rld Register of Introduced Marine Species A gateway to introduced, cryptogenic & previously considered alien species Worl



Intro | Search taxa | Browse taxa | Distributions | Terminology | References | Statistics | Online sources | Tutorial | Log in



- Page
- Discussion
- Read
- View source
- View history

More

Search MarineSpecies Intrc Go

Navigation ittoral drift and shoreline modelling

4 Representation of Variable Transmission Coefficient for Submerged Breakwaters

Main Page
 From MarineSpecies Introduced Traits Wiki
 Main Page

Contents

1 The One-Line Concept2 Representation of Currents

6 Related articles

7 References.

5 Representation of Tombolos

- Main Page
 Littoral drift, the wave-driven longshore transport of sediment, plays a major role in shoreline dynamics. Human-induced modification of littoral drift is a
 Content
- Content
 ubiquitous cause of coastal erosion (see Human causes of coastal erosion). Measuring littoral drift in field situations is notoriously difficult and generally
 community
 subject to great uncertainty. Therefore, model estimates are often used in practice. A simple, popular model is the so-called 1-line model, derived from
 shallow-water wave theory. In this article a generalized version of this model (called GENESIS ^[1]) is presented, together with conditions and prescriptions
- subject to great direction, inder solution, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are orient used in practice. A simple, popular inder is the so-called 1-interinder, derived notifies are oriented.
- Random
- page
- Help
- Glossary
- Featured
- content
- New pages

Tools

- What links
- here
- Related
- changes
- Special
- pages
- Printable
- version
- Permanent
- link The One-Line Concept
- Page
 - The 1-line concept rests on a common observation that the beach profile

3 Representation of Offshore Contours

- information maintains an average shape that is characteristic of the particular coast, apart
 Browse from times of extreme change as produced by storms. For example, steep
- properties beaches remain steep and gently sloping beaches remain gentle in a comparative sense and in the long term. Although seasonal changes in wave climate cause the

position of the shoreline to move shoreward and seaward in a cyclical manner, with corresponding change in shape and average slope of the profile, the deviation from an average beach slope over the total active profile is relatively small. Pelnard-Consider $(1956)^{[2]}$ originated a mathematical theory of shoreline response to wave action under the assumption that the beach profile moves parallel to itself, i.e., that it translates shoreward and seaward without changing shape in the course of eroding and accreting. If the profile shape does not change, any point on it is sufficient to specify the location of the entire profile with respect to a baseline (Fig. 1). Thus, one contour line can be used to describe change in the beach plan shape and volume as the beach erodes and accretes. This contour line is conveniently taken as the readily observed shoreline, and the model is therefore called the "shoreline change" or "shoreline response" model. Sometimes the terminology "one-line" model, a shortening of the phrase "one-contour line" is used with reference to the single contour line.



Figure 1. Shoreline change and associated bottom profiles.

A second geometrical-type assumption is that sand is transported alongshore between two well-defined limiting elevations on the profile. The shoreward limit is located at the top of the active berm (*DB* in Fig. 1), and the seaward limit is located where no significant depth changes occur, the so-called depth of closure (*DC* in Fig. 1). Restriction of profile movement between these two limits provides the simplest way to specify the perimeter of a beach cross-sectional area by which changes in volume, leading to shoreline change, can be computed. Thus, it is assumed that the beach profile translates seaward or shoreward along a section of shore without changing shape when a net amount of sand enters or leaves the section during a time interval Δt . The change in shoreline position is Δy (Fig. 2), the length of the shoreline segment is Δx , and the profile moves within a vertical extent defined by the height of the berm *DB* and the depth of closure *DC*. The change in volume of the section is $\Delta V = \Delta x \Delta y (DB + DC)$, and it is determined by the net rate of sand entering and leaving the section from its two sides. The volume change results if there is a difference in the longshore sand transport rates Δq at the lateral sides of the cells. This net volume change is $\Delta V = \Delta Q \Delta t$. Rearrangement of terms yields the governing equation for the rate of change of shoreline position: $\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{(D_B + D_C)} \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta x} = 0$ (1)

The model also requires predictive expressions for the total longshore sand transport rate. For open-coast beaches, the transport rate is a function of the breaking wave height and direction alongshore. The predictive formula for the longshore sand transport rate used in GENESIS is (see Shallow-water wave theory for a derivation):

$$Q = (H^2 C_g)_b (a_1 \sin 2\alpha_{bs} + a_2 \cos \alpha_{bs} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}) \qquad (2)$$

in which H = wave height, C_g = wave group speed given by linear wave theory, b = subscript denoting wave breaking condition, and a_{bs} = angle of breaking waves to the local shoreline.

The nondimensional parameters a_1 and a_1 are given by

$$a_1 = rac{\kappa_1}{16(s-1)(1-p)W}$$
 (3a)

and

$$a_1 = \frac{\kappa_2}{8(s-1)(1-p)W\tan\beta} \qquad (3b)$$

in which K_1 and K_2 = empirical coefficients, treated as a calibration parameters, $s = \rho_S/\rho$, ρ_S = density of sand (taken to be 2.65 10³ kg/m³ for quartz sand), ρ = density of water (1.03 10³ kg/m³ for sea water), ρ = porosity of sand on the bed (taken to be 0.4), tan β = average bottom slope, and W = a numerical factor (W = 1.4165/2) used to convert from significant wave height, the statistical wave height required by GENESIS, to root-mean-square (rms) wave height. Figure 3 shows the representation of several cells along a coastal stretch downdrift of a short groyne.

Representation of Currents

By writing the transport rate in terms of immersed weight, I_I (N/s), Eqs. (1) and (2) may be expressed as,

$$I_l = (EC_g)_b (K_1 \sin \alpha_b \cos \alpha_b - K_2 \frac{\cos \alpha_b}{\tan \beta} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}) \qquad (4)$$

where E is the wave energy density (J/m2). The classical `CERC'-equation for calculating the longshore sediment transport rate is formulated as,

$$I_l = (EC_g)_b K_1 \sin \alpha_b \cos \alpha_b \qquad (5)$$

which is identical to the first term in the GENESIS transport relation as given in Eq. (2). Following the formula proposed by Bagnold (1963)^[3], Eq. (5) can be modified to explicitly represent a longshore current as,

$$I_l = K_3 (EC_g)_b \cos \alpha_b \frac{v_l}{u_m} \qquad (6)$$

where K_3 is a dimensionless coefficient, v_i is the average longshore current in the surf zone, and u_m is the maximum wave-induced near-bottom horizontal velocity at wave breaking which may be written as,

$$u_m = \left(\frac{2E_b}{\rho h_b}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{\gamma g H_b}{4*1.416}\right)^{1/2}$$
(7)

where h_b is the breaker depth, γ is the breaker index, and g is the acceleration due to gravity. By using Eq. (6), the longshore sediment transport rate is no longer restricted to being generated exclusively by breaking waves; the longshore current may originate from other mechanisms as discussed further by Komar and Inman (1970)^[4] and Kraus et al. (1982)^[5], for example, as generated by the tide or the wind.

Thus, by assuming shallow water conditions at the location of wave breaking, the wave group velocity C_{gb} may be approximated,

$$C_{gb} C_b \sqrt{g h_b} \sqrt{g H_b} / \gamma$$
 (8)

where C_b is the wave breaking celerity. Inserting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) yields

$$I_{l} = \frac{\kappa_{3}}{4*1.416^{2}} (g/\gamma)^{1/2} \rho H_{b}^{1.5} C_{g} v_{l} \alpha_{bs}$$
(9)

If the longshore current v_l comprise of a wave generated current v_b alone, it may be calculated as (Lonquet-Higgins 1970^[6], see also Shallow-water wave theory)

$$v_l = v_b = K_4 u_m \sin \alpha_b \qquad (10)$$

where K_4 is an empirical coefficient for which Komar and Inman (1970)^[4] suggested $K_4 = 2.7$. By combining Eqs. (5), (6), and (10) we obtain $K_3 = K_1/K_4 = 0.28$. Thus, with only wave generated currents taken into account, Eqs (6) and (10) together are compatible with the 'CERC' equation but are still able to account for currents of different origin.

Along the same lines, Hanson et al. (2001)^[7] proposed a generalized version of Eq. (9) which includes the effect of longshore gradients as,

$$v_b = K_4 u_m \sin \alpha_b - K_5 rac{4}{\gamma g} u_m rac{\partial u_m^2}{\partial x} rac{1}{ an eta} \qquad (11)$$

where K_5 is an empirical coefficient (= K_2/K_3). It can easily be shown that Eq. (11) inserted into Eq. (6) reduces back to Eq. (4). Thus, the proposed relationship expressed in Eq. (11) is equivalent to the 'original' transport relationship used in GENESIS with only wave-generated transport accounted for.

Representation of Offshore Contours

If a 2D external wave transformation model is not used in the present Corps of Engineers version of GENESIS, the offshore contour orientation, upon which the incoming waves are refracted, is calculated as a smoothed rendering of the shoreline orientation. This is to assure that the incident waves are realistic while preserving some feedback from the shoreline change to a change in the transformation of the waves (Kraus and Harikai 1983 ^[8]). However, this methodology has two limitations: 1) dominant local or regional bathymetric features are not well represented, and 2) an open coast without structures or sources or sinks of sediment will evolve to a straight line if the model is run a sufficiently long time. These limitations can be remedied by specifying a fixed representative or effective contour (Hanson and Kraus 1993^[9]) which is appended to the feed-back contour associated with local changes in the shoreline (Fig. 4). Correctly specified, the waves transformed over this contour within the GENESIS will maintain a desired overall shoreline curvature, e.g. preserving a bay shape without the presence of structures, even if the model is run for very long time periods.

Representation of Variable Transmission Coefficient for Submerged Breakwaters

The transmission coefficient is a leading parameter in controlling beach response to detached breakwaters (Hanson and Kraus 1990^[10]). Previously the GENESIS model only represented a constant transmission coefficient ($K_t = H_t / H_o$ in Fig. 5) for detached breakwaters. To improve the predictive capability of the GENESIS model, several published empirical formulae for the wave transmission coefficient were evaluated. In the revised GENESIS, the user may choose either a constant value of K_t for each structure or allow the model to calculate appropriate values based on time-varying water level and wave height, and structure characteristics. If the variable- K_t option is selected, water level is read from an input file at a specified input time interval. For each structure, the user specifies geometric properties (crest height and width, slopes on seaward and landward sides, and median rock size) and can select between the calculation methods of Ahrens (2001)^[11], Seabrook and Hall (1998)^[12], and d'Angremond et al. (1996)^[13]. The method selected should be based upon structure type and configuration.

Based on the input values describing the structure, water level, and calculated wave properties, a corresponding K_t is calculated for each structure at each time step. The calculated K_t will have a strong influence on the wave field behind and adjacent to the structure as it influences wave transmission and diffraction. Through an iterative procedure for calculating wave breaking, K_t also influences the breaking wave height and direction alongshore, thereby determining the associated shoreline response to the structure (Hanson and Kraus 1989^[1], 1990^[10], 1991a^[14], 1991b^[15]).

Desired regional coast of

Figure 4. Impact of predefined offshore contour line.



Figure 5. Parameters in wave transmission predictive formulas.

Figure 2. Definition sketch for shore line change calculation.



Figure 3. Coupled calculation cells alongshore.

The boundary condition for representing tombolo formation at T-head groynes and detached breakwaters (DBWs) is formulated analogously to that of a seawall in GENESIS as discussed in Hanson and Kraus (1985)^[16]. However, implementation of the tombolo constraint is more complex as it includes wave diffraction, blocking of previously open calculation cells, and transport of sediment on both the landward and the seaward sides of the structure. The tombolo concept implies that the beach can reach the structure but not further. As a calculation cell makes contact with the structure, the transport rate into that cell is adjusted to allow the excess sediment to remain in updrift cells. The procedure to do this must conserve sediment volume and preserve the direction of its transport. The procedure is illustrated in Fig. 6, a plan view of an idealized beach protected by a DBW at an arbitrary moment in time. A tombolo has developed in Cell *i*-1 to enter, the shoreline would advance beyond the DBW (Fig. 6a), which is not allowed.

Thus, the initially calculated transport rate Q_6 must be adjusted to Q_6^* (Fig. 6b) to cause the shoreline to advance up to the detached breakwater but no further, giving $y_6^* = y_t$. With the new transport now going out of Cell 5, the shoreline location in this cell will be adjusted from y_5 to y_5^* . In this particular case, only two cells were recalculated. In the general case, the correction may be carried through any number of cells until the criterion that the shoreline may not advance beyond the DBW is not violated.

Related articles

Modelling Review (all generic types) V.Magar, J.Sutherland, H.Hanson

How to apply models I. Broker

Process-based Morphological Models - Applications to longer Time Frame I. Broker

Stability Models: Linear and nonlinear A. Falques Serra

Shallow-water wave theory A. Chadwick

Geomorphological time scales and processes K. Pavlopoulos

Human causes of coastal erosion K. Mangor

Coastal Hydrodynamics And Transport Processes K. Mangor

Typical examples of structural erosion J. van de Graaff

Dealing with coastal erosion J. van de Graaff

Accretion and erosion for different coastal types K. Mangor

Port breakwaters and coastal erosion M. Belorgey

References.

- Hanson, H., and Kraus, N. C. 1989. "GENESIS: Generalized model for simulating shoreline change, Report 1: Technical Reference." Tech. Rep. CERC-89-19, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Vicksburg, MS.
- Pelnard-Considere, R. 1956. "Essai de Theorie de l'Evolutio des Form de Rivage en Plage de Sable et de Galets," 4th Journees de l'Hydaulique, Les Energies de la Mer, Question III, No. 1, 289-298.
- 3. Bagnold, R.A. 1963. Mechanics of Marine Sedimentation, in The Sea, Vol. 3, 507-528, (ed. Hill, M.N.), Interscience, NY.
- Komar, P.D. and Inman, D.L. 1970. Longshore sand transport on beaches, J. Geoph. Res., 75(30), 5914-5927.
- Kraus, N.C., Isobe, M., Igarashi, H., Sasaki, T. and Horikawa, K. 1982. Field Experiments on Longshore Sand Transport in the Surf Zone, Proceedings 18th Coastal Engineering Conference, ASCE, 969-988.
- Longuet-Higgins, M.S. 1970. Longshore Currents Generated by Obliquely Incident Sea Waves, 1, Journal of Geophysical Research, 75(33), 6778-6789.
- Hanson, H., Larson, M., and Kraus, N.C. 2001. "A New Approach to Represent Tidal Currents and Bathymetric Features in the One-Line Model Concept," Proc. Coastal Dynamics '01, ASCE, 172-181.
- Kraus, N.C., and Harikai, S. 1983. Numerical Model of the Shoreline Change at Oarai Beach. Coastal Engineering, 7(1), 1-28.
- Hanson, H. and Kraus, N.C. 1993. "Optimization of Beach Fill Transitions," in "Beach Nourishment Engineering and Management Considerations," Stauble, D.K. and Kraus, N.C. (Vol.Eds.), Proc. Coastal Zone '93, ASCE, 103-117.

Figure 6. Principle of shoreline and transport correction for tombolo formation.

- Hanson, H., and Kraus, N. C. 1990. "Shoreline response to a single transmissive detached breakwater." Proceedings 22nd Coastal Engineering Conference, ASCE, 2034-2046.
- Ahrens, J. P. 2001. "Wave transmission over and through rubble-mound breakwaters." Draft Contract Report submitted to the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center., Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory, Vicksburg, MS.
- Seabrook, S., and Hall, K. 1998. "Wave transmission at submerged rubble mound breakwaters." Proceedings 26th Coastal Engineering Conference, ASCE. 2000- 2013.
- d'Angremond, K., van der Meer, J., and De Jong, R. 1996. "Wave transmission at low-crested structures." Proceedings 25th Coastal Engineering Conference, ASCE, 2418-2427.
- Hanson, H., and Kraus, N. C. 1991a. "Numerical simulation of shoreline change at Lorain, Ohio," J. Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering, 117(1), 1-18.
- Hanson, H., and Kraus, N. C. 1991b. "Comparison of shoreline change obtained with physical and numerical models," Proceedings Coastal Sediments '91, ASCE, 1785-1813.
- Hanson, H. and Kraus, N.C. 1985. "Seawall Constraint in the Shoreline Numerical Model," Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Eng., ASCE, Vol. 111, No. 6, 1079 1083.

The main author of this article is Hanson, Hans (http://www.coastalwiki.org/imis/imis.php?module=person&persid=11 188)

Please note that others may also have edited the contents of this article.

Citation: Hanson, Hans (2019): Littoral drift and shoreline modelling. Available from http://www.coastalwiki.org /wiki/Littoral_drift_and_shoreline_modelling [accessed on 27-05-2020]

- For other articles by this author see Category: Articles by Hanson, Hans
- For an overview of contributions by this author see Special: Contributions/Tvrl-hha

Retrieved from "http://www.marinespecies.org/i/index.php?title=Littoral_drift_and_shoreline_modelling&oldid=74821"

This page was last edited on 28 June 2019, at 15:45.