee for Earthquake Readiness in Israel

(Updated of report GSI/09/2006)

Jerusalem, December 2008

Tsunami Hazard Evaluation of the Eastern Mediterranean: Historical Analysis and Selected Modeling

by Amos Salamon, Thomas Rockwell, Steven N. Ward, Emanuela Guidoboni, and Alberto Comastri

Abstract Seismic sea waves in the eastern Mediterranean have been reported since written history first emerged several thousand years ago. We collected and investigated these ancient and modern reports to understand and model the typical tsunamigenic sources, with the ultimate purpose of characterizing tsunami hazard along the Levant coasts. Surprisingly, only 35% of the tsunami reports could be traced back to primary sources, with the balance remaining questionable. The tsunamis varied in size, from barely noticeable to greatly damaging, and their effects ranged from local to regional. Overall, we list 21 reliably reported tsunamis that occurred since the mid second century B.C. along the Levant coast, along with 57 significant historical earthquakes that originated from the “local” continental Dead Sea Transform (DST) system. An in-depth evaluation shows that 10 tsunamis are clearly associated with on-land DST earthquakes, and therefore, as formerly suggested, they probably originated from offshore, seismogenically induced slumps. Eight tsunamis arrived from the “remote” Hellenic and Cypriot Arcs, one from Italy, and two are left with as yet unrecognized sources. A major conclusion from this work is that onshore earthquakes commonly produce tsunamis along the Levant coastline, and that analogous situations are present elsewhere in the Mediterranean, as well as along the California coast and in another regions with active faults near the coast.

We modeled three typical scenarios, and in light of the Sumatra experience, we examined the more likely severe magnitudes. This of course leads us toward the upper range of expected run-ups. The models show that sooner than five minutes after a strong earthquake produces an offshore slump, which occurs after close to a third of the large DST earthquakes, a 4- to 6-m run-up may flood part of the Syrian, Lebanese, and Israeli coasts. Tsunamis from remote earthquakes, however, arrive later and produce only 1- to 3-m run-ups, but are more regional in extent.

Online material: Tsunami modeling and reports.

Introduction

Tsunamis in the eastern Mediterranean have attracted much attention for their occurrence in an area with a long and significant history, and because many of them appear to have occurred after onshore earthquakes along the Dead Sea Transform (DST, also referred to as the Levant fault), making it likely that they result from seismogenically induced submarine landslides (Shalem, 1956; Almagor and Garfunkel, 1979; Ambraseys and Melville, 1988; Ambraseys and Barazangi, 1989; Arieh, 1989). Considering the proposed mechanism and the evidence for more than 800 years of seismic quiescence along several segments of the DST (Meghraoui *et al.*, 2003; Daëron *et al.*, 2005; Zilberman *et al.*, 2005), as

well as the lack of a reliable list of events and a comprehensive understanding of the tsunamigenic environment of the eastern Mediterranean, the need for tsunami hazard evaluation is obvious.

Tsunamis in the Middle East were first described in written history a few thousand years ago with early cuneiform texts reporting the flooding of Ugarit, a city along the Syrian coast (near Latakia), by a sea wave (Dussaud, 1896; Virolleaud, 1935; Ambraseys, 1962; Ambraseys *et al.*, 2002). Ancient religious scripts described how the sea fled while the Holy Land trembled (*Amos* 9: 5–9), and later chronicles reported observations of destructive sea waves

associated with violent earthquakes (e.g., Guidoboni *et al.*, 1994; Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005, and references therein).

The original reports are rare, hard to find, and in many cases could not be unequivocally interpreted. Many difficulties appear in interpreting the primary chronicles, and catalog editors inevitably introduce their personal understanding. Consequently, “storm sea waves” may be regarded as seismic sea waves (Ambraseys, 1962), a single earthquake may be separated into several events, and several events may have been merged into one (Karcz, 2004).

Although these and more are genuine complexities, some catalogs unfortunately include entries with no referencing and unverified accounts, many of which have been shown to be borrowed from elsewhere, erroneously listed because of poor translation, or made from inaccurate calendar determinations. This may result in amalgamation, duplication, or omission of true events, exaggeration or diminution of the real size of the events, assignment of a wide range of source parameters (e.g., origin time, epicenter, magnitude) to the same event, and other problems. With time, subsequent generations of seismological compilations appear to compound the problem by recompiling dubious events, unavoidably increasing the bias in the long-lasting effort to construct a complete list of true events.

These real difficulties are extensively and thoroughly addressed by several researchers (e.g., Guidoboni *et al.* 1994; Karcz, 2004; Ambraseys, 2005a) with an emphasis on their implications for the evaluation of earthquake hazards. For example, Ambraseys *et al.* (2002) refer to several case studies where about half the entries were found to be false and emphasize the need for caution in editing such historical data. For this study, we present a detailed compilation of historical reports of tsunamis and earthquakes for the eastern Mediterranean, along with an analysis as to the validity of these reports through cross-referencing with primary sources. We then characterize the typical tsunamigenic sources for this area and model three of them to assess their potential impact in this turbulent region. Finally, we draw parallels with similar settings of near-shore active structures that may have generated tsunamis in the past in other areas of the world.

Assessment of the Historical Data

We focused our attention on the easternmost coast of the Mediterranean, because this is the area affected most by the DST fault system. It includes, from south to north, the coasts of Egypt along the Nile Delta and Sinai, Israel, Lebanon, Syria, and as far north as the Bay of Iskenderun (Alexandretta) in southern Turkey (Fig. 1). Because tsunamis may arrive from afar, we also assessed the potentially remote tsunamigenic sources relevant to the eastern Mediterranean, namely the Cypriot and the Hellenic arcs, the Aegean Sea, and as far west as Italy.

First, we searched the available literature and collected

all of the records for tsunamis along these coasts, as well as all of the accounts of earthquakes that are attributed to rupture along the DST system. We then examined the authenticity of each of the events and found that several detailed studies in the existing literature allow us to validate or question many of these events. The most credible studies were those that analyzed the primary sources and extracted an accurate description of the event with minimal necessary interpretation (translation, historical context, etc.). We focused on constructing a reliable list specifically suitable for our study; but, of course, as new data are discovered and further in-depth analysis of already known chronicles appears, our list will need to be updated.

We screened the data and distinguished between reliable and doubtful reports by cross-referencing each to their original sources and by tracing back to where modern cataloguers compiled their data. We were thus able to resolve many of the ambiguities encountered, merge different entries originating from the same event, exclude duplicated and questionable events, and minimize uncertainties. This resulted in a condensed and more reliable (in our opinion) list of tsunamis and earthquakes than if we had listed every possible event.

Once the list was compiled, it was possible to systematically correlate tsunamis with earthquakes. It then became clear that only about 50% of the tsunamis on the reliable list were parented by local DST earthquakes. For those that had no local source, we expanded our search for causative earthquakes to the entire eastern Mediterranean region, as far west as Italy, and found large earthquakes elsewhere that provided the likely trigger for all but three tsunamis.

All the reliable tsunamis and earthquakes are summarized in Table 1 and presented in Figure 1. The criteria and reasons for how we constructed this table are explained in the following sections.

Sources of Data

Shalem (1956) and Ambraseys (1962) were the first to compile a specific list of tsunamis for the eastern Mediterranean. This was followed by regional compilations by Antonopoulos (e.g., 1979, 1980a–f, 1990), Soloviev *et al.* (2000), and Papadopoulos (2001), and areal investigations for the coasts of Greece (e.g., Galanopoulos, 1960; Papadopoulos and Chalkis, 1984; Papazachos *et al.*, 1986), Turkey (e.g., Altinok and Ersoy, 2000), the Marmara Sea (Ambraseys, 2002), Italy (e.g., Tinti *et al.*, 2004), and Cyprus (Fokaefs and Papadopoulos, 2007). Many examinations targeted specific events, the most notable being the tsunami triggered by the Late Minoan Thera (Santorini) eruption and collapse (e.g., Yokoyama, 1978; McCoy and Heiken, 2000; Minoura *et al.*, 2000, and references therein) and the 9 July 1956, southern Aegean tsunami (e.g., Ambraseys, 1960; Papazachos *et al.*, 1985; Goldsmith and Gilboa, 1986; Van Dorn, 1987; Perissoratis and Papadopoulos, 1999). Far fewer direct field investigations have been conducted for tsunamis

a. Local tsunamis



b. Remote tsunamis




Figure 1. Location of study area and occurrence of local and remote tsunamis that arrived to the eastern Mediterranean (Levant) coast, from Alexandria, Egypt, to Iskenderun Bay, Turkey. Note the probable location of the tsunamigenic earthquakes. Tectonic elements from Daëron *et al.* (2005). (a) Local tsunamis originated from earthquakes along the DST system. (b) Remote tsunamis originated from sources off the DST system, including the Cypriot Arc which is not far from the DST. Map shows Ottoman area during the nineteenth century (modified after Miller, 1913). Plate borders (bold lines) and regions: AS, Aegean Sea; CA, Cypriot Arc; DST, Dead Sea Transform; HA-E, Hellenic Arc east; HA-W, Hellenic Arc west; EAF, East Anatolian fault, Faults: BT, Beirut thrust; CF, Carmel fault; MF, Missyaf fault; P, Palmerides; PTF, Paphos Transform fault; RCF, Rachaiya fault; RoF, Roum fault; SF, Serghaya fault; YF, Yammaouneh fault. Localities: A, Antioch (Antakya); Ak, Akko; Al, Ashkelon; Ap, Aleppo; As, Ashdod; B, Beirut; C, Caesarea; Ca, Cairo; D, Damascus; G, Gaza; H, Homs (Hims); J, Jaffa; K, Kition (near Larnaca); L, Latakia; MS, Messina Straits; P, Paphos; Pe, Pellusium; S, Sidon; Sa, Salamis (near Famagusta); T, Tripoli; Th, Thera (Santorini) Island; Ti, Tiberias; Ty, Tyre; U, Ugarit; Y, Yavneh.

in the Mediterranean, but their potential contribution for risk assessment cannot be underestimated (Dominey-Howes, 2002; Whelan and Kelletat, 2002). Databases of worldwide tsunamis, including those that have occurred in the Mediterranean, which are based on existing catalogs, are available via the internet (at www.ngdc.noaa.gov/ by the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) and omzg.ssc.ru/tsulab/intc522.html by Gusiakov, 1997).

Complimentary information is also found in studies of historical seismicity that describe natural seismogenic effects. The most important and dependable accounts are those that report a tsunami directly from the primary historical sources. Included here, for example, are the catalogs of Guidoboni *et al.* (1994) and Guidoboni and Comastri (2005); historical reviews of Poirier and Taher (1980), Ambraseys (1989, 2004), Ambraseys *et al.* (1994), and Ambraseys and

Table 1
(Continued)

Earthquakes	Tsunamiis										Affected Coasts ^{#,**}									
	Size [†]	Remote [‡]	Local DST [§]	Date*	Size	Egypt	Levant													
Date*							Gaza	Ashkelon	Ashdod	Yavneh	Jaffa	Caesarea	Akko	Tyre	Said/Beir/Tri	Syria	Cyprus	Asia Minor	Greece/Crete	
1872 04 03 07 40	L		N	1872 04 03	S										Ant	~				
1908 12 28 05 20	L	IT		1908 12 28	L	Alx									~	↑				
1918 09 29 12 07	M		N	-																
1927 07 11 13 04	M		C	-																
1953 09 10 04 06	M	CA		1953 09 10	S															
1956 07 09 03 11	M	AS		1956 07 09	M														G, C	
1995 11 22 04 15	L		S	-															~	
Number of Tsunamiis																				
Since mid second century B.C., for this coast							Egypt	Gaza	Ashkelon	Ashdod	Yavneh	Jaffa	Caesarea	Akko	Tyre	Said/Br/Tr/Tri	Syria	Cyprus	Iskendrun	Greece/Crete
Since mid second century B.C., for this country							NC# 6	3	3	3	4	6	5	8	6	3	3	NC# 3	NC# 2	NC#
Since mid second century B.C., Levant, Gaza to Syria										Israel: 11						Lebanon: 6	3			
												Levant: 13								

(E) See complementary information and references regarding the lists of tsunamis and earthquakes in the electronic edition of BSSA.)

*Events are marked by time of occurrence, as detailed as known: year, month, day, hour, minute. Timing of earthquakes and tsunamis does not always match and it may reflect ambiguities in the historical data. We therefore associated a tsunami with its most likely causative earthquake. =, The "reliable period" used for our hazard evaluation starts at about mid second century B.C.

[†]Size of the earthquakes. We follow the broad categories suggested by Ambraseys and Jackson (1998): V, very large event ($M_s \geq 7.8$); L, large ($7.8 > M_s \geq 7.0$); M, moderate ($7.0 > M_s \geq 6.0$); and S, small ($M_s < 6.0$). Estimations were taken from historical, geological, and paleoseismological studies. Where not available, we estimated the size according to our best judgment.

[‡]Regions of remote earthquakes: AS, Aegean Sea; CA, Cypriot Arc; CA-EAF, the transition zone from the Cypriot Arc to the East Anatolian fault, near the triple junction with the DST; HA-E, Eastern Hellenic Arc; HA-W, Western Hellenic Arc; IT, Italy.

[§]Local earthquakes along the DST system, not necessarily on the main transform: N, northern part, in Syria and Lebanon; C, central part, in Israel, from the Hula Valley to the Dead Sea; S, southern part, in Israel, Arava Valley, and southward.

^{||}Areal extent of the tsunami: L, occurred over a wide range or in several distant coasts along the eastern Mediterranean; M, spread along several nearby coasts; S, limited to a few coasts only.

[#]Affected coasts along the eastern Mediterranean are from south to north. Locality abbreviations and historical names: Akko, Acre, Ptolemais, in Israel; Alx, Alexandria, in Egypt; Ant, Antakya (Antioch), in Turkey near the Syrian border; Brt, Beirut, in Lebanon; C, Crete; G, Greece; Isk, Iskenderun, Alexandretta Bay, in Turkey; Pel, Pellusium in Sinai in Egypt; Said, Saida, Sidon, in Lebanon; Tri, Tripoli, in Lebanon; Tyre, Sur, Tsar, in Lebanon.

**Tsunami symbols: ~~~~, reported for a specific site; ~~~, reported at coasts of this country; ~, reported at a general region; "??", tsunami inferred or questionable. Notable phase of the tsunami (if explicitly mentioned in the literature), not necessarily the first one: ↓, down; ↑, up. Order of phases in time is from left to right.

Finkel (1995); critical reviews and reappraisals (Ambraseys and White, 1997; Ambraseys 2002, 2005a,b; Karcz, 2004); and focused investigations of specific events (Ambraseys and Melville, 1988; Ambraseys and Barazangi, 1989; Ambraseys and Karcz, 1992; Darawcheh *et al.*, 2000; Guidoboni *et al.*, 2004a,b). Many other lists draw from both primary and secondary sources, and summarize and update past catalogs (e.g., Plassard and Kogoj, 1968; Ben-Menahem, 1991; Amiran *et al.*, 1994; Khair *et al.*, 2000; Sbeinati *et al.*, 2004). We refer to these works after cross-correlating their data with the primary sources.

Indirect information was also useful for our compilation. Field evidence for historical earthquakes provides a better estimate of the source parameters that might have triggered tsunamis. Among these are paleoseismic investigations that may associate (although with some uncertainties) a specific surface rupture with a given historical event (e.g., Reches and Hoexter, 1981; Marco *et al.*, 1997, 2003, 2005; Ellenblum *et al.*, 1998; Amit *et al.*, 1999; Klinger *et al.*, 2000; Gomez *et al.*, 2001; Neimi *et al.*, 2001; Meghraoui *et al.*, 2003; Zilberman *et al.*, 2004, 2005; Daëron *et al.*, 2005) surface faulting associated with earthquakes (Ambraseys and Jackson, 1998), and reports on lacustrine seismites and deformed layers from the Dead Sea Basin (Enzel *et al.*, 2000; Ken-Tor *et al.*, 2001; Migowski *et al.*, 2004) that may attest to the strength of shaking or distance to many of these events.

List of Tsunamis

The earliest known account of a tsunami reported the flooding of Ugarit, a coastal city along the Syrian coast, in about 1365 ± 5 B.C. (see Ambraseys *et al.*, 2002). The second reported tsunami occurred in the mid second century B.C., probably in about 143 or 142 B.C. and was investigated by Karcz (2004), although its tsunamigenic source has not been fully clarified. As we later examined the seismicity and realized that reports prior to the mid second century B.C. are very likely incomplete, it is reasonable to assume that so is the millennial gap between these two tsunamis. We therefore list the tsunami at Ugarit but disregard it for the statistics.

For each of the selected tsunamis, we examined the time of occurrence and areal spread along the Levant coast (Table 1 and ② supplemental material available in the electronic edition of BSSA). In most cases, the sources cite the specific port or coastal city where the tsunami hit, or just mention the occurrence of the tsunami. In secondary catalogs, however, the region or the country affected by the tsunami is also mentioned but it is not always clear whether this was in the original report or simply the interpretation given by the cataloguer. For example, the chronicles of the 18 January 746 earthquake report that “There was also an extraordinary storm in the sea, such that the waves rose up to the sky” (from Guidoboni *et al.*, 1994; Ambraseys, 2005b), but they do not mention the name of the sea. Later cataloguers put this tsunami “on Mediterranean coast” (e.g., Amiran *et al.*,

1994) or “in Lebanon and Egypt” (Soloviev *et al.*, 2000) which is a reasonable interpretation, but not the only one.

The tsunamis varied in size from barely noticeable to greatly damaging, and ranged from affecting local ports to flooding several coasts from the same event. The historical descriptions do not contain quantitative parameters such as run-up or extent of inundation, but the need for assigning magnitude or intensity to each of the tsunamis is essential. Even the semiquantitative description provided for the 1759 tsunami in Acre (Akko) should be questioned in terms of its interpretation. In that account, “The water rose to 8’ (~2.5 meters) . . .” is a vague record because the height above sea level and the tide at the time of the tsunami are not mentioned. In fact, this figure describes the inundation height at an unknown location in Akko, and in the narrow alleys of the old city, the flowing water has no way to go but to pile up. Thus, the actual run-up cannot be determined without more data.

Nevertheless, these reports provide valuable insights into the tsunamigenic process along the Levant coast. The association of a tsunami with a specific earthquake, the distance they traveled and the extent of their affect along the coast, the reported presence of a receding phase and the damage it caused are all firm data that allow us to qualitatively understand what happened. We estimated the extent of each tsunami by determining whether it was local and limited to only a short part of the coast (S in Table 1), was spread along an extended part of the coast (M), or affected a large part of the eastern Mediterranean coastline (L). We also mention if the tsunami was associated with the receding of the sea, although in most cases it was not possible to determine whether this was also the first phase of the tsunami. At the end of Table 1, we summarize the number of tsunamis listed for each of the coasts and countries included in our study. In total, we count 22 reliably reported tsunamis, of which 21 are from the period between mid second century B.C., when historical accounts are better and more frequent, and the present.

Doubtful Tsunamis

Tsunami reports that could not be substantiated by primary sources were considered doubtful and were therefore excluded from our primary list in Table 1. (③ Supplemental material is available in the electronic edition of BSSA.) Most are doubly reported events or those that were listed erroneously, and some are those with highly questionable dates (e.g., likely due to misinterpretations in calendar date corrections). However, it is possible that some tsunamis on this list actually did occur but are very poorly recorded; future new discoveries may elucidate these events.

In contrast, many of the tsunamis in this group have already been investigated and found questionable. For example, regarding the doubtful tsunami in A.D. 76, Ambraseys (1962) states: “Correct translation of Greek text indicates storm sea-waves, not uncommon in Cyprus.”

Interestingly enough, about 65% of all tsunami entries we found in the literature were categorized here as doubtful, and this is not a unique example (Ambraseys *et al.*, 2002). Tinti *et al.* (2004) listed 45 false tsunamis for the Italian tsunami catalog (ITC), which overall contains 67 reliable entries.

Also, we list at least one real tsunami in the doubtful category because it apparently did not affect the Levantine coast, although it is also possible that there are simply no accounts of it for that region. Specifically, the eruption and collapse of Thera Island around 1627–1600 B.C. (dating by Friedrich *et al.*, 2006) caused a tsunami that struck the Aegean region and affected the Late Minoan culture. The pumice from the eruption found in Cyprus and Israel was critically questioned as a true tsunamite deposit of this event (Dominy-Howes, 2002), although models (that are based on the pumice findings) potentially show that the Late Minoan tsunami could have reached to the Levant (Yokoyama, 1978). We list this event as doubtful, not because it may not have occurred, but because it is doubtful that it produced a tsunami along the Levant coast.

List of Earthquakes

Constructing the list of earthquakes (also in Table 1) helped us to better understand the origin of past tsunamis, define their typical sources, and produce realistic models of possible future scenarios. The relevant “local” earthquakes are those that occurred within the tectonic framework of our study, and this is mainly the DST and its associated structures. Inclusion of all the significant earthquakes allowed us to describe the type of events that do, or do not, generate tsunamis, and to estimate the probability of a future tsunami if a large earthquake occurs in the region. We define “significant” earthquakes as those that caused damage or loss of life in at least two localities, and earthquakes that were associated with a tsunami. Earthquakes that were “only” reported as “felt” or where damage was only reported to have occurred at one site were not included because they were probably of smaller magnitude and the historical record is incomplete for such events. Thus, inclusion of these events may bias the interpretation for the relationship between tsunami generation and large earthquakes. Furthermore, Khair *et al.* (2000) have already presented a general catalog of DST earthquakes for the past four millennia, but for our purposes, we excluded the smaller-magnitude events and screened out the dubious events by crosscorrelation to primary sources.

For each of the events, we examined the source of information, and if found to be reliable, we cited its time of occurrence to the extent that it is known (year, month, day, hour, and minute), and its estimated size (magnitude) and area of occurrence (E) See supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA).

We distinguish moderate from large earthquakes to examine the possible relationship between earthquake size and the potential for tsunami generation. With the present understanding of the magnitude of historical seismicity, which

is mainly based on macroseismic damage and the area where the shock was felt, rather than on quantitative measurable parameters, it is impossible to assign a clear threshold magnitude for the large, moderate, and “excluded” events. We follow the broad categories suggested by Ambraseys and Jackson (1998) where V is a very large event ($M_s \geq 7.8$), L is large ($7.8 > M_s \geq 7.0$), M is moderate ($7.0 > M_s \geq 6.0$), and S is small ($6.0 \geq M_s$), this last category being the likely threshold for our “excluded” events. Where available, our estimates are taken from previous studies that were based on primary sources, on “ M_e ” determinations (“equivalent magnitude value calculated using the method of Gasperini *et al.* [1999] and Gasperini and Ferrari [2000],” as given by Guidoboni and Comastri [2005]), and paleoseismology. If not available, we estimated the size according to the degree of macroseismic damage and extent of affected area. Obviously, this is a subjective procedure because some large events may be underreported and listed as moderate. Similarly, inflated reports of moderate earthquakes, some closely timed moderate events, a mainshock followed by an intensive aftershock sequence (e.g., “earthquakes lasted 40 days”), an earthquake swarm with several strong events, and a sequence of strong events, may all seem like one large earthquake to the people of the time. Altogether we note 57 significant DST earthquakes since the mid second century B.C. and another one before that.

The location of historical events is no less subjective and tricky than determination of magnitude. For example, given the long and narrow pattern of the populated area of the Levant (constrained by the Mediterranean Sea on the west and the Syrian and Arabian deserts in the east), which is more or less parallel to the north–south trend of the DST, the isoseismals of strong earthquakes may tend to stretch along the inhabited regions and always “coincide” with the strike of the DST. Moreover, the directivity effect may cause the maximal damage in an area that is north or south of the earthquake epicenter and the rupture zone, and thus shift the high isoseismals away from the epicenter. For these reasons here we give only a rough estimate of the location of the historical events, whether they occurred in the northern part of the DST (N, in Syria and Lebanon), the central part (C, Israel, from the Hula Valley to the Dead Sea), or the southern part (S, south of the Dead Sea, in the Arava Valley and Gulf of Aqaba [Elat]).

We also referred to the occurrence of seismites (mixed or deformed layers) in the Holocene deposits of the Dead Sea Basin as indicators for the strength of the shaking there (Enzel *et al.*, 2000; Ken-Tor *et al.*, 2001; Migowski *et al.*, 2004). Those observations suggest the occurrence of several historical earthquakes that have not (yet?) been recognized or reported in the historical literature (e.g., A.D. 175, A.D. 90, 700 B.C.), although that record apparently is also not complete because it lacks evidence of some significant events, either by being masked by subsequent events, by having occurred during a sedimentary hiatus, or for some other as yet unknown reason. For example, the events of

A.D. 306, 349, and 363 are all obscured, although the latest is known to have generated a sea wave in the Dead Sea. This effect also does not allow for discrimination between remote strong events and close moderate ones. Nevertheless, it supports the occurrence of questionable earthquakes, such as the one of 1656 that had been reported to affect Tripoli, either in Libya, or Lebanon, or both.

Paleoseismology seems to be most helpful in resolving earthquake sources, although in most cases it provides a time window for the occurrence of an event and the correlation with a given historical earthquake within that time frame is only an association. Currently there are a limited number of observations on the DST, but they still support the contention that some of the tsunamigenic events resulted from on-land surface ruptures, and thus establish the proposed hypothesis of this unique but typical scenario that DST on-land earthquakes trigger tsunamis via seismogenically induced submarine slumping (Ⓔ See supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA).

Several tsunamis are reported to have arrived from distant sources in the Mediterranean and the Aegean seas, and from as far as Italy. We systematically searched the literature for parenting sources for these tsunamis and listed them in Table 1, together with all other “local” events (Ⓔ See supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA).

Uncertainties and Completeness of the List

There are large uncertainties that relate to all of the reported historical earthquakes and tsunamis, as well as to the cumulative lists of those events. This may include the location, time, magnitude, areal extent, effects, and sometimes even the very occurrence of the reported events. Two more issues are relevant to constructing such a list if one intends to statistically analyze the data. The first is completeness. Are all major events recognized and documented? Historical catalogs show a dramatic increase in reporting after about the mid second century B.C. (probably in part reflecting the growing influence of the Roman Empire in the Middle East). Prior to about this time, the reports are very sparse and we consider the record to be largely incomplete. After this time, the record is probably also incomplete but very likely captures the largest and most important events. Khair *et al.* (2000) suggested that continuous accumulation of records of significant earthquakes started at about 184 B.C., and that later variations in the seismicity reflect low- and high-seismic-activity periods. Consequently, we discuss only the past ~2150 years of this catalog that we consider “reliable” and take this era as the start of the “reliable period.”

The second issue relates to the threshold for when a tsunami is sufficiently noticeable to have been reported. It is highly likely that many small tsunamis occurred, but for which the rise in sea level was too small to notice, there was no damage, it happened at night, or it may have occurred in an area where no one was literate to report it. A small tsunami at low tide may go unreported, whereas the same-sized

tsunami at a critically high tide may cause damage. Thus, the list of reliably reported tsunamis is probably a minimum accounting of the actual occurrences.

Relationship between Tsunamis and Earthquakes: Tsunamigenic Sources

The list of reliable accounts (Table 1) allows for a complete cross-correlation of earthquakes and tsunamis. This is an unconventional presentation in the sense that it brings together tsunamis that occurred in a given region together with their local and remote sources (if identified), the significant nontsunamigenic earthquakes of that region, and the orphan tsunamis. Nevertheless, it allows for the generalization of the tsunamigenic environment of the region and sets up typical future scenarios. All in all, we identified ten tsunamis that originated from “local” sources, four tsunamis that arrived from the Hellenic Arc and the Aegean Sea, and four from the Cypriot Arc, one from Italy, and an additional two tsunamis that are left with as-yet no identified trigger. We discuss these sources next.

Not included in the summarizing list, but no less important, are local earthquakes not related to the DST system that did not produce a tsunami, either because they were not large enough or because they were too far from the coast. Such is the large A.D. 1042 or 1043 event from the Palmyra region of northeastern Syria (Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005), located about 200 km east of the Mediterranean coast. The same could be inferred from modern recorded earthquakes for which accurate location and magnitude determination are available. For example, the southern Suez Rift (m_b 7.0, 1969) and the Gulf of Aqaba (M_w 7.1, 1995) did not generate a tsunami in the Mediterranean (Ⓔ See supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA). These are worth noting because they represent seismic sources that can produce large earthquakes in the future but are unlikely to produce tsunamis (unless they generate a considerably stronger shock much closer to the Mediterranean).

We did not identify any historical tsunamis that reached the Levant coast from volcanic eruptions or remote landslides. Nevertheless, future discovery of field evidence or of as-yet-unknown chronicles for past events, or occurrence of such events in the future, should not be ruled out.

Local Earthquake Source: The Dead Sea Transform System

The 57 moderate to large earthquakes we list since about the mid second century B.C. range in location from southernmost Israel to northern Syria and southern Turkey, so they essentially encompass most of the DST system from the Gulf of Aqaba to its junction with the East Anatolian fault, near the Bay of Iskenderun. Nearly a sixth of these, ten events, produced tsunamis somewhere along the eastern Mediterranean coast that were large enough to have been noticed and documented (Fig. 1a). Under closer inspection,

however, it is also clear that the size of the earthquake, among many other factors, affects whether a tsunami is likely to be generated. Of the 43 moderate earthquakes interpreted in Table 1, only six (about 14%) produced a tsunami, whereas four of the 14 larger events, or about 29%, produced a tsunami. Thus, between a quarter to a third of the largest and a seventh of the moderate DST earthquakes were tsunamigenic. Clearly, larger events exhibit a much higher (factor of 2) likelihood to produce a tsunami than smaller (moderate) earthquakes.

Note that the 1546 earthquake, which is considered a moderate event by Ambraseys and Karcz (1992), generated a significant tsunami that affected the southern coast of Israel. They state that the magnitude estimate for this event is “ M_s about 6.0, in many respects similar to that of the earthquake of 1927,” which was M_L 6.2 but did not trigger a tsunami. The other low-magnitude events that produced tsunamis were the May 1068 and 1408 events, both estimated as M_c 6.0 (Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005). These are probably the smallest tsunamigenic DST earthquakes, although it is also possible that their magnitudes have been underestimated because of the lack of recorded reports. Because we have no records for $\sim M < 6$ tsunamigenic DST earthquakes, the threshold for tsunami generation may be in the range of M 6.0–6.5. That is, it apparently requires large enough earthquakes to trigger offshore landslides that in turn produce tsunamis, although no more than a seventh of these were demonstrated to be “successful.”

Most of the earthquakes north of the Dead Sea, for which a surface rupture was suggested by paleoseismic observations, produced a tsunami. These are the 551 (Elias *et al.*, 2001; Daëron *et al.*, 2004), 746 (Marco *et al.*, 2003), 1202 (Marco *et al.*, 1997, 2005; Ellenblum *et al.*, 1998; Daëron *et al.*, 2005), October 1759 (Marco *et al.*, 1997, 2005; Ellenblum *et al.*, 1998), and November 1759 (Gomez *et al.*, 2001; Daëron *et al.*, 2005) earthquakes, with the exception of 1170 (Meghraoui *et al.*, 2003). Some findings (Reinhardt *et al.*, 2006) may also suggest the occurrence of a tsunami after the 115 rupture (Meghraoui *et al.*, 2003). These were also among the largest DST earthquakes (551, 1202, November 1759), but the data we have are insufficient to conclude that they also produced the largest “local” tsunamis. In contrast to the north, no tsunamis have been reported for the events of 1212 and 1458, for which possible surface ruptures were suggested in the Arava Valley (Klinger *et al.*, 2000), south of the Dead Sea. Regarding the 1068 events, a tsunami was related to the second shock that occurred on 29 May, north of the former one of 18 March (Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005). It is therefore reasonable to assume that the surface rupture found in the southernmost Arava Valley by paleoseismology (Amit *et al.*, 1999; Zilberman *et al.*, 2005) belongs to the first event, 18 March, which was nontsunamigenic. The large 1995 M 7.1 earthquake in the northern Gulf of Aqaba did trigger a tsunami in the Gulf (Wust, 1997), but not in the Mediterranean.

Examination of the location and extent of the tsunamis

in relation to the location of the earthquakes that generated them indicates that most of the tsunamis struck the coast adjacent to the onshore area damaged by the earthquake. The relationship between the occurrence of a tsunami and the distance that the seismogenic source is from the coast or from the continental margin is not clear. No tsunamis were reported for the strong earthquakes that occurred in northern Syria during the twelfth century A.D. (Ambraseys, 2004; Guidoboni *et al.*, 2004a,b), although the moderate 1408 earthquake, located the same distance from the coast, did generate a tsunami. Furthermore, the May 1068 and 1546 earthquakes that originated farther away from the coast both produced tsunamis. It appears that the largest distance between the DST and the coast for which we have information of an earthquake-generated tsunami is about 80–100 km, but of course, it should also be magnitude dependent. In any case, this appears to be the upper limit.

Another contributing complication is that the DST system distributes deformation along the neighboring plates, with normal faults along its rift in Israel and thrusts along its restraining bend in Lebanon. Paleoseismic findings suggest that these secondary structures have generated earthquakes that produced tsunamis as well. Such was the case in the 551 earthquake that has been attributed to the Beirut thrust (Daëron *et al.*, 2004) and the October and November 1759 events that apparently ruptured the Rachaya and Serghaya faults, respectively (Gomez *et al.*, 2001; Daëron *et al.*, 2005).

Overall, it appears that all of the tsunamigenic DST earthquakes (with the exception of May 1068?) have been located north of the Dead Sea with magnitudes stronger than M 6–6.5, and most were probably associated with surface rupture. In a predictive sense, future tsunamis will most likely occur opposite the rupture and damage zone of future large earthquakes, and there is a known significant seismic hiatus along at least the Jordan Valley, one of the closest sections of the DST to the coast.

Onshore versus Offshore Sourcing

The notion that tsunamis in the eastern Mediterranean are generated by seismogenic submarine landslides was suggested to explain the occurrence of tsunamis right after onshore earthquakes. Supporting evidence comes from historical descriptions of tsunamis that start with or include a remarkable receding phase of the sea (e.g., 1068, 1546), in accordance with a scenario of a tsunami generated by a seaward-moving submarine landslide. Also, the bathymetry of the continental margins of the eastern Mediterranean is spotted with numerous typical slump scars (Almagor and Hall, 1984), either on its steep southern slopes or deep northern canyons. Moreover, geotechnical studies by Frydman and Talesnik (1988) show that these margins become unstable under seismic shaking of about 0.1g, which is the expected value given by the Israeli building code (based on the 500-year recurrent event, acceleration of up to 0.175g

should be expected for the northern coast of Israel, with an expected lower value for the continental shelf and slope, some 20–30 km westward).

Thus, most of the tsunamis that followed DST earthquakes should have resulted from submarine slumps and paleoseismology suggests that such were the earthquakes of 746, 1202, October 1759, and November 1759. Of special interest is the 9 July 551 event. That earthquake presumably ruptured the Beirut thrust (Elias *et al.*, 2001; Daëron *et al.*, 2004) offshore of Lebanon, including its continuation on-land toward the Roum fault that branches off of the DST. A portion of this fault was also suspected as the source of the $M \sim 7$ 1837 earthquake (Ambraseys, 1997). We suggest that the 551 tsunami could have originated either by a direct rupture in the sea or as a submarine landslide or as a result of both.

Distant Tsunamiogenic Earthquakes

Eight tsunamis originated from outside the DST system (Fig. 1b). Four arrived from the Hellenic Arc and their sources are believed to be close to or greater than M 7.5–8 (365, 1303, 1870, and 1956). However, only the 1303 and the 1956 tsunamis were reported to have hit the easternmost Mediterranean coast. Nonetheless, the impact of the other two, which were reported “only” on Alexandria, especially the 365, should not be underestimated. The tsunami traveling the farthest arrived from the 1908 Messina Strait event (estimated as M 7.5 by International Seismological Centre [ISC] [2001] and M 7.1 by Boschi *et al.*, 2000), barely reaching Alexandria and the Nile Delta.

Four tsunamis are listed for the Cypriot Arc, which generated tsunamis in 1222 and 1953, the last of which also arrived to Asia Minor. The 802–803 and 1036–1037 tsunamis in Iskendrun Bay may have originated from the north-easternmost tip of that arc, already in Anatolia, where it approaches the East Anatolian fault. No damage was reported for the 1036–1037 tsunamiogenic earthquake (Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005). The only tsunami that originated from an earthquake along the DST that is reported to have struck Cyprus was produced by the 1202 earthquake. We did not investigate tsunamis arriving at Cyprus from the Hellenic Arc or from Asia Minor; therefore, our list for Cyprus may not be complete.

The preceding observations suggest that tsunamis along the easternmost Mediterranean do arrive from distant sources and from near non-DST sources, but they are not as destructive as the local ones, with perhaps the significant exception only of the 1303 event. The 365 catastrophe in Alexandria, however, suggests that the Nile Delta is more vulnerable and needs further attention.

Unidentified Tsunamiogenic Sources

The last category we report is for tsunamis that have no known earthquake or volcanic trigger, and they all date to

the third and fourth millennium before the present. It is reasonable to presume that these tsunamis could be related to either nearby earthquakes or distant sources for which there are currently no direct documentation or reports. For instance, the flooding of Ugarit, ca. 1365 B.C., could have resulted from some of the earthquakes that destroyed this coastal city during the Bronze Age, but there are no direct indications for that (Ambraseys *et al.*, 2002). We know that in the past 2200 years, the Ugarit region was damaged repeatedly by DST earthquakes, at least one of which was tsunamiogenic (A.D. 1408). Deformed layers in the Dead Sea are associated with more than half of the earthquakes and tsunamis introduced in our list, and there is also a deformed layer related to the date of the Ugarit flooding (1365 B.C.) (Migowski *et al.*, 2004). From this, it is possible that the Ugarit tsunami was associated with a DST earthquake but there are no direct accounts of this so we leave this as an orphan event.

Similarly, the mid second century B.C. flooding of the shore in southern Lebanon could be related with some of the notable shocks at that time. Karcz (2004) suggests that the flooding may be related to an earthquake in Sidon (not dated) but excludes the 198 B.C. earthquakes as its potential source. Migowski *et al.* (2004) document a deformed layer at 140 B.C., which is also close in time to this tsunami. Nevertheless, we cannot say with certainty that this tsunami directly resulted or followed an earthquake.

The last orphan tsunami on our list occurred in about 23 ± 3 B.C. in eastern Egypt. It is possible that it is associated with the 17 B.C. earthquake in Cyprus, which is the nearest in time and place to it. However, no tsunami was reported for this quake, and this would require an additional error in the reported date of the tsunami.

Although one can claim that these orphans may have been triggered by undocumented earthquakes, we cannot exclude a scenario that a tsunami was triggered by a spontaneous submarine slide or a small offshore earthquake that was not felt on land.

Other Possible Local and Distant Sources

There could possibly be other tsunamiogenic sources in the eastern Mediterranean that have not produced tsunamis in the past two millennia. Although we could not verify their effect within the historical time frame and the given resolution of our data, their potential to generate future tsunamis should not be excluded. Among these we list the seismogenic offshore area north of Egypt and Sinai, where M 6 events have been recorded in modern times (potential source of the 23 ± 3 B.C. tsunami?), the Nile Delta slopes, the great submarine slide near the Anaximander Seamount (Ten Veen *et al.*, 2004), and the volcanoes of the Aegean Sea that were already shown to be tsunamiogenic (the LM tsunami that were already shown to be tsunamiogenic (the LM tsunami and another one, on A.D. September 1650, which was local and probably originated with the collapse of Mt. Colombo,

near Thera, that erupted at that time [Dominey-Howes *et al.*, 2000; Dominey-Howes, 2002]).

The only hint of an asteroid-generated tsunami on the eastern coastal area of the Mediterranean Sea was given by Soloviev *et al.* (2000) for the 198 B.C. event: “Shortly after the appearance of a big comet an earthquake occurred that was accompanied by an overflow of the sea water.” However, this event was probably duplicated from the event of 373 or 372 B.C. that occurred in the Gulf of Corinth (Greece). We therefore list it with doubtful events.

Last but not least, the passive margins along the eastern Mediterranean are still being loaded with sediments primarily derived from the Nile Delta, although at a decreased rate after construction of Aswan Dam. This implies that sediments are still accumulating on the continental slopes and increasing the potential for slope failure. It is reasonable to assume that most of this potential is cleared (out) by seismically induced ground shaking before it naturally matures to a spontaneous failure, but this should certainly be verified.

Threshold Magnitude for Tsunamigenic Earthquakes

Because no “local” tsunamis have been observed along the Levant coast during the instrumental period, we can only approximate the threshold magnitude of nontsunamigenic DST earthquakes. Specifically, neither the M_L 6.2 1918 earthquake in Syria nor the M 6.2 1927 Dead Sea earthquake in Israel produced a tsunami (© See supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA). On the other hand, it appears that many of the strongest or most damaging historical earthquakes (e.g., 363 and 1837), including those that ruptured the surface, have not produced tsunamis either (e.g., 1170). Therefore, there is no 100% “successful” tsunamigenic earthquake and no clear threshold magnitude. Obviously, this should be questioned since we cannot be sure that all past tsunamis were indeed observed and, if observed, whether they were reported.

Two similar-magnitude earthquakes may in one case trigger a tsunami, whereas in a different configuration, they may not. Clearly the magnitude is not the only factor and the distance from the coast, the focal mechanism or depth, and the effects of directivity, probably all play a role. Therefore, past experience gives only a rough impression of that threshold and detailed modeling is needed to determine the typical properties of a tsunamigenic earthquake in the region. We can only estimate the threshold magnitude for nontsunamigenic earthquakes, and this seems to be about $M \sim 6$. Above this threshold, historical earthquakes in the range of $M \sim 6.0$ – 7.0 , were only 14% successful, and for higher magnitudes 29% successful.

Mechanical considerations may suggest that landslide-generated tsunamis could be related to a time-dependent process. The continuous accumulation of sediment loading on the continental slope may result in decreased stability with time, and progressively smaller accelerations would be needed to generate a slope failure. Therefore, the threshold

magnitude for tsunamigenic earthquakes may not be constant through time but may gradually decrease after the previous tsunami.

Tsunami Modeling

Several studies modeled tsunamis at the Levant coast. Striem and Miloh (1976) examined the occurrence of an offshore submarine slump in southern Israel with an area of 6×2 km and rock mass as thick as 50 m. They concluded that this will result in a tsunami associated with lowering of the sea level of up to 10 m, and a retreat of the sea to a distance of 0.5–1.5 km for about 0.5–1.5 hr, and which may occur once or twice per millennium. Miloh and Striem (1978) studied offshore surface faulting along the southern Israeli coast and found that this may cause a tsunami of up to 5 m high with a wave frequency of 20 min. In some tectonic configurations it could also be associated with recession of the sea level. Yokoyama (1978) simulated the arrival of the Thera tsunami to the coasts of Israel, constraining its run-up to 7 m in height based on the presence of pumice (from this event?) on the coastal terraces near Tel Aviv (near Jaffa). Recently, El-Sayed *et al.* (2000) modeled the propagation of the 1303 tsunami from near Crete to Alexandria and Acre (Akko), and found that the first arrivals of the calculated tsunami are strongly regressive, in agreement with the historical reports. Hamouda (2006) also computed the propagation of that tsunami to Alexandria and concluded an arrival time of 35–45 min after the earthquake, with run-ups of 2–9 m along the Nile Delta.

A tsunami in the Dead Sea was simulated by Begin and Ichinose (2004) to understand the deposition of gypsum above brecciated beds in the Late Pleistocene sediments. They concluded that gypsum deposition was the result of mixing of the water column in the lake due to seismic sea waves in this lake, associated with strong earthquakes.

Ben-Menahem and Vered (1982) examined mareograms (tide gauge) at some Israeli ports and found that seiches have apparently been excited by wind in Haifa Bay. They showed that “the shape and size of the resonating water body is dependent to some degree on the direction and intensity of the exciting meteorological front.”

The tsunami simulations here derive from linear dispersive water wave theory. It is explained in brief with a reference to many examples in the Appendix.

Models of Tsunami Sources

We recognized two principal tsunamigenic mechanisms: submarine landslides that follow local DST earthquakes and remote earthquakes originating in Italy and the Hellenic and Cypriot arcs. Global seismicity and seismotectonics of modern times show that earthquakes in the eastern Mediterranean tend to concentrate mainly along plate boundaries (Salamon *et al.*, 1996, 2003). It is therefore reasonable to assume that earthquakes along these elements

were in the past, and will probably be in the future, the main tsunamigenic sources, either directly if located in the sea or via submarine landslides. Potentially more sources could exist, but their rate of seismicity is lower, and therefore they are not considered here. Based on these conclusions we simulated three scenarios and with the great concern arising after the Sumatra tsunami of December 2004, we modeled the upper range of the probable magnitudes of these sources. A similar approach of simulating tsunamigenic earthquakes of magnitude equal to or greater than the highest ones registered in historical times can also be found in Tinti *et al.* (2005).

The 2004 Sumatra $M > 9$ great earthquake resulted from rupture of a very long fault, which in the past broke in only shorter segments. Such is also the case in the Cascadia region, where it was thought that the subduction interface was incapable of generating earthquakes larger than $M 7.5$ until it was recognized as the seismogenic source for an orphan tsunami in Japan in January 1700 (Satake *et al.*, 1996; Atwater *et al.*, 2005). These events show that accounting only for the instrumental data and historical information, without taking into account the tectonic framework as an indicator of the scale of the magnitude of the tsunamigenic source, may result in underestimation of the actual hazard. Future work, of course, is needed to evaluate the potential contribution of the extreme events to the overall hazard. Nevertheless, in our present state of knowledge, we believe it is necessary to outline the possible range of the hazard.

The Akhziv Landslide Scenario. The “on land earthquake–submarine landslide” is apparently the most common mechanism for the Levant coast, and the tsunami that followed the 1202 earthquake with a rupture proposed to be on land in Lebanon and northern Israel (Marco *et al.*, 1997, 2005; Ellenblum *et al.*, 1998; Daëron *et al.*, 2004) is a good example of this. For the occurrence of significant submarine slides along the continental margins of the Levant, opposite the proposed 1202 rupture, we followed Almagor and Hall (1984) and Almagor (1993): “At the point of Akhziv Canyon, great slabs of detached sediment blocked the thalweg which has excavated detours around them.” Also Almagor and Garfunkel (1979) specifically pointed to: “Chunks of continental loess . . . were sampled down to depths of 900 m.”

This scenario finds an origin in the 5×10 km Akhziv canyon headwall region (excavation box in Fig. 2a), where a 25-m-thick sediment slice breaks loose and slides 30 km downslope at 40 m/sec. The material comes to rest in a 7×15 km run-out zone that is 18 m thick (runout box, Fig. 2a). The drop height of the material is about 1200 m. A 30-km run-out with 1200 m fall height gives an effective coefficient of basal friction of $\mu = 1200/30000 = 0.04$. Low coefficients of friction of this size are typical of submarine landslides.

As with most landslide tsunamis, the initial sequence starts with a sea level draw-down over the excavation at the

canyon headwall, and a sea level elevation at the toe of the slide mass farther offshore. The picture changes quickly, however, as the initial waves propagate out at speeds that exceed the advancing slide and the initial draw-down rebounds into a dome. Waves reach shore in about 5 min. The wave period is about 5 min. Predicted average run-up exceeds 4 m for 100 km of the coastline to the north and south. Being somewhat closer to the slide direction, locations toward the north experience a bit larger run-up. Figure 2b shows the waveforms arriving at different locations along the coast and the average run-up there. (© See the movie in the supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA.)

Cypriot Arc Earthquake. Here we modeled a remote source of which the most notable tsunami followed the $\sim M 8$, 1303 earthquake in Crete. The recent 1953 $M_L 6.2$ Cyprus earthquake, possibly along the Paphos Transform fault (Papazachos and Papaioannou, 1999) southwest of the island, has shown that tsunamis can be generated by that arc as well (Ambraseys and Adams, 1992), even with relatively small-magnitude events. Activity southeast of Cyprus is not much less intensive. The earthquake of September 1961 was an $M_L 6.0$ thrust event (Papazachos and Papaioannou, 1999; Salamon *et al.*, 2003), and the overall pattern of seismicity dips north-northwest to a depth of 80–100 km (Rotstein and Kafka, 1982) and extends about 300 km in length. Thus, from tectonic considerations, it is reasonable to assume that the subduction part of the Cypriot Arc may potentially generate a considerably strong earthquake. Our scenario originates as a strong earthquake along the Cypriot Arc, just opposite the northern Levant coast (Fig. 3a). The rupture occurs on two fault segments dipping 15 degrees with a down-dip width of 40 km. The total length of the two segments is 120 km. Five meters of pure thrusting gives the earthquake a moment magnitude of $M 7.8$. The large size given to this modeled event also illustrates the expected effect of a $\sim M 8$ event coming from a longer distance, like that of 1303.

Like tsunamis from all long earthquake faults, most of the wave energy is radiated in directions perpendicular to the strike, southeast in this case, directly toward the Israeli and Lebanese coasts. Most of the Mediterranean coast from Port Said to Beirut should experience average tsunami run-ups of about 1.5 m, but keep in mind, as mentioned previously, factors of two deviations from the average should always be anticipated. The first waves arrive to Lebanon in about 20 min, and wave period is about 15 min. Figure 3b shows the waveforms and average run-up at different locations along the coast. (© See the movie in the supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA.)

Beirut Thrust Earthquake. This is an example that is based on a possible historical event sequence. The mechanism and size of the modeled event follow Darawchek *et al.* (2000), Elias *et al.* (2001), and Daëron *et al.* (2004), who suggested rupture of the Beirut thrust as the origin of the 551 $M_S 7.2$




Figure 2. (a) Assumed parameters of the Akhziv Canyon landslide scenario. Quarter-minute bathymetry is from Hall (1981). (b) Estimated average run-up heights (numbers in circles) and waveforms at selected sites along the coast.

earthquake and tsunami. Inland to the south, the pattern of this thrust follows the trace of the Roum fault that was also suspected as the origin of the 1837 M 7 earthquake (Ambraseys, 1997).

Here we modeled one fault segment dipping 30 degrees, with a down-dip width of 30 km and along-strike length of about 80 km (Fig. 4a). Pure thrusting of 4 m gives the source a moment magnitude of M 7.4. The faulting in this example was largely under land with only its northwestern edge in the sea, so the tsunami generation efficiency was low. At Beirut itself, the wave might run up to 3 m. Most of the Mediterranean coast north and south of Beirut experiences average tsunami run-ups of less than one meter and a wave period of 6 min. Figure 4b shows the waveforms and average run-up at different locations along the coast. (© See the movie in the supplemental material in the electronic edition of BSSA.) A submarine landslide also could have been triggered by the 551 earthquake, thus resulting in a composite (earthquake- and landslide-driven) tsunami.

Model Results

Tsunamis from the two earthquake scenarios produced run-ups ranging from 1 to 3 m at the shore, in the range of the slip on the source fault (<5 m). As a rule of thumb, a tsunami run-up even proximal to an earthquake cannot be much more than the slip on the parent fault, and with distance, run-up values get even smaller because of geometrical spreading and frequency dispersion of the water waves. All of the earthquake tsunami simulations are nearly linearly dependent on fault slip, with all other fault parameters fixed. So, to produce larger run-ups, we would need to simulate

extreme magnitudes, much higher than what we did for this study. Working against a strong tsunami at distance for the Beirut Thrust scenarios was the fact that only a small portion of the fault extended out into the sea. The result was a small effective tsunami source area. Tsunamis from small sources tend to spread at faster rates than tsunamis from large sources.

The tsunami from the landslide scenario produced an average run-up of 4–6 m on the nearby coast, and this is larger than any of the earthquake simulations. As illustrated in Figure 5, submarine landslides basically act like a moving uplift source, 17–25 m high in this case. These values far exceed the uplift associated with the earthquakes and hence account for larger initial waves. As in the earthquake tsunami simulations, tsunamis from landslides are nearly linearly dependent on landslide thickness, with all other slide parameters fixed. So if one wishes to increase or decrease the slide thickness from 25 m to construct other scenarios, the tsunami would scale proportionately. Working against a strong tsunami at distance for the landslide scenario was the fact that the uplift source area is fairly narrow, compared with that experienced in most earthquakes. Tsunamis from smaller landslide sources tend to have shorter periods and spread faster than tsunamis from larger earthquake sources.

Summary and Conclusions

In this article, we constructed a list of 21 reliably reported tsunamis that have struck the Levant coast, along with 57 moderate to large earthquakes that have occurred along the DST system, since about the mid second century B.C. (Table 1). Ten of the tsunamis were triggered by earthquakes




Figure 3. (a) Assumed parameters of the Cyprus Arc earthquake scenario. Two minutes bathymetry is from ETOPO2. (b) Waveforms and estimated average run-ups at selected sites along the coast.




Figure 4. (a) Assumed parameters of the Beirut Thrust earthquake scenario. Two minutes bathymetry is from ETOPO2. (b) Waveforms and estimated average run-ups at selected sites along the coast.

that originated along the DST system (Fig. 1a), six of which followed moderate earthquakes and four followed large earthquakes. These observations indicate that about a seventh (14%) of the moderate and from a quarter to a third (29%) of the large DST earthquakes were tsunamigenic. We estimate that the threshold of tsunamigenic DST earthquakes

is likely to be in the range of M 6–6.5. Of the other 11 tsunamis, nine were associated with non-DST sources (Fig. 1b) in the Cypriot and the Hellenic arcs, and Italy, and two have no known trigger.

Nearly two-thirds of the tsunamis mentioned in the literature were found here to be doubtful, and if not ignored,




Figure 5. Philosophy in modeling tsunami from landslides. Real landslides deform and spread during sliding and velocities of particles can be in many directions (top two rows). We replace the deforming mass by a series of “piston sources” that move up and down according to the volume of slide material over the piston (bottom three rows).

would increase the estimated or perceived hazard. For example, considering all the tsunamis reported for the eastern Mediterranean (Nile to Iskendrun Bay and Cyprus) during the past 2150 years results in an averaged repeat time of about 40 years, whereas accounting only for the reliable reports, the repeat time is extended to about 100 years. For the easternmost Mediterranean coast, from Gaza to Syria, the shortest period between tsunamis is 26 days (between the 30 October and 25 November 1759 events), whereas the longest period without a tsunami was apparently about 700 years, from the mid second century B.C. to A.D. 551. Hence the value of ~ 100 years for the average repeat time of tsunamis is merely the product of 2150 years divided by 21 tsunami occurrences and cannot be used in a predictive sense.

These observations allowed us to recognize and model two principal mechanisms for the generation of tsunamis that will likely affect this region in the future. The most frequent events are those that originate from submarine landslides produced by on-land rupture of active faults (DST system), and from earthquakes originating beneath the sea on nearby subduction zones (Hellenic Arc, Cypriot Arc). A third mechanism is a combination of the two and it relates to a tsunami generated by movement on faults with at least some submarine ground rupture (the Beirut thrust). The landslide-related tsunamis produced the largest average run-ups of 4–6 m, whereas tsunamis produced by direct offshore fault motion are smaller, 1–3 m, a result of smaller seafloor motions.

Because nearly a third of the large historical earthquakes along the DST have produced tsunamigenic landslides, it is important to assess the likelihood of a future earthquake from that source. From examination of the seismic history of the DST, it appears that several of its significant segments have not ruptured in more than 800 years. These include the Missyaf segment in Syria that last ruptured on 1170 (Meghraoui *et al.*, 2003), the Yammaouneh segment in Lebanon that last produced an earthquake and a tsunami in 1202 (Daëron *et al.*, 2005), and potentially all of the Jordan Valley segment if the 1546 earthquake is as small as purported to be by Ambraseys and Karcz (1992). Considering that the geologic and geodetic strain accumulation rate is on the order of 4–6 mm/yr (see up-to-date detailed analysis for different timescales, fault segments, and measuring methods in Klinger *et al.*, 2000; Daëron *et al.*, 2004; Marco *et al.*, 2005; Ambraseys, 2006), these portions of the DST have accumulated at least 3–5 m of potential slip that is most likely to be released in a large earthquake ($M 7$). Thus there should be great concern in Syria, Lebanon, and Israel, not only for the possible occurrence of a near-future large earthquake, but also for the significant likelihood of a tsunami produced by an offshore slump within minutes of the mainshock.

Worldwide Application. The eastern Mediterranean and the DST system stand out only for their rather long and detailed earthquake and tsunami historiography, and not as a unique active structure near a continental margin. Similar settings also exist along other anciently settled coasts of the Mediterranean, as well as in areas that have only short periods of seismic reporting. This is exemplified by the 1373 earthquake in the Central Pyrenees-Catalonia region, along with its associated tsunami in Barcelona. Similarly, the 1169 and 1456 earthquakes and tsunamis in Italy are also good examples (Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005). Farther away, along the California coast, past records are only three centuries long at the most, yet several of the recent near-field tsunamis such as 1812, 1865, and 1868 (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2005) followed nearby onshore earthquakes. From this, it is clear that generation of tsunamis by offshore slumps produced by onshore earthquakes is not limited to the eastern Mediterranean, but rather, appears to be a potential trigger for all regions with active faults near the coast.

Acknowledgments

We thank Gideon Almagor and John K. Hall, both of the Geological Survey of Israel (GSI), for introducing us to their work on the morphology of the continental margins of Israel. John K. Hall kindly provided us with the digital bathymetry of the eastern Mediterranean. We appreciate comments given by Benny Begin and Rivka Amit (GSI), as well as the response from Iakov Karcz, and help from Tony Carrasco from the San Diego State University (SDSU), Tomy Magdalen and Chana Netzer-Cohen from the GSI. We also acknowledge and highly appreciate the detailed and useful comments by V. Karakostas and an anonymous reviewer. A. Salamon thanks the Department of Geological Sciences, SDSU, for a supportive environ-

ment, and is truly grateful to Hagar. This work was funded in part by the Steering Committee for Earthquake Readiness in Israel.

References

- Almagor, G. (1993). Continental slope processes off northern Israel and southernmost Lebanon and their relation to onshore tectonics, *Mar. Geol.* **112**, 151–169.
- Almagor, G., and Z. Garfunkel (1979). Submarine slumping in continental margin and northern Sinai, *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.* **63**, 324–340.
- Almagor, G., and J. K. Hall (1984). Morphology of the Mediterranean continental margin of Israel, *Geol. Surv. Isr. Bull.* **77**, 1–31.
- Altinok, Y., and S. Ersoy (2000). Tsunamis observed on and near the Turkish coast, *Nat. Hazards* **21**, 185–205.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1960). The seismic sea wave of July 9, 1956, in the Greek Archipelago, *J. Geophys. Res.* **65**, 1257–1265.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1962). Data for the investigation of the seismic sea-waves in the Eastern Mediterranean, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **52**, 895–913.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1989). Temporary seismic quiescence: SE Turkey, *Geophys. J.* **96**, 311–331.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1997). The earthquake of 1 January 1837 in Southern Lebanon and Northern Israel, *Ann. Geofis.* **40**, 923–935.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2002). Seismic sea-waves in the Marmara Sea region during the last 20 centuries, *J. Seism.* **6**, 571–578.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2004). The 12th century seismic paroxysm in the Middle East: a historical perspective, *Ann. Geophys.* **47**, 733–758.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2005a). Historical earthquakes in Jerusalem—a methodological discussion, *J. Seism.* **9**, 329–340.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2005b). The seismic activity in Syria and Palestine during the middle of the 8th century; an amalgamation of historical earthquakes, *J. Seism.* **9**, 115–125.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2006). Comparison of frequency of occurrence of earthquakes with slip rates from long-term seismicity data: the case of Gulf of Corinth, Sea of Marmara and Dead Sea Zone, *Geophys. J. Int.* **165**, 516–526.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and R. D. Adams (1993). Seismicity of Cyprus, *Terra Nova* **5**, 85–94.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and M. Barazangi (1989). The 1759 earthquake in the Bekaa Valley: implications for earthquake hazard assessment in the Eastern Mediterranean region, *J. Geophys. Res.* **94**, 4007–4013.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. Finkel (1995). *The Seismicity of Turkey and Adjacent Areas: A Historical Review, 1500–1800*, Eren Yayincilik, Istanbul, 240 pp.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and J. A. Jackson (1998). Faulting associated with historical and recent earthquakes in the Eastern Mediterranean region, *Geophys. J. Int.* **133**, 390–406.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and I. Karcz (1992). The earthquake of 1546 in the Holy Land, *Terra Nova* **4**, 253–262.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. P. Melville (1988). An analysis of the Eastern Mediterranean earthquake of 20 May 1202, in *Historical Seismograms and Earthquakes of the World*, W.H.K. Lee, H. Meyers, and K. Shimazaki (Editors), Academic Press, San Diego, California, 181–200.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and D. White (1997). The seismicity of the Eastern Mediterranean region 550–1 BC: a re-appraisal, *J. Earthquake Eng.* **1**, 603–632.
- Ambraseys, N. N., J. A. Jackson, and C. P. Melville (2002). Historical seismicity and tectonics: the case of the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, in *International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology*, W.H.K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P. C. Jennings, and C. Kisslinger (Editors), Academic Press, Amsterdam, 747–763.
- Ambraseys, N. N., C. P. Melville, and R. D. Adams (1994). *The Seismicity of Egypt, Arabia and the Red Sea: A Historical Review*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 181 pp.
- Amiran, D.H.K., E. Arieh, and T. Turcotte (1994). Earthquakes in Israel and adjacent areas: macroseismic observations since 100 B.C.E., *Isr. Explor. J.* **44**, 260–305.
- Amit, R., E. Zilberman, N. Porat, and Y. Enzel (1999). Relief inversion in the Avrona playa as evidence of large-magnitude historical earthquakes, southern Arava Valley, Dead Sea rift, *Quat. Res.* **52**, 76–91.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1979). Catalogue of tsunamis in the Eastern Mediterranean from antiquity to present times, *Ann. Geofis.* **32**, 113–130.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980a). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from the birth of Christ to 500 A.D., *Ann. Geofis.* **33**, 141–161.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980b). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 500 to 1000 A.D., *Ann. Geofis.* **33**, 163–178.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980c). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1000 to 1500 A.D., *Ann. Geofis.* **33**, 179–198.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980d). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1500 to 1900 A.D., *Ann. Geofis.* **33**, 199–230.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980e). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1900 to 1980 A.D., *Ann. Geofis.* **33**, 231–248.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980f). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from Antiquity to 500 B.C., *Tsunami Newslett.* **13**, 27–37.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1990). Data for investigating tsunami activity in the Mediterranean Sea, *Sci. Tsunami Hazards* **8**, 39–52.
- Arieh, E. (1989). Unconventional generation of historical tsunamis in the Levant offshore (abstract), in *International Tsunami Meeting*, Novosibirsk, USSR, 69.
- Atwater, B. F., M. R. Satoko, S. Kenji, T. Yoshinobu, K. Ueda, and D. K. Yamaguchi (2005). The orphan tsunami of 1700, Japanese clues to a parent earthquake in North America, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Profess. Pap.* **1707**, 144 pp.
- Begin, Z. B., and G. A. Ichinose (2004). Simulation of tsunamis and lake seiches for the Late Pleistocene Lake Lisan and the Dead Sea, *Isr. Geol. Surv. Report GSI/7/2004*.
- Ben-Menahem, A. (1991). Four thousand years of seismicity along the Dead Sea Rift, *J. Geophys. Res.* **91**, 20,195–20,216.
- Ben-Menahem, A., and M. Vered (1982). Seiches in the Eastern Mediterranean at Haifa Bay, *Boll. Geofis. Teor. Appl.* **XXIV**, **93**, 17–29.
- Boschi, E., E. Guidoboni, G. Ferrari, D. Mariotti, G. Valensise, and P. Gasperini (2000). Catalogue of Strong Italian Earthquakes from 461 B.C. to 1997, introductory texts and CD-ROM, Version 3 of the Catalogo dei forti terremoti in Italia, *Ann. Geofis.* **43**, 609–868.
- Chesley, S. R., and S. N. Ward (2006). A quantitative assessment of the human and economic hazard from impact-generated tsunami, *J. Nat. Hazards* **38**, 355–374.
- Chowdhury, S., E. Geist, F. Gonzalez, R. MacArthur, and C. Synolakis (2005). Tsunami Hazards, FEMA Coastal flood hazard analysis and mapping guidelines, Focused Study Report. http://www.fema.gov/pdf/fhm/fhm_pltsun.pdf.
- Daëron, M., L. Benedetti, P. Tapponnier, A. Surock, and R. C. Finkel (2004). Constraints on the post ~25-ka slip rate of the Yammouneh fault (Lebanon) using in situ cosmogenic ³⁶Cl dating of offset limestone-clast fans, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **227**, 105–119.
- Daëron, M., A. Elias, Y. Klinger, P. Tapponnier, E. Jacques, and A. Surock (2004). Sources of the AD 551, 1202 and 1759 earthquakes (Lebanon and Syria) (abstract), *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting 2004*, T41F-1294.
- Daëron, M., Y. Klinger, P. Tapponnier, A. Elias, E. Jacques, and A. Surock (2005). Sources of the large A.D. 1202 and 1759 Near East earthquakes, *Geology* **33**, 529–532.
- Darawcheh, R., M. R. Sbeinati, C. Margottini, and S. Paolini (2000). The 9 July 551 AD Beirut Earthquake, Eastern Mediterranean Region, *J. Earthquake Eng.* **4**, 403–414.
- Dominey-Howes, D. (2002). Documentary and geological records of tsu-

- nami in the Aegean Sea region of Greece and their potential value to risk assessment and disaster management, *Nat. Hazards* **25**, 195–224.
- Dominey-Howes, D.T.M., G. A. Papadopoulos, and A. G. Dawson (2000). Geological and Historical Investigation of the 1650 Mt. Columbo (Thera Island) Eruption and Tsunami, Aegean Sea, Greece, *Nat. Hazards* **21**, 83–96.
- Dussaud, R. (1896). Voyage en Syrie, *Rev. Archaeol.* Ser. 3, 299.
- Elias, A., P. Tapponnier, M. Daëron, E. Jacques, A. Surssock, and G. King (2001). The Tripoli-Roum thrust: source of the Beirut 551 AD earthquake and cause of the rise of Mount-Lebanon (abstract), *EOS Trans. AGU* **82**, no. 47 (Fall Meet. Suppl.), S12D-0636.
- Ellenblum, R., S. Marco, A. Agnon, T. Rockwell, and A. Boas (1998). Crusader castle torn apart by earthquake at dawn, 20 May 1202, *Geology* **26**, 303–306.
- El-Sayed, A., F. Romanelli, and G. Panza (2000). Recent seismicity and realistic waveforms modeling to reduce the ambiguities about the 1303 seismic activity in Egypt, *Tectonophysics* **328**, 341–357.
- Enzel, Y., G. Kadan, and Y. Eyal (2000). Holocene earthquakes in the Dead Sea graben from a fan-delta sequence, *Quat. Res.* **53**, 34–48.
- Fokaefs, A., and G. A. Papadopoulos (2007). Tsunami hazard in the Eastern Mediterranean: strong earthquakes and tsunamis in Cyprus and the Levantine Sea, *Nat. Hazards* **40**, no. 3, 503–526, doi 10.1007/s11069-006-9011-3.
- Friedrich, W. L., B. Kromer, M. Friedrich, J. Heinemeier, T. Pfeiffer, and S. Talamo (2006). Santorini eruption radiocarbon dated to 1627–1600 B.C., *Science* **312**, 5773, 548, doi 10.1126/science.1125087.
- Frydman, S., and M. Talesnick (1988). Analysis of seismically triggered slides off Israel, *Environ. Geol. Water Sci.* **2**, 21–26.
- Galanopoulos, A. G. (1960). Tsunamis observed on the coasts of Greece from antiquity to present time, *Ann. Geofis.* **13**, 369–386.
- Gasparini, P., and G. Ferrari (2000). Deriving numerical estimates from descriptive information: the computation earthquake parameters, in “Catalogue of Strong Italian Earthquakes from 461 B.C. to 1997,” *Ann. Geofis.* **43**, 729–746.
- Gasparini, P., F. Bernardini, G. Valensise, and E. Boschi (1999). Defining seismogenic sources from historical felt reports, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **89**, 94–110.
- Goldsmith, V., and M. Gilboa (1986). Tide and low in Israel, *Ofakim Geograph.* **15**, 21–47 (in Hebrew).
- Gomez, F., M. Meghraoui, A. N. Darkal, R. Sbeinati, R. Darawcheh, C. Tabet, M. Khawlie, M. Charabe, K. Khair, and M. Barazangi (2001). Coseismic displacements along the Serghaya fault: an active branch of the Dead Sea fault system in Syria and Lebanon, *J. Geol. Soc. London* **158**, 405–408.
- Guidoboni, E., and A. Comastri (2005). *Catalogue of Earthquakes and Tsunamis in the Mediterranean Area from the 11th to the 15th Century*, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia—Storia Geofisica Ambiente (INGV-SGA), Italy.
- Guidoboni, E., F. Bernardini, and A. Comastri (2004a). The 1138–1139 and 1156–1159 destructive seismic crises in Syria, southeastern Turkey and northern Lebanon, *J. Seism.* **8**, 105–127.
- Guidoboni, E., F. Bernardini, A. Comastri, and E. Boschi (2004b). The large earthquake on 29 June 1170 (Syria, Lebanon, and central southern Turkey), *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, B07304, doi 10.1029/2003JB002523.
- Guidoboni, E., A. Comastri, and G. Traina (1994). *Catalogue of Ancient Earthquakes in the Mediterranean Area up to the 10th Century*, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica—Storia Geofisica Ambiente (ING-SGA), Bologna, Italy.
- Gusiakov, V. K. (1997). List of tsunamigenic events in the Mediterranean, Tsunami Laboratory, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics (Computing Center), Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, <http://omzg.sssc.ru/tsulab/inttab.html> (last accessed December 2006).
- Hall, J. K. (1981). Bathymetry chart of the Eastern Mediterranean, *Geol. Surv. Isr. Report MG/2/81*.
- Hamouda, A. Z. (2006). Numerical computations of 1303 tsunamigenic propagation towards Alexandria, Egyptian coast, *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* **44**, 37–44.
- International Seismological Centre (ISC) (2001). On-line Bulletin, Thatcham, United Kingdom, www.isc.ac.uk (last accessed December 2006).
- Karcz, I. (2004). Implications of some early Jewish sources for estimates of earthquake hazard in the Holy Land, *Ann. Geophys.* **47**, 759–792.
- Ken-Tor, R., A. Agnon, Y. Enzel, M. Stein, S. Marco, and J.F.W. Negendank (2001). High-resolution geological record of historic earthquakes in the Dead Sea basin, *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 2221–2234.
- Khair, K., G. F. Karakaisis, and E. E. Papadimitriou (2000). Seismic zonation of the Dead Sea Transform Fault area, *Ann. Geofis.* **43**, 61–79.
- Klinger, Y., J. P. Avouac, L. Dorbath, N. Abou Karaki, and N. Tisnerat (2000). Seismic behavior of the Dead Sea fault along Araba valley (Jordan), *Geophys. J. Int.* **142**, 769–782.
- Marco, S., A. Agnon, R. Ellenblum, A. Eidelman, U. Basson, and A. Boas (1997). 817-year-old walls offset sinistrally 2.1 m by the Dead Sea Transform, Israel, *J. Geodyn.* **14**, 11–20.
- Marco, S., M. Hartal, N. Hazan, L. Lev, and M. Stein (2003). Archaeology, history, and geology of the 749 AD earthquake, Dead Sea Transform, *Geology* **31**, 665–668.
- Marco, S., T. K. Rockwell, A. Heimann, U. Frieslander, and A. Agnon (2005). Late Holocene slip of the Dead Sea Transform revealed in 3D palaeoseismic trenches on the Jordan Gorge segment, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **234**, 189–205.
- McCoy, F. W., and G. Heiken (2000). Tsunami generated by the Late Bronze Age eruption of Thera (Santorini), Greece, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **157**, 1227–1256.
- Meghraoui, M., F. Gomez, R. Sbeinati, J. Van der Woerd, M. Mouty, A. N. Darkal, Y. Radwan, I. Layyous, H. Al Najjar, R. Darawcheh, F. Hijazi, R. Al-Ghazzi, and M. Barazangi (2003). Evidence for 830 years of seismic quiescence from palaeoseismology, archaeoseismology and historical seismicity along the Dead Sea fault in Syria, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **210**, 35–52.
- Migowski, C., A. Agnon, R. Bookman, J. F. W. Negendank, and M. Stein (2004). Recurrence pattern of Holocene earthquakes along the Dead Sea transform revealed by varve-counting and radiocarbon dating of lacustrine sediments, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **222**, 301–314.
- Miller, W. (1913). *The Ottoman Empire, 1801–1913*, Cambridge University Press, 1913, Perry-Castañeda Library, Map Collection, The University of Texas at Austin, www.lib.utexas.edu/maps/historical/ottoman_empire_1801.jpg (last accessed December 2006).
- Miloh, T., and H. L. Striem (1978). Tsunamis effects at coastal sites due to offshore faulting, in *Structure and Tectonics of the Eastern Mediterranean*, O. H. Oren (Editor), *Tectonophysics* **46**, 347–356.
- Minoura, K., F. Imamura, U. Kuran, T. Nakamura, G. A. Papadopoulos, T. Takahashi, and A. C. Yalciner (2000). Discovery of Minoan tsunami deposits, *Geology* **28**, 59–62.
- National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) www.ngdc.noaa.gov/seg/hazard/tsevsrch_idb.shtml (last accessed December 2006).
- Neimi, T. M., H. Zhang, M. Atallah, and B. J. Harrison (2001). Late Pleistocene and Holocene slip rate of the Northern Wadi Araba fault, Dead Sea Transform, Jordan, *J. Seism.* **5**, 449–474.
- Papadopoulos, G. A. (2001). Tsunamis in the East Mediterranean: I. A catalogue for the area of Greece and adjacent seas, in *Proceedings of the Joint IOC—IUGG International Workshop: Tsunami Risk Assessment Beyond 2000: Theory, Practice and Plans*, Moscow, 14–16 June 2000, 34–43.
- Papadopoulos, G. A., and B. J. Chalkis (1984). Tsunamis observed in Greece and the surrounding area from antiquity up to the present times, *Mar. Geol.* **56**, 309–317.
- Papazachos, B., Ch. Koutitas, P. Hatzidimitriou, V. Karakostas, and P. Papaiannou Ch. (1985). Source and short-distance propagation of the July 9, 1956 southern Aegean tsunami, *Mar. Geol.* **65**, 343–351.

- Papazachos, B., Ch. Koutitas, P. Hatzidimitriou, V. Karakostas, and Ch. Papaioannou (1986). Tsunami hazard in Greece and the surrounding area, *Ann. Geophys.* **4**, 79–90.
- Papazachos, B. C., and Ch. A. Papaioannou (1999). Lithospheric boundaries and plate motions in the Cyprus area, *Tectonophysics* **308**, 193–204.
- Perissoratis, C., and G. A. Papadopoulos (1999). Sediment instability and slumping in the southern Aegean Sea and the case history of the 1956 tsunami, *Mar. Geol.* **161**, 287–305.
- Plassard, J., and B. Kogoj (1968). Catalogue des seisms ressentis au Liban, *Ann. Mém. Obs. Ksara*, 12 pp.
- Poirier, J. P., and M. A. Taher (1980). Historical seismicity in the near and Middle East, North Africa, and Spain from arabic documents (VIII–XVIIIth Century), *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **70**, 2185–2201.
- Reches, Z., and D. F. Hoexter (1981). Holocene seismic and tectonic activity in the Dead Sea area, *Tectonophysics* **80**, 235–254.
- Reinhardt, E. G., B. N. Goodman, J. Boyce, G. Lopez, P. Van Hengstum, W. J. Rink, Y. Mart, and A. Raban (2006). The tsunami of 13 December A.D. 115 and the destruction of Herod the Great's harbor at Caesarea Maritima, Israel, *Geology* **34**, no. 12, 1061–1064.
- Rotstein, Y., and A. L. Kafka (1982). Seismotectonics of the southern boundary of Anatolia, Eastern Mediterranean region: subduction, collision and arc jumping, *J. Geophys. Res.* **87**, 7694–7706.
- Salamon, A., A. Hofstetter, Z. Garfunkel, and H. Ron (1996). Seismicity of the Eastern Mediterranean region: perspective from the Sinai subplate, *Tectonophysics* **263**, 293–305.
- Salamon, A., A. Hofstetter, Z. Garfunkel, and H. Ron (2003). Seismotectonics of the Sinai subplate: the Eastern Mediterranean region, *Geophys. J. Int.* **155**, 149–173.
- Satake, K., K. Shimazaki, Y. Tsuji, and K. Ueda (1996). Time and size of a giant earthquake in Cascadia inferred from Japanese tsunami record of January 1700, *Nature* **379**, 246–249.
- Sbeinati, M. R., R. Darawcheh, and M. Mouty (2004). The historical earthquakes of Syria: an analysis of large and moderate earthquakes from 1365 B.C. to 1900 A.D., *Ann. Geophys.* **47**, 733–758.
- Schnellmann, M., F. S. Anselmetti, D. Giardini, J. A. McKenzie, and S. N. Ward (2002). Prehistoric earthquake history revealed by lacustrine slump deposits, *Geology* **30**, 1131–1134.
- Shalem, N. (1956). Seismic tidal waves (tsunamis) in the Eastern Mediterranean, *Bull. Isr. Explor. Soc.* **20**, nos. 3–4, 159–170 (in Hebrew).
- Soloviev, S. L., O. N. Solovieva, C. N. Go, K. S. Kim, and N. A. Shchetnikov (2000). *Tsunamis in the Mediterranean Sea 2000 B.C.–2000 A.D.*, in *Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research Series*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Hingham, Massachusetts, Vol. 13, 260 pp.
- Striem, H. L., and T. Miloh (1976). Tsunamis induced by submarine slumpings off the coast of Israel, Israel Atomic Energy Commission Report IA-LD-1-102.
- Ten Veen, J. H., J. M. Woodside, T. A. C. Zitter, J. F. Dumont, J. Mascle, and A. Volkonskaia (2004). Neotectonic evolution of the Anaximander Mountains at the junction of the Hellenic and Cyprus arcs, *Tectonophysics* **391**, 35–65.
- Tinti, S., A. Armigliato, G. Pagnoni, and F. Zaniboni (2005). Scenarios of giant tsunamis of tectonic origin in the Mediterranean, *ISET J. Earthquake Technol.* **42**, no. 4, 171–188.
- Tinti, S., A. Maramai, and L. Graziani (2004). The new catalogue of Italian tsunamis, *Nat. Hazards* **33**, 439–465.
- Van Dorn, W. G. (1987). Tide gage response to tsunamis. Part II: Other oceans and smaller seas, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **17**, 1507–1516.
- Violleaud, Ch. (1935). La revolte de Koser contre Baal, *Syria* **16**, 29–45.
- Ward, S. N. (2001). Landslide tsunami, *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 11,201–11,216.
- Ward, S. N. (2002). Tsunamis, in *Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology*, R. A. Meyers (Editor), Academic Press, New York, Vol. 17, 175–191.
- Ward, S. N., and E. Asphaug (2000). Asteroid impact tsunamis: a probabilistic hazard assessment, *Icarus* **145**, 64–78.
- Ward, S. N., and E. Asphaug (2002). Impact tsunami—Eltanin, *Deep-Sea Res. Part II* **46**, 1073–1079.
- Ward, S. N., and S. Day (2001). Cumbre Vieja Volcano—potential collapse and tsunami at La Palma, Canary Islands, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 3397–3400.
- Ward, S. N., and S. Day (2002). Suboceanic landslides, in *2002 Yearbook of Science and Technology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 349–352.
- Ward, S. N., and S. Day (2003). Ritter Island Volcano—lateral collapse and tsunami of 1888, *Geophys. J. Int.* **154**, 891–902.
- Ward, S. N., and S. Day (2005). Tsunami thoughts, *Recorder J. Can. Soc. Explor. Geophys.* December, 39–44.
- Ward, S. N., and S. Day (2006). A particulate kinematic model for large debris avalanches: interpretation of debris avalanche deposits and landslide seismic signals of Mount St. Helens, May 18th 1980, *Geophys. J. Int.* **167**, 991–1004, doi 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03118.x.
- Whelan, F., and D. Kelletat (2002). Geomorphic evidence and relative and absolute dating results for tsunami events on Cyprus, *Sci. Tsunami Hazards* **20**, 3–18.
- Wust, H. (1997). The November 22, 1995, Nuweiba earthquake, Gulf of Elat (Aqaba), postseismic analysis of failure features and seismic hazard implications, *Isr. Geol. Surv. Report GSI/3/97*, 58 pp.
- Yokoyama, I. (1978). The tsunami caused by the prehistoric eruption of Thera, in *Thera and the Aegean World I*, C. Doumas (Editor), Second International Scientific Congress, Santorini, Greece, August 1978, Vol. 1, 277–283.
- Zilberman, E., R. Amit, I. Bruner, and Y. Nachmias (2004). Neotectonic and paleoseismic study—Bet Shean Valley, *Isr. Geol. Surv. Report GSI/15/2004*.
- Zilberman, E., R. Amit, N. Porat, Y. Enzel, and U. Avner (2005). Surface ruptures induced by the devastating 1068 AD earthquake in the southern Arava valley, Dead Sea Rift, Israel, *Tectonophysics* **408**, 79–99.

Appendix

The tsunami simulations here derive from linear dispersive water wave theory. Taking the origin of coordinates at a representative location in the source region, vertical tsunami motions at the sea surface at $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ and time t in an ocean of uniform depth h , is:

$$u_z(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{kdk}{2\pi \cosh(kh)} J_m(kr) e^{im\theta} \times \int_{A(t)} d\mathbf{r}_0 J_m(kr_0) e^{-im\theta_0} \int_0^t dt_0 \dot{u}_z^{bot}(\mathbf{r}_0, t_0) \cos(\omega(k)(t - t_0)) \quad (1)$$

In (1), the $J_m(x)$ are cylindrical Bessel functions, θ is the azimuth angle measured from x toward y , $r = |\mathbf{r}|$, k is wavenumber, $\omega(k)$ is the frequency associated with tsunami waves of wavenumber k in water of depth h , and $\omega^2(k) = gk \tanh(kh)$. Assumed to be known is $\dot{u}_z^{bot}(\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)$, the time derivative of the vertical displacement of the seafloor. $\dot{u}_z^{bot}(\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)$ integrated over the source area $A(t)$ and source duration drives the tsunami. If the time history of seafloor uplift is everywhere “ramp like”, starting at $t = t_0$ and lasting for time T_R , then (1) becomes

$$u_z(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{kdk}{2\pi \cosh(kh)} \quad (2)$$

$$J_m(kr)e^{im\theta} \cos(\omega(k)(t - t_0 - \tau/2))$$

$$\times \frac{\sin(\omega(k)\tau/2)}{-\omega(k)T_R/2} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\min(t-t_0, T_R)} \int_{\text{Area}} d\mathbf{r}_0 U(\mathbf{r}_0) J_m(kr_0) e^{-im\theta_0}$$

It is in the prescription of $U(\mathbf{r}_0)$ where local information comes into play. For an earthquake source, (2) is evaluated once with $U(\mathbf{r}_0)$ being the vertical component of the fault's static deformation field. Fault information like strike, dip, rake, length, width, location, and predicted slip amount are needed here. For a landslide source, (2) is evaluated several times with $U(\mathbf{r}_0)$ being "piston-like" uplifts or draw-downs over rectangular regions shifted in time and space to mimic the passing of landslide material (Fig. 5). Local details of slide volume, area, speed, and path are needed in this case. Equation (2) also can be written

$$u_z(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k(\omega)d\omega}{2\pi u(\omega) \cosh(k(\omega)h)} \quad (3)$$

$$J_m(\omega T(\omega, \mathbf{r})) e^{im\theta} \cos(\omega(t - t_0 - \tau/2))$$

$$\times \frac{\sin(\omega\tau/2)}{-\omega T_R/2} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\min(t-t_0, T_R)} \int_{\text{Area}} d\mathbf{r}_0 U(\mathbf{r}_0) J_m(k(\omega)r_0) e^{-im\theta_0}$$

with travel time $T(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = r/c(\omega)$. The $c(\omega) = \omega/k(\omega)$ and $u(\omega)$ are the tsunami phase and group velocities. In moving to a variable depth ocean, (3) becomes

$$u_z(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k_c(\omega)d\omega}{2\pi u_c(\omega) \cosh(k_c(\omega)h_c)} \quad (4)$$

$$J_m(\omega T_p(\omega, \mathbf{r})) e^{im\theta} \cos(\omega(t - t_0 - \tau/2))$$

$$\left[\frac{u_c(\omega)}{u(\omega)} \right]^{1/2} G(\mathbf{r}) \times \frac{\sin(\omega\tau/2)}{-\omega T_R/2} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\min(t-t_0, T_R)}$$

$$\int_{\text{Area}} d\mathbf{r}_0 U(\mathbf{r}_0) J_m(k_c(\omega)r_0) e^{-im\theta_0}$$

where variables with subscript c are calculated using the water depth h_c at the origin, e.g., k_c is found from $\omega^2 = gk_c \tanh(k_c h_c)$. The principal differences between (4) and (3) are:

1. Travel time $T(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = P(r)/\bar{c}(\omega)$ is now calculated over a curved "ray path" of length P and mean phase speed $\bar{c}(\omega)$ over that path.
2. A new shoaling factor $[u_c(\omega)/u(\omega)]^{1/2}$ accounts for wave height changes due to water depth.

3. A new geometrical spreading factor $G(r) \leq 1$ takes into consideration the reduction of wave amplitudes into shadow zones.

Examples of tsunami modeling of various scenarios and sources from around the world can be found in Ward and Asphaug (2000, 2002), Ward (2001, 2002), Ward and Day (2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2006), and Schnellmann *et al.* (2002).

Run-up Estimates

Simple linear wave theory cannot follow the waves all the way on to land. Instead we follow Chesley and Ward (2006) and take the wave amplitude A (one-half peak to peak) in shallow water depth D ($D > A$) some distance offshore and estimate run-up height R as

$$R = A^{0.8} D^{0.2} \quad (5)$$

Formula (5) fits well with experiments of two-dimensional breaking and nonbreaking solitary waves over a range of conditions on a smooth planar beach. Run-up, however, being an extreme measure, is not a particularly stable quantity in three-dimensional real-world situations. Within very short distances, field run-ups can vary easily by factors of 2 or 3. Operationally, we consider run-ups from formula (5) to represent a mean value of a statistical distribution that has a standard deviation roughly equal to the mean. That is, for a quoted run-up of 2 m say, perhaps 15% of nearby locations would experience run-ups >4 m and a few percent of nearby locations would experience run-ups >6 m.

The Geological Survey of Israel
30 Malkhe Israel
Jerusalem 95501, Israel
salamon@gsi.gov.il
(A.S.)

Department of Geological Sciences
MC-1020, 5500 Campanile Drive
San Diego State University
San Diego, California 92182
trockwell@geology.sdsu.edu
(T.R., A.S.)

Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics
Earth and Marine Science Building
1156 High Street
University of California
Santa Cruz, California 95064
ward@pmc.ucsc.edu
(S.N.W.)

SGA Storia Geofisica Ambiente s.r.l.
via del Battiferro, 10/b
40129 Bologna, Italy
guidoboni@sga-storiageo.it
(E.G., A.C.)

Electronic Supplement to Tsunami Hazard evaluation to the Eastern Mediterranean: Historical Analysis and Selected Modeling

by Amos Salamon, Thomas Rockwell, Steven N. Ward, Emanuela Guidoboni, and Alberto Comastri

Tsunami scenarios

Figure 1 Tsunami from the Akhziv Canyon landslide scenario. Quarter-minute bathymetry is from Hall (1981). Numbers in the yellow circles show estimated average run-up height up to the indicated time of the frame.

View [movie in browser](#) | Download movie: [haifa_mov.zip](#) [Zipped Quicktime file; 3.1 MB]

Figure 2 Tsunami from the Cypriot Arc thrust scenario. Red colors are areas elevated relative to still water. Blue areas are lowered relative to still water. Numbers sample wave heights and depressions in meters. Numbers on the waveform panels are estimated average run-up. Two minutes bathymetry is from ETOPO2.

View [movie in browser](#) | Download movie: [cyprus_mov.zip](#) [Zipped Quicktime file; 2.3 MB]

Figure 3 Beirut Thrust earthquake scenario. Two minutes bathymetry is from ETOPO2.

View [movie in browser](#) | Download movie: [beirut_small_mov.zip](#) [Zipped Quicktime file; 1.5 MB]

Tech Note: The three movie files linked above may require Apple's free Quicktime software to view. Quicktime is included on all Apple Macintosh and many Microsoft Windows computers. If you need to install Quicktime software it can be downloaded from <http://www.apple.com/quicktime/>. Users of Linux or Unix operating systems may be able to play these movies using open source software such as [Mplayer](#), [Totem](#), or [VLC](#).

Lists of tsunamis and earthquakes

Presented here is the data on which we based our integrated list of tsunamis and earthquakes along the Eastern Mediterranean coasts and the Dead Sea Transform (DST) system. Comments on the tsunamis and earthquakes included in our lists, as well as on those that were not included, are presented in Appendices 1 and 2, respectively. References and list of abbreviations for the citations used in the Tables and the Appendices are presented thereafter.

Table 1 Reliably reported tsunamis for the Eastern Mediterranean region

Table 2 Doubtful tsunamis reported for the Eastern Mediterranean

Table 3 Significant historical earthquakes possibly occurred along the DST system

Table 4 Remote earthquakes that generated tsunamis that arrived at the Eastern Mediterranean coasts

Table 5 Significant recorded earthquakes (20th century) that did not generate a tsunami or generated a tsunami that did not arrive to the Eastern Mediterranean coasts

Table 6 Seismic sea-waves in the Dead Sea, Sea of Galilee and Gulf of Aqaba

Appendices

Appendix 1 Comments on some selected tsunamis and earthquakes included in our list

Appendix 2 Comments on some selected tsunamis and earthquakes that were not included in our list

References

746 01 18	primary sources do not mention where the tsunami occurred and the Dead Sea and Sea of Galilee should not be ruled out. Therefore, the location of the tsunami is only an interpretation. <i>Here we assume that the tsunami occurred in the Mediterranean, opposite (more or less) the maximum damage zone and the possible surface rupture (Am8, MHH).</i>	?						?	?	?	?						
802 12 30 - 803 12 19	AE, Am3, An3: Massisa coasts, near Gulf of Iskendrun,(Turkey).	S														Isk ~~~	
1033 12 05 (1034 01 04?)	AMA: Mediterranean coast of Palestine (Israel). Am3: Coasts of Lebanon and Israel. Acre. AAT: 1034 01 04, The port of 'Akko fell dry for an hour. Tsunami at Jaffa. GC: "... tsunami effects is confined to Acre... but it is reasonable to suppose that the tsunami affected the whole coast". Shal: Could be duplicated from the 1068 event. SSG: Tidal waves observed in Gaza and Ashkelon. The seaport of Akko became dry for a long time, then it was half destroyed by a wave.	S					?	~~~	~~	~~~ ↓							
1036 03 12 1037 03 11	GC: Cilicia, a region of southern Turkey facing the Gulf of Iskendrun, "...the vast Mediterranean sea billowed back and forth..."	S														Isk ~~~	
1068 05 29	Am3: 1068 03 18, Coasts of Israel at Holotz Ashod (Ashdod sands?) and Yavneh. AAT: 1068 03 18, The sea receded the distance 'of a day's walk'. Strong tsunami observed at Yavne and Ashdod. BM: 1068 03 18, Tsunami at southern Israeli coasts. Sea receded and returned. AMA. GC. Shal: 1068 03 18. SSG: 1068 03 18.	S		~~ ↓↑	~~ ↓↑	~~~ ↓↑	~~~ ↓↑										
	AB: ... associated with a damaging sea wave. AM2. Am3:																

1759 10 30	AB: A seismic sea wave flooded Acre and the docks at Tripoli, but there was no apparent damage. Am3: Coasts of Israel and Lebanon. Acre (8' (ft)). AAT: 'At 'Akko the sea rose', flooding the streets to a height of 2-2.5 m. Shal.	S (-M?)									~~~~ ↑	?	~~~~			
1759 11 25	AB: A seismic sea wave associated with the earthquake was noted as far south as the Nile Delta, where the sea was discolored for many days, but it caused no damage there. In Acre, ships were thrown onto the shore, and there were some casualties	S (-M?)	Nile Delta ~~~~	?	?	?	?	?	?		~~~~ ↑					
1870 06 24	AMA: "In Alexandria ... in the New Port area ... the sea flooded the quay. The shock was felt on board ships in both the Old and New Ports...". Am3: Alexandria. An6: Alexandria, Italian coasts. BM: Damage and tsunami in Alexandria. SSG: The water in the new port in Alexandria splashed out onto the quay.	M	Alx ~~~~ ↑													~~~~
1872 04 03	Am4: "The sea rose after the earthquake, allegedly to a great height, flooding the coast". Coasts of Kabusi, Jedida and Laushiya near Antakya (Antioch).	S												Ant ~~~~ ↑		
1908 12 28	Strong earthquake and a tsunami in Messina Straits, Italy. Am3: Egyptian coasts. An7: Libyan sea, 90 miles north of Alexandria, Egyptian coasts. SSG: There exists evidence that noticeable tsunami waves reached the shore of Libya and the western shore of Egypt where they were observed in the region of Alexandria. <i>The only known tsunami to arrive from Italy.</i>	L	Alx ~~~~													
1953 09 10	AE: South coasts of Turkey. SSG: "A series of tidal waves was noted on the Island of Cyprus. There was no damage."	S												~~	?	

1956 07 09	Am1: Greek Archipelago. GG: Tsunami in Jaffa, amplitude 28cm, wave period 12-15 min., duration two days. Shal: "echo" of a tsunami in Haifa Bay. VD.	M															G ~~~
Number of Tsunamis			Eg	Ga	Al	As	Y	J	Ca	Ak	Ty	SBT	Sy	Cy	Isk	GC	
Since mid 2 nd century BC, for this coast				3	3	3	4	6	5	8	6	3	3				
Since mid 2 nd century BC, for this country			NC ^f 6	Israel: 11							Leban: 6		3	NC ^f 3	NC ^f 2	NC ^f	
Since mid 2 nd century BC, Levant, Gaza to				Levant: 13													

^a Events are marked by time of occurrence, as detailed as known: year, month, day. '000': The "reliable period" used for hazard evaluation, starts at about mid 2nd century B.C.

^b Reference to sources are in bold letters, see list of reference abbreviations. Our comments and interpretation are in *italic letters*.

^c Areal extent of the tsunami: **L:** occurred over a wide range or in several distant coasts along the Eastern Mediterranean; **M:** spread along several nearby coasts; **S:** limited to a few nearby coasts only.

^d Affected coasts along the Eastern Mediterranean are from south to north. Locality abbreviations and historical names: Ak- Akko, Acre, Ptolemais, in Israel; Al- Ashkelon, in Israel; Alx- Alexandria, in Egypt; AM- Asia Minor, in Turkey; Ant- Antakya (Antioch), in Turkey near the Syrian border; As- Ashdod, in Israel; Brt- Beirut, in Lebanon, C- Crete; Ca- Caesarea, in Israel; G- Greece, Ga- Gaza; Isk- Iskenderun, Alexandretta Bay, in Turkey; J- Jaffa, in Israel; Pel-Pellusium in Sinai in Egypt; Said- Saida, Sidon, in Lebanon; Tri- Tripoli, in Lebanon; Tyre- Sur, Tsur, in Lebanon; Y- Yavneh, in Israel.

^e Tsunami symbols: '~::~' reported for a specific site; '~::' reported at coasts of this country; '~' reported at a general region; '?' tsunami inferred or questionable. Phase of the tsunami if explicitly mentioned: #8595; - down; ↑ - up. Order of phases in time is from left to right.

^f NC: List is not complete for this coast.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)

Table 2

Doubtful tsunamis reported for the Eastern Mediterranean

Event ^a	Sources of data and short description ^b	Affected Area ^c	Why doubtful ^d
II millennium B.C.	Am3: Syrian coasts... From cuneiform texts.	S	SSG: "these writings most likely referred to the events of 1380 B.C. (1380 ±100 B.C.), and to the period between 1700 B.C. and 1380 B.C.," which is later considered as the LM tsunami. <i>It could also be the 1365 B.C. tsunami mentioned by AJM to be taken from a tablet from Tel Amarna in Egypt.</i>
1627-1600 B.C.	Pumice findings in Cyprus and Israel were considered as evidence for this tsunami.	M-L	The tsunami that followed the Late Minoan (LM) Thera (Santorini) eruption. Am1. DH. An8. CA. Me. MH. MIK. MM. PC1. PC2. Yok. The tsunami did occur in the Aegean Sea, however DH questions the finding of Pumice in Cyprus and Israel as a tsunamite of this event.
760 B.C.	Am3: Coasts of Israel and Lebanon. BM: 759 B.C.	M	Be: This is just an example. <i>It appears in the Bible, book of Amos, chapter 9, chronologically indeterminate with no clear connection to the earthquake mentioned previously in chapter 1 that in turn is reported and dated in a historical context.</i>
590 B.C.	Am3: Coasts of Lebanon. Sur (Tyre).	S	Am3: "Not found in early texts". <i>No ancient sources for an earthquake or a tsunami on that year.</i>
525 B.C.	Coasts of Lebanon. Saida (Sidon). Shal: An earthquake possibly followed by a tsunami in Tyre.	S	Am3: doubtful, "No authority is quoted. No mention of a seismic sea wave by chronicles who describe the earthquake". An1.

240 B.C.	Shal ; Possible tsunami between Beirut and Akko.	S	Shal : Should be associated with the tsunami of 140 B.C.
222 B.C.	AE : Rhodes, Cyprus, Corinth.	S	Am3 : doubtful. Mistaken for the 142 A.D. tsunami in the island of Rhodes.
198 B.C.	SSG : “Eastern coastal area of Mediterranean sea. Shortly after the appearance of a big comet an earthquake occurred that was accompanied by an overflow of the sea water.”	M	<i>This was duplicated from the event of 373/372 B.C. (SSG, GCT) which occurred in the Gulf of Corinth.</i> AW, GCT : Earthquake in Sidon, Phonicia, Syria, on 199-198 BC, but tsunami is not mentioned.
92 02 28 B.C.	AAT : Tsunami on Levant coasts. Coasts of Lebanon and Israel. BM : Big tsunami hit Levantine coasts. Flooding of Pellusium. Shal : 92 BC, earthquake and tsunami.	M	Ka :” This event (92 B.C.) illustrates the role of locally composed Jewish texts in analysis of several 2 nd century B.C. earthquakes that were imported into the Israeli catalogues from elsewhere in Eastern Mediterranean”.
26 B.C.	AE : Paphos-Cyprus	S	GCT : The only earthquake concerning Cyprus in this period is the one dated to 17 B.C. and no tsunami is mention for that event.
A.D. 76	Cyprus, Kition, Paphos and Salamis. AE .	S	Am3 : doubtful. “... Storm sea-waves...” An2 : “... no mention of such an event in the narrations of early chroniclers...”
115 12 13	Shal : The coast between Caesarea and Yavne was hit (Based on Judaic sources). RGB : Underwater geoarchaeological findings offshore near Caesarea may suggest the occurrence of a tsunami between 1 st c. B.C. to 2 nd c. A.D.	S	<i>We could not find this tsunami in other sources and the affected coast is far south of the earthquake location. Large storm surges need to be clearly excluded as the possible source for these findings. This event certainly needs further investigation.</i>
293-308	AAT, BM : 306, Tsunami at Caesarea. GCT : 293-306, tsunami in Salamis (Cyprus). Shal : 308 (winter), Caesarea (?)	S-M	Shal (and AAT after Shal) doubt this event. <i>Re-evaluating the original sources for the earthquake of 293-306 (“and the greater part of it [Salamis] was plunged into the sea by an earthquake”), a landslide is a more plausible interpretation rather than a tsunami, as previously suggested by GCT. We did not find original sources that mention a tsunami in this time period.</i>
342	AE : Paphos, Famagusta – Cyprus.	S	Am3 : doubtful. “... No seismic sea-wave...” An2 : “... we can find no reference to such an event...”
348/9	Syrian coasts. Beirut, Arwad Islands (near Tartus). BM : the earthquake occurred on 349. Shal .	S-M	Am3, An2 : “Not found in contemporary writers”. <i>The primary sources for this earthquake do not mention a tsunami.</i>
Winter 542	AAT : Lebanese coast: Tripoli, Beirut, Byblos (25 km north of Beirut), Laodicea (Latakia). The sea receded 2 miles.	S	Shal : duplicated after the tsunami of 551 07 09. AE, An3, Am3 : “542 winter. Sea of Marmara (Turkey). Thracian coasts(northeast Greece)...” <i>The tsunami occurred outside of the present study area.</i>
811	Coasts of Israel and Egypt, from Acre to Alexandria. AAT : Coasts of Israel and Lebanon.	M – L	Am3 : it was mistakenly duplicated after the 1303 tsunami. An3 : “... we were unable to find any justification for this event...” SSG : it was erroneously duplicating the 881/2 tsunami but AMA interpret that no tidal wave followed the 881/2 earthquake.
859 04 08	Shal : Great earthquake that caused a tsunami that hit the northern Syrian coast.	S	Shal : Doubtful, as is the tsunami of 991.
859 12 30 – 860 01 29	Am3, An1, An3 : Syrian coasts, near Samandag, southwest of Antioch. SSG : “In the region of Samandagi (some references give Akko) sea receded and than flooded the coast.”	S	GCT : The description of al-Tabari is rather generic: “Mount Casius split open and rocks fell into the sea, which was stormy that day” and can be interpret as a landslide into the sea. The effect at sea (which was interpreted as a tsunami) was given by much later sources. AMA do not mention a tsunami. <i>In any case, we do not exclude the possible scenario of a rock-fall that generates a small local tsunami.</i>
	AAT : Tsunami at Akko. SSG : A tidal wave in		

881/2	Akko... sea level rose in Alexandria, The Nile overflowed its banks...	S – M	AMA : erroneous. No tsunami. An1 .
991 04 05	Coasts of Syria. Shal : Earthquake in Syria, felt as far as Egypt, possibly associated with a tsunami.	S	Am3 : doubtful. "... this earthquake was not accompanied by a seismic sea-wave." An3 . Shal : doubtful.
1032 03 06	AAT : Tsunami at Ashkelon and Gaza. BM : tsunami.	S	GC : no written sources for this event. 1033 12 05 is confused with 1032 03 06 (that actually occurred in 1033 03 06 in Constantinople, Turkey).
1115 11 29	AE : Ceyhan, Antakya, Maras (around Iskenderun Bay). AAT : Apparently Antiochia (Antioch). BM : 1114 08 10.	S	Am6 : "We could not substantiate the statement that as a result of the earthquake the sea got up ... causing some damage, spurious information, perhaps belonging to the earthquake of 10th August 1114". <i>However, the file concerning the earthquake of 8th August 1114 does not mention a tsunami.</i>
1157 08 15	AB : ... associated with a sea wave. AE :Hama-Homs (northwestern Syria), Chaizar Region, 1157 07 15.	S	Am6 in a later study of that event (that follows AB) does not mention a tsunami.
1170 06 29	AB : ... associated with a sea wave.	S	Am6 in a later study of that event (that follows AB) does not mention a tsunami.
1261	Shal (after Makrizi): Strong earthquake in the coast of Lebanon that caused the sinking of seven islands between Akko and Tripoli.	S	<i>Tsunami is not explicitly mentioned. We could not verify this event in other catalogs.</i>
1303 12	Am3 : ...Egyptian coasts...	L	<i>Duplicated from the 1303 08 08 because of mistaken chronological interpretation. It is not mentioned in later catalogs (GC), neither in AMA (that follows Am3).</i>
1402/3 11 16	Am3 : 1403 11 16: Syrian coasts, Asia Minor south coasts. AAT : 1402, Lebanese coast. The sea receded and then invaded the land. An4 . BM : Tsunami hit coasts of Lebanon and Asia Minor. 1402 11 16. Shal . SSG : 1403 (1402) November 16. Near the shore of Syria and Palestine, the sea receded by more than one mile...	M	AM2 : erroneous location. <i>All the catalogues directly or indirectly depend on Pe. Pe, however, erroneously located an earthquake/tsunami in Syria which had actually occurred in Greece in the Gulf of Corinth.</i>
1481 10 03	AAT : 1481 10 03, Tsunami at Levant coast, not substantiated for Israel. BM : 1481 10 03, Tsunami at Levantine coasts.	S-M	GC : no tsunami effects for that earthquake. The 1481 05 03 tsunami however, was limited to Rhodes only.
1493 08 18	BM : Earthquake in Kos. Tidal wave at Jaffa. Sea receded.	S	GC : the earthquake at Kos (Eastern Aegean Sea) occurred on 1493 10 18 and tsunami was not mentioned. <i>We did not find primary sources for this tsunami.</i>
1534	Coasts of Israel. Jaffa. AAT : Jaffa. SSG : Jaffa.	S	Am3 : Not found in contemporary sources. AK : Duplicated event. <i>We could not find primary sources for this tsunami</i>
1639	Shal : Earthquake in the sea that sunk ships that anchored in Lebanon ports.	S	<i>Tsunami is not explicitly mentioned. We could not find which source Shal was referring to and could not find this tsunami in other sources</i>
1752 07 21	An5 , BM : Syrian coasts. Shal : Syrian coast, Latakia and Tripoli. SSG : Latakia.	S	Am3 : "no authority is quoted" (by Si). <i>We could not find the primary sources for this earthquake and tsunami.</i>
1822 08 13	AE : Antakya, Iskenderun, Kilis (around the Iskenderun Bay). AAT : Beirut. BM : Tsunami at Iskenderun. SSG : Tsunami was observed in Beirut, Iskenderun, Island of Cyprus.	S-M	Am4 : "The main shock was felt by ships sailing between Cyprus and Lattakiya and halfway between Alexandria and Cyprus. There is no evidence that this event was associated with a seismic sea-wave in the Eastern Mediterranean or with an abnormal fluctuation of sea-level".
1856 10 12	AAT : Haifa, 1856 10 10. BM : Tsunami at Haifa and Lebanon coasts. Shal : 1856 10 10, "During earthquake that hit the Levant coast, a strong sea storm developed in Haifa with not any wind blowing." SSG : Island of Crete. A		AMA : "It was strongly felt by boats sailing off and as far as Central Italy" but tsunami is not explicitly mentioned in the description of this earthquake." PC : question it (Northern Crete (?)) and Pa does not mention it.

	tsunami was generated. Originated at the Hellenic Arc.		<i>This event needs further investigation.</i>
1865 04 22	Shal : Earthquake along the Syrian coast, in the southern coast of Anatolia and the islands. Large storm was felt in Tripoli (a tsunami?)	S	BM mentions only an earthquake on April 22, 1863, in the East Hellenic arc. SSG mentions an earthquake and a storm on 1863 March 22, 22:15, in the Aegean Sea, Island of Rhodes, and that: "...report on the earthquake got mixed up with information on the storm..." Also: "The sea near Tripolis (Tarabulus esh Sham, Lebanon) was furrowed by huge waves at midday on March 22." (<i>before the earthquake?</i>). <i>We did not find an earthquake or a tsunami at that day in other sources.</i>
1941 01 20	AA : Ms=5.9 earthquake in Cyprus. "The earthquake was accompanied by a small seismic sea-wave on the coast of Palestine" (after Shal?).	S	Shal : The earthquake was felt in Palestine and was associated with a strong sea storm. However, mareographs were not systematically examined in order to determine if this was a seismogenic sea storm. <i>Needs further investigation.</i>
1949 06 18	Shal : Seismic sea wave at the coast of Israel as a result of an earthquake in Greece (Santorini!?) on June 17 th . AAT (after Shal): Light tsunami on the coast of Israel.	S-M	ISC lists a moderate $M_L=5.5$ event, on 1949 06 17 04:20, at $N34.4 E28.5$ (East Mediterranean). A tsunami, if generated, should have arrived to Israel about two hours later. However, none of the catalogs we searched listed a tsunami at that time or a few days later.

^a Events are marked by time of occurrence: year, month and day. 'oo': The "reliable period" used for hazard evaluation of the reliable events listed in Tables 1 and 3, starts at about mid 2nd century B.C.

^b Not all of the doubtful events are "non-events". For example, the 1627-1600 B.C. Thera tsunami did occur but so far we have no historiography or field evidence that it reached to the Levant coast. We could not verify these tsunamis with the sources available to us and further examination is certainly needed. List of sources for the doubtful tsunamis is not complete, only a few examples are mentioned here. Sources are in bold letters, see list of reference abbreviations.

^c Alleged areal extent of the doubtful tsunami as described in the literature: **L**: occurred over a wide range or in several distant coasts along the Eastern Mediterranean; **M**: spread along several nearby coasts; **S**: limited to a few nearby coasts only.

^d Our comments and interpretation are in *Italic letters*.

[\[Back to the Top \]](#)

Table 3

Significant historical earthquakes possibly occurred along the Dead Sea Transform system

Earthquake ^a	DST ^b Region	Est. Size ^c	Tsunami ^d	Seismite ^e MI: I, II, III KAE: A ... H	Source of data and comments ^f
760-750 B.C.	C	?	speculated	I, 759 B.C.	GCT, Am7 : "...date, location and magnitude of which cannot be assessed." BM : 759 10 11 evening. FW, ZAB : Possibly a surface rupture at Bet Shean Valley.
199 – 198 B.C.	N	M (?)	-	-	AW : 198 B.C. GCT : 199 – 198 B.C. Ka : "famed emergence of the island of Hiera in 198 B.C."
Mid 2 nd century B.C.	N	?	? Mid 2 nd century B.C.	II, 140 B.C.	Ka : "earthquake and submergence at nearby Sidon", 143/2 B.C.?
148 02 21 B.C. or 130 B.C.	N	M (?)	-	?	AW, GCT, Ka : 146 B.C or 140 B.C.
69 – 65 B.C.	N	M (?)	-	Masked, 64BC A	AW : 69 – 66 BC. GCT : c.65 B.C. Ka .
31 B.C. early spring	C	M (-)	-	I B	GCT, Ka : magnitude in the range of 6-6.5.
A.D. 37 03 23 morning	N	M (-)	-	-	GCT : Damage to Antioch and Daphne.

115 12 13 night	N	L	?	III	AJ: Ms=Large. GCT. MGS: $M_W=7.3-7.5$, Surface rupture at Missyaf segment, Syria.
127-130	C	M (-)	-	-	AAT: 130, "... Caesarea: severe damage..." GCT: Caesarea and Nicopolis (Emmaus).
303/4	N	M (-)	-	-	AAT: 306. GCT: Destruction at Tyre and Sidon.
363 05 18-19, night	C	L (-)	-	D	Am9: Ms=7.4. GCT. Shal: 363 05 24, tsunami in southern Dead Sea.
419	C	M (-)	-	III hiatus	AAT: Many towns and villages destroyed. CT.
502 08 22 night	N?	M	-	III	AAT. GCT.
526 05 20/29 mid-day	N	M	-	-	GCT: Antioch, Seleucia (Turkey)
528 11 29	N	M	-	-	GCT: Antioch, Laodicea (Latakia, Syria)
551 07 09	N	L (-)	551 07 09	III Hiatus	AJ: m. AMA. Am3: "Many writers place... offshore from Lebanon. ... us, however, suggest an epicenter in the Jordan Valley". Am9: Ms=7.3. DEK: Mount Lebanon thrust. GCT. DSM: Roum Fault.
c. 570	N	M	-	-	GCT.
601-602	N	M	-	-	GCT.
659/660	C	M	-	III, A.D. 660 Hiatus	AAT: Jordan Valley... Rehov, Jericho (<i>along the Jordan Valley</i>). GCT: September 659-August 660.
713 02 28 / 03 10	N	M	-	-	BM: 713 03 20. GCT.
746 01 18 morning	C	M (+)	746 01 18	III, A.D. 749 Hiatus	Am8: 746 01 18. Am9: Ms=7.0. AAT: 749 01 18. GCT: 749 01 18. Ka. MHH: Surface rupture in Tiberias (western coast of the Sea of Galilee).
847 11 24	N	M	-	-	GCT.
859 12 30 – 860 01 29	N	L (-)	-	III	AMA 860 01. AAT: 859 04 08. Am9: Ms=7.0. An4: "a large number of earthquakes prevailed in the east". GCT.
991 04 05 night	N	M (-)	-	III	GCT.
1002 11 10 – 1003 10 29	N	M (-)	-	-	AAT: 1002+. GC.
1033 12 05 before sunset	C	M (+)	1033 12 05	I Hiatus	AJ. AMA. AAT: 1033/4 winter, a swarm of earthquakes, including the strongest shock on 1033 12 10 and another on 1034 01 04. Probably in the Jordan Valley. GC: Me=6.0.
1063 07 30 – 08 27	N	M (+)	-	masked	GC: Coastal region from Antioch to Tyre, Me=5.6.
1068 03 18 08 30	S	L (-)	-	III hiatus	AJ: L. AMA: Northern Hejaz, near Tabuk (east of the Gulf of Aqaba and the Red Sea). GC: Aila (Elat, Aqaba, northernmost tip of Gulf of Aqaba), first of two events, Me=8.1. AZP, ZAP: Surface rupture in the southern Arava Valley, M: 6.6-7.
1068 05 29	S-C	M	1068 05 29	?	AMA. GC: The second of two events, in Ramla, Me=6.0.

1138 10 11	N	M (+)	-	-	Seismic sequence from 1138 10 until 1039 06, main-shock on 1138 10 11. Detailed discussion in Am6 and GBC . Am6 : $M < 7$. GC : $M_e = 6.0$.
c. 1150	C	M (-)	-	- hiatus	AAT : 1160. GC : mid 12 nd century.
1156 12 09	N	M (-)	-	-	GC . No damage mentioned by Am6 , $M_e = 5.3$.
1157 04 02	N	M (-)	-	-	GC : $M_e = 5.8$. Am6 : probably damaging.
1157 07 05	N	M	-	-	GC : $M_e = 6.8$. Am6 do not consider this a damaging shock whereas the 1157 07 13 was damaging.
1157 08 12	N	L (-)	-	-	Detailed discussion in Am6 , GBC . AJ : V. Am9 : $M_s = 7.2$. GC . Possibly the strongest shock of the seismic sequence during 1157 08 09 – 09 07.
1163 08	N	M (-)	-	-	GC : Antioch area.
1170 06 29 0345 UT	N	L	-	-	Detailed discussion in Am6 and GBCB . AJ : L. Am9 : $M_s = 7.3$. AMA . MGS : $M_w = 7.3-7.5$. Surface rupture at Missyaf segment, Syria. GC also hypothesize, though not conclusively, the occurrence of two events rather than of one, $M_e = 7.7$.
1202 05 20 0240 UT	C-N	L (-)	1202 05 20	masked	AB : Syria-Baalbek, $M_s = 7.5$. AJ : L. Am2 . AMA . Am9 : $M_s = 7.2$. DKT : Yammouneh fault. EMA . GC : $M_e = 7.6$. MAE , MRH : Surface rupture at Jordan Gorge (south of the Hula Valley)segment.
1212 05 01	S	L (-)	-	II, A.D. 1212 E	AMA : 1212 05 01 05 00. Am9 : $M_s = 7.0$. BM : 1312 05 01 dawn, Heavy destruction at St. Catherine Monastery (Sinai). GC : $M_e = 5.8$. KAD : Possible surface rupture in the northern Arava Valley, $M_w \sim 7$.
1287 02 ? – 03 22	N	M (-)	-	-	GC : three events.
1293 01 11 – 02 08	S-C	M (-)	-	II F	AAT : 1293+. AMA . GC : $M_e = 5.8$.
1404 02 20	N	M	-	-	AB : 1404 02 22, M_s Large, Syria-Hatab. GC : Aleppo, Tripoli.
1408 12 29	N	M (+)	1408 12 29	masked	Western Syria. AJ : m, 1408 12 29. BM : 1408 12 30, near Aleppo. GC : $M_e = 6.0$.
1458 11 08/16	S	M (+)	-	I hiatus	AMA : 1458 11 12. Am9 : $M_s = 7.1$. GC : $M_e = 5.6$. KAD : Possible surface rupture in the northern Arava Valley, $M_w \sim 7$.
1546 01 14 afternoon	C	M (-)	1546 01 14	II hiatus	AK : a medium magnitude event of M_S about 6.0, in many respects similar to that of the earthquake of 1927. AMA : 1546 01 14 16 00. AAT .
1588 01 04 13:00	S	M	-	II	AMA . Am9 : $M_s = 7.2$. BM : Southern Sinai.
1705 11 24	N	M (-)	-	-	AF1 . PT : 1705. SDM : Intensity distribution: Yabroud VIII, Al-Qastal VIII, Damascus VII, Tripoli VII. Aftershocks.
1738 09 25	N	M (-)	-	-	AF2 : Region of Amanus, near Antioch.

1759 10 30 03:45 LT	C-N	M (+)	1759 10 30	?	AB: S. Bekaa (along the Yammaouneh fault), Ms=6.6. AAT. BM: Seiche in Sea of Galilee. DKT: Rachaiya fault. MRH: Surface rupture at Jordan Gorge segment.
1759 11 25 19:23LT	N	L (+)	1759 11 25	II	AB: Syria-Bekaa, Ms=7.4. AJ: L. Am9: Ms=7.5. DKT: Serghaya fault. GMDS: Serghaya Fault?
1796 04 26 09:05	N	M (+)	-	-	AB. AF. AJ: Ms=6.6, Syria-Ladhikiya(Latakia). Am4.
1822 08 13 20:40	N	L (+)	-	II	AB: Ms=7.4. Aafrine, Turkey-Syria region, the East Anatolian Fault where it joins the Dead Sea system. AJ: Ms=7.5. Am4. AAT.
1834 05 26 04:00	C	M (-)	-	Masked G	AAT. BM: $M_L=6.3$.
1837 01 01 14:34	C-N	L (-)	-	II	AJ: Ms=7.4. AAT. Am9: Ms=7.0. BM: tsunami in the Sea of Galilee. Am5: Possibly the Roum fault, but no conclusive evidence.
1872 04 03 07:40	N	L (-)	1872 04 03	-	AB: Ms=7.2, Amik Gulu, the East Anatolian Fault where it joins the Dead Sea system. AJ, Am9: Ms=7.2. Am4. Am9: Ms=7.0.
1918 09 29 12:07	N	M (-)	-	-	ISC. Shap: $M_L=6.2$.
1927 07 11 13 04	C	M (-)	-	I H, EKE	AAT: Wave in the Dead Sea. BMN. SAN: Northern Dead Sea, $M_L=6.2$.
1995 11 22 04 15	S	L (-)	-	No data	ISC: Ms=7.1

^a Events are marked by time of occurrence, as detailed as known: year, month, day, hour, minute (UT). LT: local time. '000': The "reliable period" used for hazard evaluation, starts at about mid 2nd century B.C.

^b Local earthquakes along the DST system, not necessarily on the main transform: N: northern part, in Syria and Lebanon; C: central part, in Israel, from the Hula Valley to the Dead Sea; S: southern part, in Israel, Arava Valley and southwards.

^c Size of the earthquakes. We follow the broad categories suggested by **AJ:** V- very large event ($M_s \geq 7.8$), L- large ($7.8 > M_s \geq 7.0$), M- Moderate ($7.0 > M_s \geq 6.0$) and S- small ($M_s < 6.0$). Lower range of the 'M' and 'L' sizes are noted by (-) and higher by (+). Estimations were taken from historical, geological and paleoseismological studies. If not available, we estimated the size according to our best judgment.

^d Tsunami is mentioned if associated with this earthquake. Timing of earthquakes and tsunamis does not always match and it may reflect ambiguities in the historical data. We therefore associated the tsunami with its most likely causative earthquake.

^e Appearance of a deformed sedimentary structure (a mixed layer) in the Dead Sea Holocene deposits that is associated with historic earthquake. **KAE** correlations for the last two millennia are noted as '+ A, B...' or 'hiatus' if no (deformed or un-deformed) sedimentary record is found for the time period of that event. **MAB** findings are noted as types I, II and III according to the thickness of the seismite: >5, 1 – 5, and <1 cm, respectively. Records of earthquakes (deformed layer) that were probably deformed by subsequent events are noted as 'masked'. **EKE:** Deformed layer.

^f More comments are in Appendices 1 and 2. 'Me': "equivalent magnitude value", refer to **GC** for explanation.

[\[Back to the Top \]](#)

Table 4

Remote earthquakes that generated tsunamis that arrived at the Eastern Mediterranean coast

Date	Region ^a	Tsunami	Estimated Magnitude	Source of data and comments
17 B.C.	CA	23±3 B.C. ?	M	GCT: 17 B.C. is the only earthquake in Cyprus at that time.
662 AD				AMA. AJM. DH. GCT: epicenter in central coast

305 0/ 21 before sunrise	HA-W	365 07 21	V	AMA. Am. DH. GC1: epicenter in central part of Crete.
802 12 30 – 803 12 19	CA-EAF	802 12 30 – 803 12 19	?	An4: "... earthquake and an inundation at Massisah..." This is in the northwestern side of Iskendrun Bay, Turkey.
1036 03 12 – 1037 03 11	CA-EAF	1036 03 12 – 1037 03 11	M (-)	GC: Earthquake in Cilicia, southern Turkey, facing the Gulf of Iskendrun. No record of damage but substantial natural effects.
1222 05 11 06:15 UT	CA	1222 05 11	M	AMA , in Cyprus. GC: southern Cyprus, Me=6.0.
1303 08 08 03:30 UT	HA-E	1303 08 08	V	AMA. GC: Crete, Me=8.0.
1870 06 24 17:00 UT	HA-E	1870 06 24	L	AMA : 1870 06 24 18 25.
1908 12 28 05:20 UT	IT	1908 12 28	L (+)	BGF: M7.1. ISC: Ms=7.5. Messina Straits, Italy.
1953 09 10 04:06 UT	CA	1953 09 10	M	ISC: M=6.2-6.5.
1956 07 09 03 11 38 UT	AS	1956 07 09	L	Am1, Am3: M=7.5. Seismic sea wave in the Greek Archipelago. DH. GG: Tsunami in Jaffa. PKH. Shal: Possibly "an echo" of a tsunami in Haifa Bay. VD.

These earthquakes occurred out of the DST region, but not necessarily far away. The two CA-EAF events are in fact in Anatolia (Asia Minor), on the northwestern side of the Iskendrun Bay, opposite the DST.

Details are as in tables 1 and 3. More comments are in Appendices 1 and 2.

^a Region: AS: Aegean Sea; CA: Cypriot Arc; CA-EAF: The transition zone from the Cypriot Arc to the East Anatolian Fault, close to the triple junction with the Dead Sea Transform; HA-E: Eastern Hellenic Arc; HA-W: Western Hellenic Arc; IT: Italy; EMD: Eastern Mediterranean Sea.

[\[Back to the Top \]](#)

Table 5

Significant earthquakes recorded during the 20th century that did not generate a tsunami or generated a tsunami that did not arrive to the Eastern Mediterranean coast

Earthquake	Magnitude	Region	Faulting Type	Tsunami	Source of data and comments
1918 09 29 12:07	M _L =6.2	Northwest Syria, on land	Not known	-	ISC. Shap.
1927 07 11 13:04	M _L =6.2	Dead Sea – Jericho, on land	Shear?	Dead Sea, Mediterranean?	BMN. Shal: 'Probably unclear' tsunami in the Mediterranean sea.
1953 08 12 09:23	M~7.2	Western Greece		Small local tsunami	ISC. mb: No signal found on mareogram at Haifa Bay.
1953 09 10 04:06	M _L =6.2	Southwestern Cyprus, in the sea	Shear	A series of tidal waves in Cyprus	ISC. PaPa. SSG.
1955 09 12 06:09	M _L =6.1	Southeast Mediterranean, in the sea	Thrust	-	Shal: No signal found on mareogram at Haifa Bay. SHG.
1956 03 16 19:32 1956 03 16	M _L =5.2 M _L =5.5	Southern Lebanon, on land	Shear Shear	-	Shal: No signal found on mareogram at Haifa Bay. SHG.

19:43					
1969 03 31 07:16	$M_L=6.6$, $mb=7.0$	Gulf of Suez, in the sea	Normal	-	ISC. MDM.
1992 10 12 13:09	$mb=5.9$	Cairo, on land	Normal	-	ISC , Casualties and severe damage in part of Cairo.
1995 11 22 04 15	$M_w=7.1$	Gulf of Elat (Aqaba), in the sea	Shear	In the Gulf of Elat	ISC. Wu.

It is not always clear why some of these strong earthquakes did not generate a tsunami in the Eastern Mediterranean and there is not enough information to relate earthquake mechanism with tsunami generation like **PaPa** did for Greece. Perhaps all the earthquakes located outside the Mediterranean Sea (the 1918, 1927, 1956, 1969, 1992 and 1995) did not generate large enough ground acceleration or were too far from the continental margins of the Levant to produce tsunamigenic slumps. Interestingly enough, **AK** concluded that the DST 1546 tsunamigenic earthquake was as large as the 1927 non-tsunamigenic event. The 1953 events did produce tsunamis, but not large enough to reach to the Levant whereas the 1955 earthquake were probably not strong or shallow enough to produce a tsunami.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)

Table 6

While collecting the historical accounts, we came across reports of seismic sea waves in the Dead Sea and the Sea of Galilee (Lake Tiberias, Lake Kinneret), most of which were already listed by **Shal** and **AAT**. Whether these were tsunamis or seiches is not clear. We present these lists again, with some updates. In modern times, seismic sea waves were also observed in the Gulf of Aqaba.

Dead Sea

- i. 315 **Am3**
- ii. 363 05 19 **AAT, Am3, BM, Shal**
- iii. 746 01 18 **Am8?, AAT, BM, Shal**
- iv. 1546 **AAT, BM, Shal**
- v. 1927 07 11 **AAT, Shal**
- vi. 1969 03 31 **BM**: “Small waves (~30 cm) in the Dead Sea”.
- vii. 2004 02 11 **Sal**

Sea of Galilee

- i. 3rd Century? **Shal**
- ii. 746 01 18 **BM, Ka?**
- iii. 1759 10 30 **AAT, BM**
- iv. 1837 01 01 **AAT, Am3, BM, Shal**

Gulf of Aqaba

- i. 1969 03 31 **BM**: “Sea at Eilat Gulf became stormy”, After $M_L=6.8$, northern Red Sea earthquake.
- ii. 1995 11 22 **Wu**: a wave up to a meter high after the M7.2, Nuweiba earthquake.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)

Appendix 1

Comments on some selected tsunamis and earthquakes that were included in our list.

1365±5 B.C.	We suppose that this is also the II millennium B.C. tsunami in the Syrian coasts as mentioned by Am3 and it relates to the flooding of Ugarit as reported by cuneiform texts (on tablets) found in Egypt (AJM).
760-750 B.C.	Known as the Amos's, Zechariah's or Uzziah's earthquake. Am7 : “...the earthquake in Amos remains an event the date, location and magnitude of which cannot be assessed...” relating for example, to evaluations given by AFF and BM (759 10 11 B.C., evening, $ML=7.3$).
2 nd Century B.C: Following AW , GCT and Ka , we suggest the following interpretation:	
I.	199 – 198 B.C., <u>earthquake</u> : AW , GCT : Earthquake in Sidon, Phoenicia and Syria. Ka : “famed emergence of the island of Hiera in 198 B.C.”
	Mid 2 nd century B.C., <u>earthquake</u> : Ka raises the possibility that the report about the earthquake and submergence at nearby Sidon

	II.	could be associated with the mid 2 nd century B.C. tidal wave between Ptolemais and Sidon. The same report was interpreted by AW and GCT as the 199-198 B.C earthquake in Phonicia and Syria. GMDH found a paleoseismic event dated for 170 B.C – A.D. 20 on the Serghaya fault, Syria, but there are no direct evidences to associate it with the mid 2 nd century B.C. earthquake.
	III.	Mid 2 nd century B.C., tsunami : Ka : “Tidal wave between Tyre and Ptolemais [...]”, probably around 143/2 B.C. It could be associated with the mid 2 nd century B.C. earthquake. AW : 139 B.C., “... a sea-wave flooded the shore between Ptolemais and Sidon [...] There is no evidence that this event was associated with an earthquake. We regard it as an orphan tsunami.
	IV.	148 02 21 or 130 B.C., earthquake : AW, GCT : Earthquake in Antioch. Ka : possibly 146 B.C. or 140 B.C. MAB note a deformed layer for 140 B.C.
	V.	92 02 28 B.C., False earthquake and false tsunami : Ka : these were “imported into the Israeli catalogues from elsewhere in Eastern Mediterranean” (e.g., Shal, BM, AAT) and “So far no evidence was found of impact of the ca. 90 B.C. Apamea Kibotos (<i>Central Turkey</i>) earthquake on the Egyptian-Israeli-Levant coastal cities.” It was probably taken from the mid 2 nd century B.C. earthquake and tsunami. MAB note a deformed layer for 92 B.C.
	VI.	Ca. 90 B.C., earthquake : AW, Ka : Earthquake in Apamea Kibotos (Phrygia, Asia Minor). GCT : The Apamea Kibotos (Turkey) occurred before 88 B.C.
69-64 B.C.		We follow Ka interpretation that this event occurred in Antioch (GCT date this event on 65 B.C. and AW on 69 B.C.) and later “... imported into the Israeli catalogues...” and placed on 64 B.C. in Jerusalem (e.g., by AAT, BM).
31 B.C.		We follow Ka evaluation that this was a moderate event rather than a strong one, as previously suggested (e.g., AAT, BM : for 31 09 02).
23±3 B.C.		The tsunami could be associated with the 17 B.C. earthquakes in Cyprus however no tsunami was reported for this earthquake. AMA : No earthquakes in Lower Egypt for that time. We regard it as an orphan tsunami.
A.D. 362-363		3 events are mentioned at this time span: i) tsunami in the Dead Sea on 362 (Am3, SSG); ii) earthquake east of the Lisan and tsunami in the Dead Sea on May 24, 362 (BM) iii) earthquake that affected most of Palestine and Jordan and tsunami in the Dead Sea on May 19, 363 (AAT). It may all have emerged from one large event, but can also reflect the occurrence of several successive strong shocks. We introduced only one event.
419		Damage in localized area, Aphek/Antipatris (<i>about 10 km east of Jaffa</i>) destroyed (AAT), no regional affects, apparently small to moderate.
551 07 09		Recent studies (AMA, DSM, GCT) restrict the tsunami mainly to Beirut and close to Tripoli
746-9		Earthquake : For this period: AMA - suggest an earthquake on 747 01 18; Am3 - suggests a tsunami on 746 01 18; AAT - earthquake and tsunami on 749 01 18; BM - an earthquake on 746 01 18; Shal - an earthquake and a tsunami on 746 01 18; SSG - Earthquake and possibly a tsunami on 746. Recent studies of Ka and Am8 however, suggest the occurrence of two earthquakes (at least). We follow the later studies and introduced one earthquake and one tsunami on 746 01 18. Am8 : “The second earthquake, which occurred in 749 or early in 750, affected only Mesopotamia and presumably the adjacent part of northern Syria”. Mesopotamia does not belong to the DST system and therefore we did not introduce this ‘second’ event.
746 01 18		Tsunami : Following GCT, KA and Am8 , we understand that the tsunami followed the first event. However, there is no definite location to where the tsunami occurred. Am8 suggests that what Michael the Syrian describes could be interpreted as an exotic storm, in the Dead Sea. Ka notes that there is no mention where the tsunami occurred and which cities were affected, and that the Dead Sea and Sea of Galilee could well do for it. Therefore a tsunami in the Mediterranean, as previously suggested by many researchers (Am3 : Syrian and Egyptian coasts. AAT : 749 01 18, “Tsunami on Mediterranean coast”. Many ships were sunk at sea. Shal : Levant coast. SSG : “... Waves were observed in Lebanon and Egypt.”), is an interpretation rather than a report. We also interpret this tsunami to occur in the Mediterranean, and to our best judgment it may have occurred opposite to the area of maximum damage and the possible surface rupture (after Am8, MHH).
991 04 05		Specific damage only at Baalbek and Damascus. We consider it a moderate event.
1002		Reported to caused damage and loss of life but no mention of specific locations. We assume small to moderate size event.
1033 12 05		Follow AMA and GC we constrain the tsunami to northern and central coasts of Israel only.
1036 03 12 - 1037 03 11		GC : The earthquake occurred in Cilicia, southern Turkey, along the northern side of the of Iskendrun, probably on the DST system.
1063+		AAT mention epicenter in Antioch and destruction in Elat and may have confused reports from the event of 1063 in Tripoli, Lebanon, with reports from 1068 in Elat.
1067-1070		Several earthquakes are mentioned during this time period (e.g., AAT, BM) and they are mostly attributed to the event occurred on March 18, 1068 in southern Israel. AMA, GC : The dates of 1067 04 20, 1067 11 11, 1068 04 20, 1070 02 25, 1168 03 18 and 1169, are duplications and misreports of the earthquakes actually occurred on 18th March and 29th May 1068.
c. 1150 (1160)		Severe damage to the Monasteries of Mar Elias and St. John (few km west of the Dead Sea). We consider it as damage to two different localities and this is just the threshold entry for our list, but we may have overestimating the size of this event.
1156-1159		Detailed discussion in Am6, GBC and GC .
1202 05 20		Detailed analysis in AMI, AMA and GC point to a tsunami between Cyprus and the Syrian coast, but no specific coast, nor the extent of the historic Syrian coast are mentioned. Usually “Syria” translates the Arab term “Sham” that however had a more extensive geographical connotation of the territory of the present-day State called Syria. For the Arabs “Sham” included, besides Syria, also present-day Jordan, Lebanon, Israel and Palestine. We interpret the extent of this tsunami to occur along the coast of the historic Syria and opposite the high damage zone and surface rupture of the causing earthquake. It results in a tsunami interpret to occurred from Tripoli to Akko and southeastern coast of Cyprus and it does not include Syria of today! The cause for damage in Akko is not clear in AAT : was it the strong shaking, the tsunami or both?
1287		

1201 February – March 22	The first of the three events in this sequence caused damage in Hims (Homs, northwest Syria) and Zefat (about 20 km northwest of Tiberias) (GC). This is the type events with the smallest magnitude that we included in our list.
1456-59	Between one and three events, damage reported appears moderate, could be similar in size to 1927? We follow GC and include only the 1458 11 08/16 event.
1588	No specific damage. Occurred in sparsely populated area. We consider it a moderate event but it could also be a distant large event in the south.
1759	AB describe a tsunami for each of the two earthquakes while AAT and SSG may have not resolved it.
1834	Moderate earthquake, damage seems less severe than in 1927.
1956 07 09	Large tsunami occurred at this day in the Aegean Sea (Am1). It was probably resulted from submarine slump triggered by the earthquake that occurred on 1956 07 09 03:12 (UT), or its aftershock of $M \sim 7.2$ that occurred 13 minutes later (PePa). Shal lists “echo”, or “trace” of a tsunami in Haifa Bay on 12:40 LT (09:40 UT) that followed the $M \sim 7.5$ earthquake in the Aegean Sea. The tsunami signal might have been interfered with the rough sea caused by a barometric low and high wind at that time in the Eastern Mediterranean, and thus made it difficult to be recognized. The signal Shal attributed to this tsunami arrived 6 hours after the origin of the tsunami and its period was more than 6 hours. Therefore the abnormal fluctuation of sea level in the Haifa Bay at this time should be re-examined.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)

Appendix 2

Comments on some of the tsunamis and earthquakes that were not included in our list. These events reported to affect very small area, damage only one site, based only on archaeological data, found dubious or seen only in deformed layers (**KAE**, **MAB**).

II millennium B.C.	We suppose that this was the 1365± tsunami mentioned by Am3 : “Syrian coasts, Ugarit near Minet-el-Beida”. AJM mention that for the 1365 B.C. “The evidence is a tablet from Tel Amarna in Egypt...”. SSG : “these writings most likely referred to the events of 1380 B.C. (1380 ± 100) B.C., and to the period between 1700 B.C. and 1380 B.C. in accordance with other sources”, and they consider this as the LM tsunami.
1627-1600 B.C.	Late Minoan (LM) Thera (Santorini) eruption and tsunami. Date is according to FKF . DH question the finding of Pumice in Cyprus and Israel as a tsunamite of this event and we follow him.
1365 B.C.	AJM : “However, those tablets that refer to the flooding of the land by the sea and also by the rivers, do not necessarily imply that the fire and the flood occurred at the same time, and they do not mention any destruction or association with an earthquake. Archaeological excavations of the Bronze age site of Ugarit do reflect destruction of the city by earthquakes on several occasions (Schaeffer, 1939), but they provide no indication of seismic activity in this particular instance”. MAB note a deformed layer for 1365 B.C.
525 B.C.	This was listed by Am3 and BM who assign its M_L as 7.5 and mentioned that Sur and Sidon were destroyed. However, we could not trace back the ancient sources who reported that event. MAB note a deformed layer for 525 B.C.
198 B.C.	SSG mention an earthquake and a tsunami associated with a comet. In our opinion this is a duplication of the winter of 373/372 B.C. earthquake and tsunami (see also GCT and SSG) attested to by Aristotle (Meteorologica, 343b): “for the great comet that appeared at the time of the earthquake in Achaea and the tidal wave [tsunami], rose in the area of the equinoctial sunset [west] ... the great comet, mentioned earlier, appeared in the archonship of Asterius during the winter, in the west, when the weather was dry and frosty” (see also GCT , no. 024). This translation is from the catalogue of comets (Ba , p. 87) which does not list events between 203 and 176 B.C. This is also in accordance with Ye (p. 364).
Mid 2 nd Century B.C.: See Appendix 1.	
92 02 28 B.C.	See 2 nd Century B.C. in Appendix 1.
A.D. 19	Listed by several authors (e.g., AAT , BM , Si , Wi) to affect Sidon and the Lebanese coast. However, the most “ancient” reference we have singled out for this event is Ar who does not refer back to any specific source. Ar writes (p. 178): “19 (A.D.). Asie Mineure, Syrie et Palestine. Sidon. 13 villes de la Bithynie. Plusieurs victimes. Grande durée. Sources historiques: Les histoires de la Palestine et les guides”. Ar probably muddles up the earthquake of 17 A.D., which hit 12 (or 13) cities in present-day western Turkey (and not of Bithinia), with another earthquake that occurred in Bithinia in 29 A.D. (or perhaps in 32 A.D.) and was also suggested by Ar to affect Judea and Jerusalem (see also GCT).
33	Slight damage, local effect and apparently small (AAT) although produced a deformed layer (KAE , MAB). Wi discusses it in light of the Crucifixion event. Am7 : ...”the earthquake at the Crucifixion is a spurious physical event.”
47	Affected Antioch only (GCT).
90	This is one of the several events that we see only in deformed layers (MAB). A remote and large earthquake could probably produce same deformed layers like a close and small ($M < 6$) earthquake and therefore we did not include it.
112	AMA : “Archaeological evidence suggests early second century destruction at...”, however, we did not find written sources for this event. Deformed layer found by MAB but not in KAE .

115 12 13	Tsunami: We could not find this event in other sources than what Shal used. Most of the local tsunamis in our study area were limited and close to the earthquake area. Only the 11/1759 reached as far as the Nile delta. We therefore think that this event needs further investigation.
293-306	GCT mention an earthquake and a tsunami in Salamis (Cyprus) on 293-306, and an earthquake on 303/304 in Sidon, Tyre and Syria. BM reports an earthquake off coast Sur on 306. AAT and BM report a tsunami in Caesarea on 306 and Shal a doubted tsunami in winter 308. See list of doubtful tsunamis (table 2) for detailed explanation.
341	Affected Antioch only (GCT).
348/9	GCT: probably between 348 09 01 to 349 08 31, damage, although heavy, to Berytus (Beirut) only.
447	Archaeological evidence from Hammat Gader only (AAT). GCT: Earthquake on the night of 26 January, 447, in Constantinople, Turkey, and other places.
450-457	Affected Tripolis, Syria, only (GCT).
458 09 13-14	GCT: Affected Antioch only. The text of Severus of Antioch: "other were engulfed by the water of the sea or of the clouds", seems to us too general to draw positive information on a tsunami.
500	Mentioned by BM . We could not find this event in original sources.
565	Mentioned by BM who relies on Si and Ws1 . However, Ws1 on p.80 (followed by Si) refers to a work of the Arab polygraph al-Suyuti (Kashf al-salsalah) written at the beginning of the 16 th century and contains a list of earthquakes of the year 712 until 1499 A.D.. Ws1 made a systematic error as regards the chronology and did not convert the dates of the Egira, reported in al-Suyuti, into the Julian calendar; so thirty entries of his catalogue are brought forward by about six centuries. Willis himself (Ws2), and subsequently Am2 , had pointed out this error, but these corrections were evidently not assimilated by the subsequent catalogue makers. For our case, the year 565 of the Egira corresponds to the period 25.09.1169 – 13.09.1170, thus it is the large earthquake of 29th June 1170.
580/581	Affected Antioch and a nearby suburb only (GCT).
587/588	a night in late October: Affected Antioch only (GCT).
634 09	GCT: Slight damage in Jerusalem and Bet-Shan. AAT: 631 or 632.
659 06	GCT: No mention of specific sites or casualties, only that "many places collapsed". AAT. Being conservative in our approach, we did not include this event.
749 or 750	See Appendix 1, event 746-9
757 03 09	GCT: Collapse in three villages in Mesopotamia – out of our study area. AAT: 756 03 18.
765 05 03	AAT: Damage to one site only.
808	AAT: Damage only in Jerusalem
811	This date was reported by Am3 (p. 900, no. 38) and An3 as a doubtful tsunami and it seems a misprint for 881, as can be inferred from the fact that it follows the tsunami dated 859 (no. 37) and from the bibliographical reference that cite Si which indeed reports the date 881. AAT and SSG refer to the same event as Am3 , 811=881. In any case, we follow the considerations of Am3 , i.e. that this is a duplication of the 1303 tsunami. We conclude that the doubtful 811 tsunami is a misprint of the doubtful 881-2 tsunami and that the 881-2 is duplicated from the 1303 tsunami.
853 06 12 – 854 06 01	Damage to Tiberias only (GCT). AAT: 853+
881 05 16	The given area affected by this event do not allow to conclude a specific event along the DST. AMA: Hellenic Arc.
972	Damage mentioned to Antioch only (GCT).
1016	Reported strong in Jerusalem, no other reports, probably a local event.
1032 03 06	GC: confused with 1033 03 06 that occurred in Constantinople.
1034 01 04	GC: Arabic sources gave the date of 1033 12 05 as 1034 01 04. AAT: One of the main shocks during 1033/4 winter earthquake swarm.
1042	Could be moderate or large - reports only from Palmyra which is in the desert, not along the DST system. Although not tsunamigenic, it represents the seismogenic zone of the Syrian Arc in Syria.
1060	Roof of Al Aqsa mosque Collapsed, no other damage, probably a local event.
1086 04 18 – 1087 04 07	Eastern Syria, Iraq, Mesopotamia, probably outside the region of our study.
1091 09 26	Damage to Antioch only (GC).
1105 12 24	GC: Jerusalem, no damage.
1113 – 1117	Several events are mentioned to occur in southern Turkey and northern Syria and around Jerusalem in this time period (e.g., Am6 , AAT , BM , GC). According to Am6 , the strongest earthquake occurred on 1114 11 29, it was preceded by foreshocks on 1114 08 10 and 1114 11 13, and they "... are clearly associated with the East Anatolian fault zone..." We therefore did not include these in our list. GC (as well as AAT) suggests that the 1114 08 10 may have occurred in the region of Jerusalem causing no damage and the other two in southern Turkey (GC event of 1115 11 29 is 1114 11 29 of Am6 , and possibly of 1115 12 25 of AAT). BM mentions that 1114 08 10 caused a tsunami in the north but this could not be substantiated by Am6 . GC: On 1117 06 26 earthquake occurred in southern Lebanon, causing limited damage only. AAT considered this event to have happened in Jerusalem. The two events of 1113 07 18 and 1113 08 09, as mentioned by AAT , are below the damage threshold considered for our study and were not included here.
1151 09 28 and 1152 02 01	Too small, caused little damage.
1201+	Is excluded since it "... may be identical to the following one", i.e. 1202 (AAT).

1258-1264	GC: Several earthquakes in Egypt and Syria, none of which caused considerable damage.
1284 10 13	Damage in Damascus only (GC).
1312 05 01	AMA: this event was taken by BM from 1212 05 01.
1322 01 20	GC: in Damascus, no damage.
1339 01 13 – 02 11	GC: Damage only to Tripoli, Lebanon.
1366 09 07 – 1367 08 27	GC: Safad, Israel, no damage.
1399 09 20	GC: Damascus, slight earthquake.
1402/3 11 16	AM2: erroneous location in Syria. It actually occurred in the Gulf of Corinth, Greece.
1403 12 18	GC: In Aleppo, north western Syria, but did not cause any damage.
1407 04 09 – 05	GC: Damage to Antioch only.
1481 05 03	Unclear if there was a separate earthquake and a tsunami in the Levant coast or a tsunami that arrived from far source. We follow GC that mention a large earthquake in Southern Aegean on 1481 05 03 and a tsunami in Rhodes and Antalya.
1493 08 18	BM mentions an earthquake in Kos island and a tsunami at Jaffa, GC mentions only an earthquake in Kos on 1493 10 18. They seem to relate to the same event, however, if a tsunami was generated in Kos it would have been expected to be noticed not only in Jaffa. We therefore question this tsunami.
1534	This is very probably a duplication of the earthquake/tsunami of 1546 01 14. AK: “Arvanitakis (1904), on the authority of Dositheos (1715), dates the event in 1534, and Willis (1928) copies the earthquakes of 1534 and 1546 from Arvanitakis (1904) and Perrey (1850) respectively, thus duplicating the event. Sieberg (1932) and later authors [...] add nothing but confusion”.
1537 01 07	AMA: Eastern Mediterranean, damage probably related to this event mentioned in Antioch only.
1568 10 10	AF: “..damage in Latakia [...] a possible location of which would be between the Syrian coast and Cyprus.” It therefore seems to be too small and outside the region of our study.
1626 01 21	AF: Near Aleppo. It is probably outside the region of this study.
1656 02	AMA: “... strong earthquake in Tripoli in Libya destroyed almost half its houses [...] Later authors place this event in Tripoli in Syria. AAT: Strong earthquake in Syria, Felt in Palestine. BM: Tripoli in Lebanon. MAB note a deformed layer for this event and it supports the occurrence of an earthquake on that time not far away from the Dead Sea. However, we did not include it here because of the limited damage.
1712	AAT: Limited damage in Jerusalem only.
1722-23	AF: Damage and casualties in Aleppo only.
1726 04 15	AF: Near Aleppo, probably outside the region of our study.
1752 07 21	Earthquake and tsunami are mentioned by many writers, probably starting from Si , however, as noted by Am3 “no authority is quoted”. This event should be further studied.
1802	AAT, SDM: Limited damage only, below the threshold entry to our list.
1896	SDM, AAT: Several earthquakes in Syria (February 20, May 12, May 14, June 29), no serious damage.
1900 01 05	AAT: Northern Israel, no damage.
1903 03 29	AAT: Israel, no damage.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)

List of references

- Altinok, Y., and S. Ersoy (2000). Tsunamis observed on and near the Turkish coast, *Natural Hazards* **21**, 185-205.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1960). The seismic sea wave of July 9, 1956, in the Greek Archipelago, *J. Geophys. Res.* **65**, 1257-1265.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1962a). A note on the chronology of Willis's list of earthquakes in Palestine and Syria, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **52**, 77-80.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1962b). Data for the investigation of the seismic sea-waves in the Eastern Mediterranean, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **52**, 895-913.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1989). Temporary seismic quiescence: SE Turkey, *Geophys.J.* **96**, 311-331.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (1997). The earthquake of 1 January 1837 in Southern Lebanon and Northern Israel, *Annali di Geofisica* **XL**, 923-935.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2004). The 12th century seismic paroxysm in the Middle East: a historical perspective, *Annals of Geophysics* **47**, 733-758.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2005a). Historical earthquakes in Jerusalem. A methodological discussion. *J. Seismol.* **9**, 329-340.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2005b). The seismic activity in Syria and Palestine during the middle of the 8th century; an amalgamation of historical earthquakes, *J. Seismol.* **9**, 115-125.
- Ambraseys, N. N. (2006). Comparison of frequency of occurrence of earthquakes with slip rates from long-term seismicity data: the case of Gulf of Corinth, Sea of Marmara and Dead Sea Zone, *Geophys. J. Int.* **165**, 516-526.
- Ambraseys, N. N. and R. D. Adams, 1993. Seismicity of Cyprus. *Terra Nova* **5**, 85-94.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and M. Barzangi (1989). The 1759 earthquake in the Bekaa Valley: Implications for earthquake hazard assessment in

- the Eastern Mediterranean region, *J. Geophys. Res.* **94**, 4007-4013.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. F. Finkel (1993). Material for the investigation of the seismicity of the Eastern Mediterranean region during the period 1690-1710, in: *Materials of the CEC Project 'Review of Historical Seismicity in Europe'*, M. Stucci (Editor), CNR Milano, **1**, 173-194.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. Finkel (1995). The Seismicity of Turkey and Adjacent Areas, A Historical Review, 1500-1800, *Eren Yayincilik*, Istanbul, 240 pp.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and J. A. Jackson (1998). Faulting associated with historical and recent earthquakes in the Eastern Mediterranean region, *Geophys. J. Int.* **133**, 390-406.
- Ambraseys, N. N., J. A. Jackson, and C. P. Melville (2002). Historical Seismicity and Tectonics: The Case of the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, in: *International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology*, W. H. K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P. C. Jennings and C. Kisslinger (Editors), Academic Press, Amsterdam, 747-763.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and I. Karcz (1992). The earthquake of 1546 in the Holy Land, *Terra Nova* **4**, 253-262.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. P. Melville (1988). An analysis of the Eastern Mediterranean earthquake of 20 May 1202, in: *Historical Seismograms and Earthquakes of the World*, W. H. K. Lee, H. Meyers and K. Shimazaki (Editors), 181-200.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and C. P. Melville (1995). Historical evidence of faulting in Eastern Anatolia and Northern Syria, *Annali di Geofisica* **38**, 337-343.
- Ambraseys, N. N., C. P. Melville, and R. D. Adams (1994). *The seismicity of Egypt, Arabia and the Red Sea: A historical review*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Ambraseys, N. N., and D. White (1997). The Seismicity of the Eastern Mediterranean Region 550-1 BC: A Re-Appraisal, *J. Earthq. Eng.* **1**, 603-632.
- Amiran, D. H. K., E. Arieh, and T. Turcotte (1994). Earthquakes in Israel and adjacent areas: Macro seismic observations since 100 B.C.E., *Isr. Explor. J.* **44**, 260-305.
- Amit, R., E. Zilberman, N. Porat, and Y. Enzel (1999). Relief inversion in the Avrona playa as evidence of large-magnitude historical earthquakes, southern Arava Valley, Dead Sea Zift, *Quat. Res.* **52**, 76-91.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1979). Catalogue of Tsunamis in the Eastern Mediterranean from Antiquity to Present Times, *Annali di Geofisica* **32**, 113-130.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980a). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from the birth of Christ to 500 A.D., *Annali di Geofisica* **33**, 141-161.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980b). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 500 to 1000 A.D., *Annali di Geofisica* **33**, 163-178.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980c). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1000 to 1500 A.D., *Annali di Geofisica* **33**, 179-198.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980d). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1500 to 1900 A.D., *Annali di Geofisica* **33**, 199-230.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980e). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from 1900 to 1980 A.D., *Annali di Geofisica* **33**, 231-248.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1980f). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the Eastern Mediterranean from Antiquity to 500 B.C., *Tsunami Newsletter*, **13**, 27-37.
- Antonopoulos, J. (1992). The great eruption of Thera volcano and the ensuing tsunami in the Greek Archipelago, *Natural Hazards* **5**, 153-168.
- Arvanitakis, G. L. (1904). Essai sur le climat de Jérusalem, Bulletin de l'Institut Égyptien, ser. 4, 4 (1903): 128-189 [Essai d'une statistique des tremblements de terre en Palestine et Syrie: 178-183].
- Austin, S. A., G. Franz, and E. Frost (2000). Amos's earthquake: an extraordinary Middle East seismic event of 750 B.C., *Int. Geol. Rev.* **42**, 657-671.
- Barrett, A. A. (1978). Observations of Comets in Greek and Roman Sources before A.D. 410., *Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada*, **72**, 91-106. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/seri/JRASC/0072/0000081.000.html>
- Ben-Menahem, A. (1991). Four thousand years of seismicity along the Dead Sea Rift, *J. Geophys. Res.* **91**, 20195-20216.
- Ben-Menahem, A., Nur, A. and M. Vered (1976). Tectonics, seismicity and structure of the Afro-Euroasian junction -The breaking of an incoherent plate, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **12**, 1-50.
- Bentor, Y. K. (1989). Geological events in the Bible, *Terra Nova* **1**, 326-338.
- Boschi, E., E. Guidoboni, G. Ferrari, D. Mariotti, G. Valensise, and P. Gasperini (2000). Catalogue of Strong Italian Earthquakes from 461 B.C. to 1997, Introductory texts and CD-ROM, Version 3 of the Catalogo dei forti terremoti in Italia, *Annali di Geofisica* **43**, 609-868.
- Cita, M. B., and G. Aloisi (2000). Deep-sea tsunami deposits triggered by the explosion of Santorini (3500 y BP), eastern Mediterranean, *Sediment. Geol.* **135**, 181-203.
- Daëron, M., A. Elias, Y. Klinger, P. Tapponnier, E. Jacques, and A. Surssock (2004). Sources of the AD 551, 1202 and 1759 earthquakes (Lebanon and Syria), *American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2004*, abstract #T41F-1294
- Daëron, M., Y. Klinger, P. Tapponnier, A. Elias, E. Jacques, and A. Surssock (2005). Sources of the large A.D. 1202 and 1759 Near East earthquakes, *Geology* **33**, 529-532.
- Darawceh, R., M. R. Sbeinati, C. Margottini, and S. Paolini (2000). The 9 July 551 AD Beirut Earthquake, Eastern Mediterranean Region, *J. Earthq. Eng.* **4**, 403-414.
- Dominey-Howes, D. (2002). Documentary and geological records of tsunamis in the Aegean Sea region of Greece and their potential value to risk assessment and disaster management, *Natural Hazards* **25**, 195-224.
- Dositheos, B. (1715). *Istoria periton en Ierosolymois patriarchisanton*, lib. xi, cpt. 7, par. 3, Bucharest (in Greek).
- El-Sayed, A., Romanelli, F. and G. Panza (2000). Recent seismicity and realistic waveforms modeling to reduce the ambiguities about the 1303 seismic activity in Egypt. *Tectonophysics*, **328**, 341-357.

- Ellenblum, R., S. Marco, A. Agnon, T. Rockwell, and A. Boas (1998). Crusader castle torn apart by earthquake at dawn, 20 May 1202, *Geology* **26**, 303-306.
- Enzel, Y., G. Kadan, and Y. Eyal (2000). Holocene earthquakes in the Dead Sea graben from a fan-delta sequence, *Quat. Res.* **53**, 34-48.
- Fokaefs, A. and G. A. Papadopoulos, 2006. Tsunami hazard in the Eastern Mediterranean: strong earthquakes and tsunamis in Cyprus and the Levantine Sea. *Nat. Hazards* DOI 10.1007/s11069-006-9011-3.
- Freedman, D. N., and A. Welch (1994). Amos's Earthquake and Israelite Prophecy, in: *Scripture and Other Artifacts: Essays on the Bible and Archaeology in Honor of Philip J. King*, M. Coogan, J. C. Exum, and L. E. Stager (Editors), Louisville: WJK, 188-198.
- Friedrich, W. L., B. Kromer, M. Friedrich, J. Heinemeier, T. Pfeiffer, and S. Talamo (2006). Santorini Eruption Radiocarbon Dated to 1627-1600 B.C., *Science* **312**, 548. DOI: 10.1126/science.1125087.
- Goldsmith, V. and Gilboa, M., 1986. Tide and Low in Israel. *Ofakim Be'Geographia* **15**, 21-47 (in Hebrew).
- Gomez, F., M. Meghraoui, A. N. Darkal, R. Sbeinati, R. Darawcheh, C. Tabet, M. Khawlie, M. Charabe, K. Khair, and M. Barazangi (2001). Coseismic displacements along the Serghaya fault: An active branch of the Dead Sea fault system in Syria and Lebanon, *J. Geol. Soc. London* **158**, 405-408.
- Gomez, F., M. Meghraoui, A. Darkal, F. Hijazi, M. Mouty, Y. Sulaiman, R. Sbeinati, R. Darawcheh, R. Al-Ghazzi and M. Barazangi (2003). Holocene faulting and earthquake recurrence along the Serghaya branch of the Dead Sea fault system in Syria and Lebanon, *Geophys. J. Int.* **153**, 658-674.
- Guidoboni, E., F. Bernardini, and A. Comastri (2004a). The 1138-1139 and 1156-1159 destructive seismic crises in Syria, south-eastern Turkey and northern Lebanon, *J. Seismol.* **8**, 105-127.
- Guidoboni, E., F. Bernardini, A. Comastri, and E. Boschi (2004b). The large earthquake on 29 June 1170 (Syria, Lebanon, and central southern Turkey), *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, B07304, doi:10.1029/2003JB002523
- Guidoboni, E., and A. Comastri (2005). *Catalogue of earthquakes and tsunamis in the Mediterranean area from the 11th to the 15th Century*, INGV-SGA, Italy.
- Guidoboni, E., A. Comastri, and G. Traina (1994). *Catalogue of ancient earthquakes in the Mediterranean area up to the 10th Century*. INGV-SGA, Bologna, Italy.
- ISC (2001). International Seismological Centre, On-line Bulletin, <http://www.isc.ac.uk/Bull>, *Internatl. Seis. Cent.*, Thatcham, United Kingdom.
- Karc, I. (2004). Implications of some early Jewish sources for estimates of earthquake hazard in the Holy Land. *Ann. Geophys.* **47**, 759-792.
- Ken-Tor, R., A. Agnon, Y. Enzel, M. Stein, S. Marco, and J. F. W. Negendank (2001). High-resolution geological record of historic earthquakes in the Dead Sea basin, *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 2221-2234.
- Klinger Y., J. P. Avouac, L. Dorbath, N. Abou Karaki, and N. Tisnerat (2000). Seismic behavior of the Dead Sea fault along Araba valley (Jordan), *Geophys. J. Int.* **142**, 769-782.
- Marco, S., A. Agnon, R. Ellenblum, A. Eidelman, U. Basson, and A. Boas (1997). 817-year-old walls offset sinistrally 2.1 m by the Dead Sea Transform, Israel, *J. Geodyn.* **24**, 11-20.
- Marco, S., M. Hartal, N. Hazan, L. Lev, and M. Stein (2003). Archaeology, history, and geology of the 749 AD earthquake, Dead Sea Transform, *Geology* **31**, 665-668.
- Marco, S., T. K. Rockwell, A. Heimann, U. Frieslander, and A. Agnon (2005). Late Holocene slip of the Dead Sea Transform revealed in 3D palaeoseismic trenches on the Jordan Gorge segment, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **234**, 189-205.
- Marinos, G., and N. Melidonis (1971). On the strength of seaquakes (Tsunami) during the prehistoric Eruption of Santorini, in: *Acta of the 1st International Scientific Congress on the Volcano of Thera, held in Greece, 15th-23rd September, 1969*, A. Kaloyeropoyloy (Editor), Athens, 277-282.
- McCoy, F. W., and G. Heiken (2000). Tsunami generated by the Late Bronze Age eruption of Thera (Santorini), Greece, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **157**, 1227-1256.
- McKenzie, D. P., Davies, D., and P. Molnar (1970). Plate tectonics of the Red Sea and East Africa, *Nature*, **226**, 243-248.
- Meghraoui, M., F. Gomez, R. Sbeinati, J. Van der Woerd, M. Mouty, A. N. Darkal, Y. Radwan, I. Layyous, H. Al Najjar, R. Darawcheh, F. Hijazi, R. Al-Ghazzi, and M. Barazangi (2003). Evidence for 830 years of seismic quiescence from palaeoseismology, archaeoseismology and historical seismicity along the Dead Sea fault in Syria, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **210**, 35-52.
- Mészáros, S. (1978). Some words on the Minoan tsunami of Santorini, in: *Thera and the Aegean World I*, C. Doumas (Editor), Papers presented at the Second International Scientific Congress, Santorini, Greece, August 1978, **1**, 257-261.
- Mígowski, C., A. Agnon, R. Bookman, J. F. W. Negendank, and M. Stein (2004). Recurrence pattern of Holocene earthquakes along the Dead Sea transform revealed by varve-counting and radiocarbon dating of lacustrine sediments, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **d222**, 301-314.
- Minoura, K., F. Imamura, U. Kuran, T. Nakamura, G. A. Papadopoulos, T. Takahashi, and A. C. Yalciner (2000). Discovery of Minoan tsunami deposits, *Geology* **28**, 59-62.
- Papadopoulos, G. A. (2001). Tsunamis in the East Mediterranean: 1. A Catalogue for the area of Greece and adjacent seas. *Proceedings of the Joint IOC -IUGG International Workshop: Tsunami Risk Assessment Beyond 2000: Theory, Practice and Plans*, Moscow, June 14-16, 2000, 34-43.
- Papadopoulos, G. A., and B. J. Chalkis (1984). Tsunamis observed in Greece and the surrounding area from antiquity up to the present times, *Mar. Geol.* **56**, 309-317.
- Papazachos, B., Koutitas, Ch., Hatzidimitriou, P., Karakostas, V. and Ch. Papaioannou (1985). Source and short-distance propagation of the July 9, 1956 southern Aegean tsunami. *Mar. Geol.* **65**, 343-351.
- Papazachos, B. C. and Ch. A. Papaioannou (1999). Lithospheric boundaries and plate motions in the Cyprus area. *Tectonophysics*, **308**, 193-204.
- Pararas-Carayannis, G. (1988). The tsunami generated from the eruption of the volcano of Santorin in the Bronze Age, *Science of Tsunami Hazards* **6**, 23-30.
- Pararas-Carayannis, G. (1992). The tsunami generated from the eruption of the volcano of Santorin in the Bronze Age, *Natural Hazards* **5**, 115-123. <http://www.drgeorgepc.com/TsunamiSantorin.html>

- Perissoratis, C., and G. A. Papadopoulos (1999). Sediment instability and slumping in the southern Aegean Sea and the case history of the 1956 tsunami, *Mar. Geol.* **161**, 287-305.
- Perrey, A. (1850). Mémoire sur les tremblements de terre ressentis dans la Peninsule Turco-Hellénique et en Syrie, *Mémoires de l'Académie de Belgique*, **23**, 1-73.
- Poirier, J. P., and M. A. Taher (1980). Historical seismicity in the near and Middle East, North Africa, and Spain from Arabic documents (VIIth-XVIIIth Century), *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **70**, 2185 – 2201.
- Reinhardt, E. G., Goodman, B. N., Boyce, J., Lopez, G., Van Hengstum, P., Rink, W. J., Mart, Y. and A. Raban, (2006). The tsunami of 13 December A.D. 115 and the destruction of Herod the Great's harbor at Caesarea Maritima, Israel. *Geology* **34** (12), 1061-1064.
- Salamon, A., Hofstetter, A., Garfunkel, Z. and H. Ron (2003). Seismotectonics of the Sinai subplate - The eastern Mediterranean region. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 155, 149-173.
- Salamon, A. (2005). Natural seismogenic effects of the February 11, 2004, ML=5.2, Dead Sea earthquake, *Isr. J. Earth Sci.* **54**, 145-169.
- Sbeinati, M. R., R. Darawch, and M. Mouty (2004). The historical earthquakes of : an analysis of large and moderate earthquakes from 1365 B.C. to 1900 A.D., *Ann. Geophys.* **47**, 733-758.
- Shalem, N. (1956). Seismic tidal waves (tsunamis) in the Eastern Mediterranean, *Society for Exploration of Eretz Israel*, **20**, 159-170 (in Hebrew).
- Shapira, A. (1979). Redetermined magnitudes of earthquakes in the Afro-Eurasian Junction, *Israel J. Earth Sci.* **28**, 107-109.
- Shapira, A., R. Avni, and A. Nur (1993). Note: A new estimate for the epicenter of the Jericho earthquake of 11 July 1927, *Isr. J. Earth Sci.* **42**, 93-96.
- Sieberg, A. (1932). Untersuchungen über Erdbeben und Bruchschollenbau im östlichen Mittelmeergebiet, *Denkschriften der medizinisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft zu Jena* **18**, 161-273
- Soloviev, S. L., O. N. Solovieva, C. N. Go, K. S. Kim, and N. A. Shchetnikov (2000). *Tsunamis in the Mediterranean Sea 2000 B.C.-2000 A.D.*, Series: Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research, Vol. 13, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 260 p.
- Van Dorn, W. G., 1987. Tide gage response to tsunamis. Part II: other oceans and smaller seas. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **17**, 1507-1516.
- Williams, J. B. (2004). Estimation of earthquake source parameters from soft sediment deformation layers present in Dead Sea muds, A report Presented to the Department of Civil Engineering, California State University, Long Beach.
- Willis, B. (1928). Earthquakes in the Holy Land, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **18**, 73-103.
- Willis, B. (1933). Earthquakes in the Holy Land – A correction, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **23**, 88-89.
- Wust, H. (1997). The November 22, 1995, Nuweiba earthquake, Gulf of Elat (Aqaba), Post-seismic analysis of failure features and seismic hazard implications. *Isr. Geol. Surv.*, Rep. GSI/3/97, 58 p.
- Yeomans, D. K. (1991). *Comets. A Chronological History of Observation, Science, Myth, and Folklore*, New York, Wiley.
- Yokoyama, I. (1978). The tsunami caused by the prehistoric eruption of Thera, in: *Thera and the Aegean World I* C. Doumas (Editor), Papers presented at the Second International Scientific Congress, Santorini, Greece, August 1978, **1**, 277-283.
- Zilberman, E., R. Amit, I. Bruner, and Y. Nachmias (2004). Neotectonic and paleoseismic study – Bet Shean Valley, *Isr. Geol. Surv. Rep.* GSI/15/2004.
- Zilberman, E., R. Amit, N. Porat, Y. Enzel, and U. Avner (2005). Surface ruptures induced by the devastating 1068 AD earthquake in the southern Arava valley, Dead Sea Rift, Israel, *Tectonophysics* **408**, 79-99.

[\[Back to the Top \]](#)

Reference abbreviations

- AA:** Ambraseys and Adams, 1993.
- AAT:** Amiran, Arieh and Turcotte, 1994.
- AB:** Ambraseys and Barazangi, 1989.
- AE:** Altinok and Ersoy, 2000.
- AF1:** Ambraseys and Finkel, 1993.
- AF2:** Ambraseys and Finkel, 1995.
- AFF:** Austin, Franz and Frost, 2000.
- AJ:** Ambraseys and Jackson, 1998.
- AJM:** Ambraseys, Jackson and Melville, 2002.
- AK:** Ambraseys and Karcz, 1992.
- AM1:** Ambraseys and Melville, 1988.
- AM2:** Ambraseys and Melville, 1995.
- AMA:** Ambraseys, Melville and Adams, 1994.
- Am1:** Ambraseys, 1960.
- Am2:** Ambraseys, 1962a.
- Am3:** Ambraseys, 1962b.
- Am4:** Ambraseys, 1989.
- Am5:** Ambraseys, 1997.
- Am6:** Ambraseys, 2004.
- Am7:** Ambraseys, 2005a.

Am8: Ambraseys, 2005b.
Am9: Ambraseys, 2006.
An1: Antonopoulos, 1979.
An2: Antonopoulos, 1980a.
An3: Antonopoulos, 1980b.
An4: Antonopoulos, 1980c.
An5: Antonopoulos, 1980d.
An6: Antonopoulos, 1980e.
An7: Antonopoulos, 1980f.
An8: Antonopoulos, 1992.
Ar: Arvanitakis, 1904.
AW: Ambraseys and White, 1997.
AZP: Amit et al., 1999
Ba: Barrett, 1978.
Be: Bentor, 1989.
BGF: Boschi et al., 2000.
BM: Ben-Menahem, 1991.
BMN: Ben-Menahem et al., 1976.
CA: Cita and Aloisi, 2000.
DEK: Daëron et al., 2004.
DH: Dominey-Howes, 2002.
DKT: Daëron et al., 2005.
DSM: Darawcheh et al., 2000.
EKE: Enzel, Kadan and Eyal, 2000.
EMA: Ellenblum et al., 1998.
ERP: El-Sayed et al., 2000.
FKF: Friedrich et al., 2006.
FP: Fokaefs and Papadopoulos, 2006.
FW: Freedman and Welch, 1994.
GBC: Guidoboni, Bernardini and Comastri, 2004a.
GBCB: Guidoboni et al., 2004b.
GC: Guidoboni and Comastri, 2005.
GCT: Guidoboni, Comastri and Traina, 1994.
GG: Goldsmith and Gilboa, 1986
GMDH: Gomez et al., 2003.
GMSD: Gomez et al., 2001.
ISC: International Seismological Center, 2001.
Ka: Karcz, 2004.
KAD: Klinger et al., 2000.
KAE: Ken-Tor et al., 2001.
MAB: Migowski et al., 2004.
MAE: Marco et al., 1997.
MDM: McKenzie et al., 1970.
Me: Mészáros, 1978.
MGS: Meghraoui et al., 2003.
MH: McCoy and Heiken, 2000.
MHH: Marco et al., 2003.
MIK: Minoura et al., 2000.
MM: Marinos and Melidonis, 1971.
MRH: Marco et al., 2005.
Pa: Papadopoulos, 2001 (website).
PC: Papadopoulos and Chalkis (1984).
PC1: Pararas-Carayannis, 1988.
PC2: Pararas-Carayannis, 1992.
Pe: Perrey, 1850.
PKH: Papazachos et al., 1985.
PaPa: Papazachos and Papaioannou, 1999.

PePa: Perissoratis and Papadopoulos, 1999.
PT: Poirier and Taher, 1980.
RGB: Reinhardt et al., 2006.
Sal: Salamon, 2005.
SAN: Shapira, Avni and Nur, 1993.
Shal: Shalem, 1956.
Shap: Shapira 1979.
SHG: Salamon et al., 2003.
SDM: Sbeinati, Darawcheh and Mouty, 2004.
Si: Sieberg, 1932.
SSG: Soloviev et al., 2000.
VD: Van Dorn, 1987
Wi: Williams, 2004.
Ws1: Willis, 1928
Ws2: Willis, 1933
Wu: Wust, 1997.
Ye: Yeomans, 1991.
Yok: Yokoyama, 1978.
ZAB: Zilberman et al., 2004.
ZAP: Zilberman et al., 2005.

[\[Back to the Top\]](#)