

TECHNICAL REPORT CERC-87-17

# CHARACTERISTICS OF REEF BREAKWATERS

by John P. Ahrens

Coastal Engineering Research Center

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631

DATA LIBRARY Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution



December 1987 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000 Under Civil Works Research Work Unit 31616 When this report is no longer needed return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. Unclassified

COLUMN AND A DESCRIPTION OF	COLLEGE COLLEGE	TION OF	TINC DACE
SECONIT	CUASSIFICA		THIS FAGE

REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188 Exp. Date Jun 30, 1986						
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS									
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT									
		Approved f	for public r	elease	e; distribution						
20. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	unlimited.									
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)									
Technical Report CERC-87-17											
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION USAEWES, Coastal Engineering	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION									
Research Center											
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) PO Box 631		7b. ADDRESS (Cit)	y, State, and ZIP Co	ode)							
Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631											
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	VTIFICAT	ION NUMBER						
Army Corps of Engineers			UNDING NUMBERS								
Washington, DC 20314-1000		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT						
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO	31616						
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)											
Characteristics of Reef Breakwaters											
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Ahrens, John P.											
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO Final report FROM	TO	14. DATE OF REPO December	RT (Year, Month, D 1987	lay) 15	62						
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Available from National Technica VA 22161.	1 Information S	ervice, 5285	Port Royal	Road,	Springfield,						
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (	Continue on reverse	e if necessary and	identify	by block number)						
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Breakwaters	(LC)									
	Water waves	, (10)									
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary A laboratory study was cor	and identify by block inducted to deter	number) mine the stal	bility, wave	tran	smission, wave						
reflection, and energy dissipati	on characterist	ics of reef	breakwaters.	Ree	f breakwaters						
are low-crested structures comprise	ised of a homog	n the armor	or stone wit and first up	n ind: derla	ividual stone ver of						
traditional multilayered breakwa	ters. The stud	y included o	ver two hund	red to	ests, all using						
irregular wave conditions.											
Results of the study are d	liscussed and su	mmarized three	ough the use	of e	quations fit to						
the data. The equations fit the	data well, are	consistent	with the phy	sics o	of the various						
prenomena as they are currently	underscood, allo	approach 10	Brear rimiti	ing va.	10001						
					(Continued)						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT SE Unclassif	CURITY CLASSIFICA	TION							
Z2a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226 TELEPHONE	Include Area Code	22c. C	OFFICE SYMBOL						
PD 500044472	PR edition may be used u	ntil exhausted	CECUPITY -								
DU FORM 14/3, 84 MAR 83 A	All other editions are o	obsolete	SECURITY	Unc1	assified						



Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

19. ABSTRACT (Continued).

Important findings include:

- a. A reef stability model which can predict the degree of degration of the structure as a function of severity of irregular wave attack.
- b. A wave transmission model capable of predicting the amount of wave energy transmitted over and through the structure for both submerged and nonsubmerged reefs.
- c. A wave reflection model which makes accurate predictions of energy reflected from the reef for a wide range of wave conditions and structure heights.
- $\underline{d}$  . A model which predicts the amount of incident wave energy dissipated by the reef.

#### PREFACE

The study reported herein was authorized by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), US Army Corps of Engineers, and funded through the Coastal Engineering Functional Area of Civil Works Research and Development, under Work Unit 31616. The project was monitored by Messrs. John H. Lockhart, Jr., and John G. Housley, OCE Technical Monitors. The study was conducted at the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) of the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES). Dr. C. Linwood Vincent, CERC, is Program Manager of the Coastal Engineering Functional Area.

This report was prepared by Mr. John P. Ahrens, Research Oceanographer, Wave Research Branch (CW-R), Wave Dynamics Division (CW), CERC. Assisting Mr. Ahrens in conducting the study were the following CERC employees: Ms. Karen P. Zirkel and Messrs. Louis Myerele and Martin F. Titus, Engineering Technicians; Messrs. John Heggins, Computer Assistant, and Leland Hennington, Summer Aide, who helped to analyze the data; and Eng. Gisli Viggosson on temporary assignment from the Icelandic Harbour Authority, Reykjavik, Iceland.

Work was performed under direct supervision of Messrs. D. D. Davidson, CW, and C. Eugene Chatham, Chief, CW; and under general supervision of Dr. James R. Houston and Mr. Charles C. Calhoun, Jr., Chief and Assistant Chief, CERC, respectively. This report was edited by Ms. Shirley A. J. Hanshaw, Information Products Division, Information Technology Laboratory, WES.

Commander and Director of WES during publication of this report was COL Dwayne G. Lee, CE. Technical Director was Dr. Robert W. Whalin.

## CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	1
PART I: INTRODUCTION	3
BackgroundScope	3 4
PART II: LABORATORY SETUP AND TECHNIQUES USED	5
Stability Tests Previous Damage Tests Profile Surveys	7 8 10
PART III: STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE RESULTS	11
Stability to Irregular Wave Attack Wave Transmission Wave Reflection and Energy Dissipation	11 28 36
PART IV: CONCLUSIONS	43
REFERENCES	45
PHOTO 1	
APPENDIX A: TABULAR SUMMARY OF STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE DATA	A1
APPENDIX B: REGRESSION ANALYSIS USED TO DEVELOP FIGURE 29 SHOWING ENERGY DISTRIBUTION IN VICINITY OF REEF	B1
APPENDIX C: NOTATION	C1

#### CHARACTERISTICS OF REEF BREAKWATERS

#### PART I: INTRODUCTION

1. A reef breakwater is a low-crested rubble-mound breakwater without the traditional multilayer cross section. This type of breakwater is little more than a homogeneous pile of stones with individual stone weights similar to those ordinarily used in the armor and first underlayer of conventional breakwaters.

2. In recent years a number of low-crested breakwaters have been built or considered for use at a variety of locations. Most of these structures are intended to protect a beach or reduce the cost of beach maintenance. Other applications include protecting water intakes for power plants and entrance channels for small-boat harbors and providing an alternative to revetment for stabilizing an eroding shoreline. In situations where only partial attenuation of waves on the leeside of a structure is required, or possibly even advantageous, a low-crested rubble-mound breakwater is a logical selection. Since the cost of a rubble-mound breakwater increases rapidly with the height of the crest, the economic advantage of a low-crested structure over a traditional breakwater that is infrequently overtopped is obvious. Because the reef breakwater represents the ultimate in design simplicity, it could be the optimum structure for many situations. Unfortunately, the performance of lowcrested rubble-mound structures, particularly reef breakwaters, is not well documented or understood.

#### Background

3. A number of papers have noted that armor on the landside slope of a low-crested breakwater is more likely to be displayed by heavy overtopping than armor on the seaward face (Lording and Scott 1971, Raichlen 1972, and Lillevang 1977). Raichlen discusses the characteristics of overtopping over the crest and the inherent complexity of the problem. Walker, Palmer, and Dunham (1975) give a carefully reasoned discussion of the many factors influencing stability of heavily overtopped rubble-mound breakwaters. They also show a figure which suggests what armor weight is required for stability

on the backside of a low-crested breakwater. Unfortunately, the data scatter shown in the figure undermines confidence in the suggested armor weights.

4. In Australia, the breakwater at Rosslyn Bay was damaged severely during Cyclone David in 1976 (Bremner et al. 1980). The crest height of the structure was reduced as much as 4 m but still functioned effectively as a submerged breakwater for over 2 years until it was repaired. Based on the surprisingly good performance of the damaged Rosslyn Bay breakwater and the findings from model tests, a low-crested design was chosen for the breakwater at Townsville Harbor, Australia. This breakwater is unusual because it was built entirely of stone in the 3- to 5-ton\* range (Bremner et al. 1980). Reef breakwaters, as described in this paper, are very similar to the Townsville breakwater except a wider gradation of stone was used in the model breakwater tests discussed herein.

5. Seelig (1979) conducted an extensive series of model tests to determine wave transmission and reflection characteristics of low-crested breakwaters, including submerged structures. From these tests Seelig concluded that the component of transmission resulting from wave overtopping was very strongly dependent on the relative freeboard (i.e., freeboard divided by incident significant wave height). Recent work by Allsop (1983) with multilayered, low-crested breakwaters shows that wave transmission is strongly dependent on a dimensionless freeboard parameter which includes the zerocrossing period of irregular wave conditions. Allsop did not find substantial wave period dependency in his evaluation of breakwater stability. He indicates, however, that since wave transmission (which largely results from overtopping) is dependent on period, then possible stability of the backside slope would also be a function of wave period.

## Scope

6. A study currently being conducted at the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station's Coastal Engineering Research Center is intended to document the performance of low-crested breakwaters. This paper discusses laboratory model tests of reef breakwaters and provides information on their stability to wave attack, wave transmission and reflection characteristics, and wave energy dissipation.

<sup>\*</sup> Metric ton.

#### PART II: LABORATORY SETUP AND TECHNIQUES USED

7. To date, 205 two-dimensional laboratory tests of reef breakwaters have been completed. These tests were conducted in a 61-cm-wide channel within CERC's 1.2- by 4.6- by 42.7-m tank (Figure 1). All tests were





# PLAN VIEW

Figure 1. Plan view of wave tank and test setup

conducted with irregular waves. The spectra used had wave periods of peak energy density  $T_p^*$  ranging from about 1.45 to 3.60 sec, and water depth at the structure  $d_s$  ranged from 25 to 30 cm. Signals to control the wave blade were stored on magnetic tape and transferred to the wave generator through a computer data acquisition system (DAS). For this study four files were stored on the tape which could produce a spectrum with a distinct period of peak energy density. Table 1 gives the nominal period of peak energy density for each file.

8. If there were no attenuation of the signal to the wave generator, the files used were intended to produce a saturated spectrum at all frequences above the frequency of peak energy density for the water depth at the wave blade. For frequencies lower than those of the peak, the energy density decreased rapidly. This procedure produced a spectrum of the Kitaigorodskii type as described by Vincent (1981). The amplitude of the signal to the wave generator was attenuated by a 10-turn potentiometer in a voltage divider

<sup>\*</sup> For convenience, symbols and unusual abbreviations are listed and defined in the Notation (Appendix C).

Tane	Approximate
File	T_, sec
1110	<u> </u>
1	1.45
2	2.25
3	2.86
4	3.60

Table 1 Period of Peak Energy Density for Each Tape File

network which allowed control of the wave heights generated. In addition, the waves were generated in a water depth 25 cm greater than at the breakwater and shoaled to the water depth at the structure over a 1-V on 15-H slope (see Figure 2). This setup ensures that severe conditions can be developed at the





structure site. Incident zero-moment wave heights H<sub>mo</sub> ranged from about 1 to 18 cm.

9. Three parallel wire-resistance wave gages were used in front of the breakwater to resolve the incident and reflected wave spectra using the method of Goda and Suzuki (1976), and two wave gages were placed behind the structure to measure the transmitted wave height. The location of gages is shown in Figure 2. During data collection gages were sampled at a rate of 16 times per second for 256 sec by the same DAS which controlled the wave generator motion.

10. Two types of model tests were conducted during this study: stability and previous damage tests. Each type followed a prescribed sequence.

### Stability Tests

- 11. For a stability test the following test sequence was used:
  - a. Rebuild the breakwater from the previously damaged condition.
  - b. Survey the breakwater to document its initial condition.
  - c. Calibrate the wave gages.
  - d. Select the tape file and signal attenuation setting.
  - e. Start the wave generator and run waves.
  - f. Collect wave data (several or more times).
  - g. Stop the wave generator.
  - h. Survey the breakwater to document its final condition.

The duration of wave action was from 1-1/2 hr for a test using the File 1 spectrum to 3-1/2 hr for a File 4 spectrum. Generally, the technicians observing the tests thought that most of the stone movement occurred during the first 10 or 15 min of wave generation, so the final survey is regarded as an equilibrium profile for the structure. In rebuilding the breakwater the technicians rarely touched the stone but merely pushed it around by foot until the shape conformed to the desired initial profile. This procedure was a conscious effort to avoid overly careful placement of the stone. Outlines of the desired initial profile were fixed to the walls of the testing channel, and a moveable template was used to ensure that the initial profile was reasonably close to the desired profile. Initial configuration of the breakwater for a stability test was a narrow, trapezoidal shape with seaward and landward slopes of 1V on 1.5H (Figure 3). Crest widths were three typical stone dimensions wide, using the cube root of the volume of the median weight stone W50 as the typical dimension  $d_{50}$  . Figure 3 also shows a typical profile after moderately severe wave attack during a stability test. Wave transmission and reflection also were measured during a stability test.



Figure 3. Cross-sectional view of initial and typical damaged reef profiles (swl denotes still-water level)

#### Previous Damage Tests

12. Previous damage tests were conducted to answer the question of how the breakwater would perform for moderate wave conditions after it had been damaged by very severe wave conditions. For previous damage tests there was very little readjustment of the damage profile from test to test; consequently, the breakwater was not rebuilt at the end of a test. No stability information was obtained from these tests, and the duration of wave action was only half an hour; however, wave transmission and reflection were measured. Previous damage tests were performed in the following sequence:

- Survey breakwater for last test which becomes initial survey for current test.
- b. Calibrate wave gages.
- c. Select wave file and signal attenuation setting.
- d. Start generator and run waves for half an hour.
- e. Collect wave data (two or three times).
- f. Stop wave generator.
- g. Survey breakwater as noted above in Step 1.

13. All 205 of the completed tests of this study can be divided logically into 10 subsets or test series. Because of the test plan, stability test series have odd numbers, and previous damage test series have even numbers. Table 2 lists the basic information about each subset.

14. Two different sizes of stone were used during this study. For subsets 1 through 6 an angular quartzite with a median weight of 17 g was used,

_					
Subset No.	No. of <u>Tests</u>	Water Depth <sup>d</sup> s, cm	Crest Height "as built" hc', cm	Median Stone Weight <sup>W</sup> 50 , g	Area of Breakwater Cross Section A <sub>t</sub> , cm <sup>2</sup>
1	27	25	25	17	1,170
2	3	25	NA*	17	1,170
3	29	25	30	17	1,560
4	12	25	NA	17	1,560
5	41	25	35	17	2,190
6	11	25	NA	17	2,190
7	38	25	32	71	1,900
8	26	25	NA	71	1,900
9	13	30	32	71	1,900
10	5	30	NA	71	1,900

т	a	h	1	ρ	2
-	a	υ	-	~	4

Basic Data for Each Subset

\* NA denotes not applicable to previous damage test series.

and for subsets 7 through 10 a blocky to angular diorite with a median weight of 71 g was used. Photo 1 depicts the stone, and Table 3 summarizes information about it.

Stone and Gradation Characteristics											
Characteristic	Quartzite	<u>D</u>	iorite								
2% weight (g)	7.0		14.0								
Median weight, W <sub>50</sub> (g)	17.0		71.0								
98% weight (g)	28.0	1	39.0								
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.63		2.83								
Porosity (%)	45		44								

Table 3

## Profile Surveys

15. Initial and final profiles of the reef were obtained by survey. The survey rods had feet attached with ball-and-socket connectors. For the small stone used for subsets 1 through 6, the foot of the survey rod had a diameter of 2.54 cm; and for the somewhat larger stone used in subsets 7 through 10, the foot of the survey rod had a diameter of 3.81 cm. Three profiles were used to establish an average profile for the reef. One profile line was exactly in the center of the wave channel, and the other two profile lines were 15 cm on either side of center. The survey interval along the channel was 3.05 cm.

#### PART III: STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE RESULTS

16. The report herein consolidates findings from all of the data subsets identified in Table 2 into general conclusions about the stability and performance characteristics of reef breakwaters. Specific characteristics include the stability of reef breakwaters to irregular wave attack, wave transmission over and through the breakwater, wave reflection from the breakwater, and dissipation of wave energy. A mathematical model is developed for each characteristic which provides a simple method to summarize findings from this study and a convenient way to furnish results to potential users. These mathematical models are intended to work together with the stability model furnishing the equilibrium crest height to both transmission and reflection models which together are used to estimate the amount of energy dissipated by the reef.

### Stability to Irregular Wave Attack

17. The stability of reef breakwaters will be quantified by damage or lack of damage during a test, the most important aspect of which is the reduction in crest height caused by wave attack. This aspect of stability is important because the performance of a reef breakwater will be judged largely on its wave transmission characteristics. Wave transmission is very sensitive to crest height relative to water level.

## Crest height reduction factor

18. One of the most effective methods to evaluate damage to a reef breakwater is to use the ratio of the crest height at the completion of a test to the height at the beginning of the test before waves have been run. This ratio,  $h_c/h'_c$ , will be referred to as the crest height reduction factor. For comparing damage within a subset,  $h_c/h'_c$  is effective because it inherently accounts for the random variation of one to two centimeters in the constructed crest height from test to test within a subset. Another advantage of the crest height reduction factor is that all stability subsets have the same natural limiting values of 1.0 and 0.0.

# Stability number and spectral stability number comparison

19. Experience with the stability of traditional rubble-mound breakwaters to monochromatic waves suggests that one of the most important

variables to explain damage would be one similar to the stability number used by Hudson and Davidson (1975). The following definition is used for the stability number for tests with irregular waves:

$$N_{s} = \frac{H_{mo}}{\left(\frac{W_{50}}{w_{r}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{w_{r}}{w_{w}} - 1\right)}$$
(1)

where  $w_r$  is the density of stone and  $w_w$  is the density of water. Since these tests were conducted in fresh water,  $w_w = 1.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . As far as the stability tests of reef breakwaters are concerned, it was apparent that tests with a higher period of peak energy density did more damage than similar tests with a smaller period of peak energy density. This finding is consistent with the results of a study conducted by Gravesen, Jensen, and Sorensen (1980) on the stability of high-crested, rubble-mound breakwaters exposed to irregular wave attack. According to the stability analysis of Gravesen, the spectral stability number is defined

$$N_{s}^{*} = \frac{\left(H_{mo}^{2} L_{p}\right)^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{W_{50}}{w_{r}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{w_{r}}{w_{w}} - 1\right)}$$
(2)

where L is the Airy wave length calculated using T and the water depth at the toe of the reef d .

20. Figures 4 through 8 show comparisons of the effectiveness of the stability number and the spectral stability number in accounting for damage to reef breakwaters. In Figures 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 the crest height reduction factor is plotted versus the traditional stability number and the spectral stability number for stability subsets 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9, respectively. The figures show that there is less scatter in the damage trends when they are plotted versus the spectral stability number. They also show that there is little or no damage for spectral stability numbers less than about six but that damage increases rapidly for spectral stability numbers above eight. In the following analysis the spectral stability number will be used to define



b. Crest height reduction factor versus the spectral stability number

Figure 4. Stability comparisons for subset 1



b. Crest height reduction factor versus the spectral stability number

Figure 5. Stability comparisons for subset 3





Figure 6. Stability comparisons for subset 5



b. Crest height reduction factor versus the spectral stability number

Figure 7. Stability comparisons for subset 7





Figure 8. Stability comparisons for subset 9

the relative severity of wave attack on reef breakwaters. Secondary stability factors

21. Data analysis and observation of the laboratory tests indicate that several factors other than the spectral stability number have a quantifiable influence on the stability of reef breakwaters. Figure 9 will help identify what will be referred to as secondary stability factors or variables. In Figure 9 the damage trends for all five stability subsets are shown using subjectively drawn curves. Figure 9 shows the relative crest height  $h_c/d_s$  (see Figure 3) as a function of the spectral stability number. For intercomparing damage trends between subsets, the variable  $h_c/d_s$  is better than  $h_c/h'_c$ . When various subsets are plotted using  $h_c/h'_c$ , the data trends tend to fall on top of each other, especially for  $N_s^* < 8$ . Using  $h_c/d_s$  to show damage trends spreads the data out so that subsets can be distinguished and provides better orientation by showing the swl.

22. Relative exposure to wave action. One secondary stability factor is the relative exposure of the structure to wave action. Submerged breakwaters are much less exposed to wave attack than breakwaters with crests above the water level. Water overlying a submerged crest greatly dampens wave impact forces and attenuates the lift and drag forces on the stone. This factor is illustrated in Figure 9 where structures with the greater initial relative height h'/d have their height reduced more rapidly with increasing N\* than structures with lower initial relative height. In Table 4, which can be used with Figure 9 to evaluate the influence of secondary stability factors, the average value of initial relative crest height h'/d is given by subset along with two other secondary stability factors, the bulk number and the "as built" effective reef slope C', which are discussed below. Subsets 1 and 5, which represent tests using the same stone size and water depth, illustrate the influence of h'/d on stability. Figure 9 shows that the wide difference in initial relative height of these structures is maintained until N\* is about 6.0; however, when noticeable stone movement starts at about  $N^*_{\star}$ = 6 , the difference in relative heights for the reefs of the two subsets tends to decrease with increasing value of N\* . For the most severe conditions at about  $N^*_{s} = 17$ , the difference in relative height between the two subsets is not very large. Based on analysis of all the data, it is concluded that the greater the initial height of the reef the more vulnerable it is to wave attack.



Figure 9. Damage trends of the relative crest height as a function of the spectral stability number for the stability subsets 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9

Table 4

molage failed of becomaary beablined, failed to by	Average	Values o	f Secondary	Stability	Variables	by Subset
--	---------	----------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Subset	Relative Crest Height "as Built" hc'ds	Reef Size B * n	Eftective Reef Slope "as Built" C'**		
1	0.99	337	1.90		
3	1.18	450	1.80		
5	1.41	631	1.76		
7	1.27	222	1.88		
9	1.06	222	1.88		

\*  $B_n$  bulk number, defined by Equation 3.

\*\* C' effective reef slope, "as built," defined by Equation 4.

23. Influence of reef bulk. Subsets 1 and 5 can be used also to illustrate the influence of size or bulk of the reef on stability. Even though the difference in relative height for the two subsets narrows with increasing  $N_s^*$ , the crest heights of the reefs of subset 5 always are higher than those of subset 1. In fact, Figure 9 shows that the relative position of the trends for subsets 1, 3, and 5 are maintained such that the larger structure always has a greater crest height than the smaller structure for a given value of  $N_s^*$ . In order to intercompare the stability of all subsets, a general measure of breakwater size is needed which will be consistent with the data trends shown in Figure 9. Within this context, the variable which best characterizes the size of the reef breakwater is called the bulk number  $B_n$  and is defined as

$$B_{n} = \frac{A_{t}}{\left(\frac{W_{50}}{w_{r}}\right)^{2/3}} = \frac{A_{t}}{d_{50}^{2}}$$
(3)

where

 $A_t$  = area of breakwater cross section, cm<sup>2</sup> w<sub>r</sub> = unit weight of stone g/cm<sup>3</sup>

 $d_{50}$  = dimension of stone, cm

24. Bulk number can be described as the equivalent number of median stones per median stone width in the breakwater cross section. Equivalent is used because  $B_n$  does not include the influence of porosity which is about 45 percent for the two stone gradations used in this study. The value of the bulk number lies in its ability to explain the rather straightforward behavior of the relative location of the damage trends for subsets 1, 3, and 5 in Figure 9. It also explains the rather anomalous behavior, such as that of the trend for subset 9 crossing the trend for subset 1. At first it seems surprising that the reefs of subset 9 degrade faster than those of subset 1, considering that the reefs of subset 9 have the greater cross-sectional area (see Table 2). However, when the bulk number is used to measure the size of the reef rather than the cross-sectional area, the relative behavior of the damage trends for subsets 1 and 9 seems more plausible. Subsets 1 and 9 have bulk numbers of 337 and 222, respectively, indicating that the reefs of subset 1

have more stone in the cross section than the reefs of subset 9. All the data appear to indicate that when the relative severity of wave attack is based on the spectral stability number the stability of the reef correlates better with the number of stones in the cross section than with the absolute size of the cross section. Other factors being equal, a reef with a large bulk number is more stable than a reef with a small bulk number because there are more stones to dissipate wave energy and to shelter other stones from wave forces.

25. Effective slope of the reef. The remaining secondary stability factor is a combination of the first two. This factor, referred to as the effective slope of the reef, is obtained by dividing the cross-sectional area by the square of the crest height. Two effective slope variables will be discussed in this report: (a) the effective slope of the structure "as built," defined as

$$C' = \frac{A_t}{h_c'^2}$$
(4)

and (b) the response slope for the reef breakwater to wave action, defined as

$$C = \frac{A_t}{h_c^2}$$
(5)

These variables are considered a cotangent function since dividing  $A_t$  by  $h_c$  one time produces a variable which can be regarded as a horizontal length, and dividing this length by  $h_c$  creates a cotangent-like variable. For low-crested, or submerged reefs, these variables provide a simple way to characterize an average slope or shape for what is sometimes a rather complex shape (e.g., see Figure 3). Table 4 shows that the average values of the effective structure slope "as built" are in a relatively narrow range. Since the landward and seaward faces of the reef were built to a slope of IV on 1.5H (cot  $\theta = 1.5$ ), the difference between the values of C' in Table 4 and 1.5 result from the crest width of the trapezoid which increases the effective slope, as illustrated in Equation 6. The "as built" cross section of the reef is a narrow trapezoid with a crest width three stone diameters wide. For this study

the cross-sectional area of the reef is given approximately by

$$A_{t} = (h_{c}')^{2} \cot \theta + 3h_{c}' \left(\frac{W_{50}}{W_{r}}\right)^{1/3}$$
(6)

where  $\cot \theta$  is the cotangent of the angle  $\theta$  between the "as built" seaward and landward breakwater slopes and the horizontal. If the severity of wave attack exceeds a value of the spectral stability number of about six, the reef deforms. A convenient method to quantify the deformation is to use effective response slope for reef breakwaters defined by Equation 5. In Figure 10 the response slope C is plotted as a function of  $N_s^*$ . This figure is similar to Figure 14.17 presented by Wiegel (1964) showing the relationships among the grain size, beach slope, and severity of the exposure of a beach to wave action.

26. Because of the narrow range of the effective "as built" reef slope C' (Table 4), it was not possible to quantify the influence of this variable on stability. It is assumed that the flatter the initial slope of the reef the more stable it will be. Future laboratory tests may expand the range of this variable so that the influence of the initial slope can be determined definitively.

27. Figure 10 suggests that a logical form for a reef breakwater stability equation would be

$$\frac{A_{t}}{h_{c}^{2}} = \exp\left(C_{1}N_{s}^{*}\right)$$
(7)

where  $C_1$  is a dimensionless coefficient. Regression analysis was used to determine the value of  $C_1$  for tests where  $N_S^* > 6.0$ ; the value obtained was  $C_1 = 0.0945$ . With this value of  $C_1$ , Equation 7 explains about 99 percent of the variance in C for the 109 stability tests with  $N_S^* > 6.0$ . Equation 7 approaches logical limits with

$$C \rightarrow \infty$$
, as  $N_s^* \rightarrow \infty$   
S  
 $C \rightarrow 1.0$ , as  $N_s^* \rightarrow 0$ 

and



Figure 10. Reef breakwater response slope versus the spectral stability number for stability subsets 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9

since the natural angle of repose for gravel is about 45 deg, giving C = 1.0 for a triangular reef cross section with side slopes of IV on 1H. Equation 7 can be compared to the observed data in Figure 10. It is surprising that the response slope of the reef, stone size and density, and severity of wave attack can all be linked with a relation as simple as that in Equation 7. It is difficult to add secondary stability variables to an equation like Equation 7 and improve the ability to predict the response slope over Equation 7 very much. At the same time it is clear from Figure 9 that secondary stability factors have some influence on reef stability. After trial and error the following equation was developed which includes one secondary stability variable and does a better job of predicting the response slope of the reef:

$$C = \frac{A_t}{h_c^2} = \exp \left\{ N_s^{\star} \left[ 0.0676 + 0.0222 \left( \frac{h'_c}{d_s} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(8)

where the relative "as built" crest height of the reef  $h_c^{\prime}/d_s$  was added to an equation like Equation 7 to improve the predictive ability. Equation 8

explains 99.5 percent of the variance in C for the 109 tests with  $N^* > 6$  .

28. It was found that when using Equation 8 to predict the relative crest height  $h_c/d_s$  for values of  $N_s^*$  near or below six, illogically high values could result. Higher values are to be expected since Equation 6 was developed for tests where  $N_s^* > 6$  and there was enough rock movement to form an equilibrium reef profile and not for wave conditions where the "as built" reef slope was too stable to be deformed. Since it would be useful to have a stability model which predicts reasonable response crest heights over the entire range of test conditions, another stability equation was developed to predict crest heights for values of  $N_s^* < 10$ . This range provides a convenient overlap with the range of Equation 8 and allows an equation to be developed which will be simple enough to serve as a rule-of-thumb relation for zero to relatively low damage situations. This equation is given by

$$\frac{h_{c}}{h_{c}^{*}} = \exp\left[-0.00005 \, \left(\frac{N*}{s}\right)^{3.5}\right]$$
(9)

Equation 9 provides a simple relation which follows the trend of the data well, albeit somewhat conservatively in the range  $N_s^* < 10$  as can be seen in Figure 11. The small levels of damage predicted by Equation 9 for  $N_s^* < 6$  represent settlement and consolidation of the reef under wave action and not conspicuous stone movement.

29. Equations 8 and 9 are used together to compute the response crest height of the reef over a wide range of wave severity. This approach will be referred to as the stability model. The procedure is to use Equation 8 for N\* > 10 and Equation 9 for N\* < 6. If we let the solution for  $h_c/h_c'$  in Equation 9 be denoted  $(h_c/h_c')_{\ell}$  and the solution for  $h_c/h_c'$  in Equation 8 be denoted  $(h_c/h_c')_{\ell}$ , then the following equation

$$\left(\frac{10 - N_{s}^{*}}{10 - 6}\right) \left(\frac{h_{c}}{h_{c}^{*}}\right)_{\ell} + \left(\frac{N_{s}^{*} - 6}{10 - 6}\right) \left(\frac{h_{c}}{h_{c}^{*}}\right)_{u}$$
(10)

can be used in the transition region  $6 < N_S^* < 10$  to compute the response crest height  $h_c$ . To judge the effectiveness of this procedure,



Figure 11. Crest height reduction factor versus spectral stability number for stability subsets 1, 3, 5, 6, and 9

Figures 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 were prepared to compare observed data for subsets 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9, respectively, with synthetic data trends generated by the stability model. Figures 12 through 16 show  $h_c/d_s$  versus  $N_s^*$  with synthetic trends for each subset generated using  $A_t$  and  $d_s$  from Table 2 and  $h'_c/d_s$  from Table 4. Values of  $h_c/d_s$  were generated at integer values of  $N_s^*$  for a range of  $N_s^*$  about the same as observed within each subset. Synthetic damage trends comprise the type of information that could be generated by a user of the stability model. In general, synthetic trends follow observed data trends very well. Discrepancies between predicted and observed values appear to occur because the stability model does not include the bulk number.



Figure 12. Comparison of data and the synthetic damage trends generated by the stability model for subset 1



Figure 13. Comparison of data and the synthetic damage trends generated by the stability model for subset 3



Figure 14. Comparison of data and the synthetic damage trends generated by the stability model for subset 5



Figure 15. Comparison of data and the synthetic damage trends generated by the stability model for subset 7



Figure 16. Comparison of data and the synthetic damage trends generated by the stability model for subset 9

# Wave Transmission

30. For the tests mentioned above the wave transmission coefficient  $\mathbf{K}_{t}$  is defined as

$$K_{t} = \frac{H_{t}}{H_{c}}$$
(11)

where  $H_t$  is the zero-moment transmitted wave height, and  $H_c$  is the zeromoment wave height at the transmitted gage locations with no breakwater in the test channel. Although this is not the most commonly used definition of  $K_t$ , it has some advantages over the traditional definition which is given by the ratio of transmitted to incident wave height. Equation 11 can be stated as the ratio of the transmitted wave height to the wave height which would be observed at the same location without the breakwater in the channel. This definition eliminates wave energy losses occurring between the incident and transmitted gages in the absence of a breakwater in the testing channel. These losses were observed to be considerable for the most severe wave conditions during calibration of the channel. In effect,  $K_t$  measures attenuation of wave energy because of the presence of the breakwater and eliminates additional energy losses caused by natural wave breaking processes occurring between the incident and transmitted wave gages. Using the above definition of  $K_t$  will allow evaluation of wave energy dissipating characteristics of reef breakwaters in the next section. Because of the definition used,  $K_t$  should be somewhat conservative, i.e., higher than the more traditional definition of the transmission coefficient.

31. Wave transmission has proved to be a very difficult characteristic of reef breakwaters to predict partly because this study includes both submerged and nonsubmerged rubble-mound structures. Seelig (1980) found that the relative freeboard parameter F/H was the most important variable in explaining wave transmission of submerged and overtopped breakwaters, where freeboard F is equal to crest height minus water depth, i.e.,  $F = H_c - d_s$ . However, a confusing trend will be obtained using this variable when there is a transition in the dominant mode of transmission from that due to wave runup and overtopping to that due to transmission through the structure. Figure 17 identifies the dominant mode of transmission as a function of the relative freeboard and shows a schematized data trend. The difficulty in parameterizing the wave transmission process can be appreciated partly by considering the influence of the wave height. When a reef breakwater is submerged, the primary mode of transmission results from wave propagation over the crest and, generally, the smaller the wave the greater the  $K_{_{+}}$  . When the crest is just above the water level, the dominant mode of transmission results from wave runup and overtopping, and the larger the wave the larger the  $K_{\mu}$  . If the relative freeboard is greater than about one, the dominant mode of transmis-A number of other factors tend to further confuse the above generalities.

32. The easiest way to discuss development of a general wave transmission model for reef breakwaters is to first consider relatively high structures where relative freeboard  $F/H_{mo}$  is greater than one. When the dominant mode is wave transmission through the reef,  $K_t$  is a function largely of one variable which is the product of wave steepness and bulk number. Figure 18 shows a plot of  $K_t$  versus the reef transmission variable  $\binom{L_p}{t_{50}} \binom{2}{(H_{mo}} A_t)$  for the 37 tests where  $F/H_{mo} > 1.0$ . This one variable caused the wave



Figure 17. Conceptual sketch showing the dominant modes of wave transmission for a reef as a function of the relative freeboard





transmission data to coalesce into one well-defined trend. A prediction equation was fit to the data shown in Figure 18, and the following relation was obtained:

$$K_{t} = \frac{1.0}{1.0 + \left(\frac{H_{mo}A_{t}}{L_{p}d_{50}^{2}}\right)^{0.592}}$$
(12)

for

$$\frac{F}{H_{mo}} > 1.0$$

Equation 12 explains about 97 percent of the variance in  $K_t$  for the range considered. It is apparent from the composition of Equation 12 why the relative freeboard F/H was not a good variable for explaining wave transmission through relatively high breakwaters.

33. For conditions where transmission is not dominated by wave energy propagating through the reef, relative freeboard  $F/H_{mo}$  is the most influential variable. Part of the value of the variable is in being able to account for the changing influence of wave height as the dominant mode of transmission shifts between wave propagation over the crest to wave runup and overtopping. For submerged reefs the relative freeboard correctly indicates the interesting property of being able to dissipate energy of large waves more effectively than that of small waves. For reefs being overtopped, the relative freeboard correctly indicates that larger waves have higher transmission coefficients. In spite of these assets, wave transmission for low and submerged reefs is far too complicated to be formulated adequately in terms of  $F/H_{mo}$  alone partly because wave energy is still propagating through low and submerged reefs even though transmission may be dominated by either overtopping or propagation over the crest. In addition, energy going over the reef is quite dependent on crest width and bulk of the structure which introduces the influence of other variables. Considering the multitude of confusing influences and the complexity of the phenomenon, the following regression relation was fit to the 167 tests with relative freeboards less than one:

$$K_{t} = \frac{1.0}{1.0 + \left(\frac{h_{c}}{d_{s}}\right)^{1} \left(\frac{A_{t}}{d_{s}L_{p}}\right)^{2}} \exp \left[C_{3}\left(\frac{F}{H_{mo}}\right) + C_{4}\left(\frac{A_{t}^{3/2}}{d_{50}^{2}L_{p}}\right)\right]$$
(13)

for

$$\frac{F}{H_{mo}} < 1.0$$

where

 $C_1 = 1.188$   $C_2 = 0.261$   $C_3 = 0.529$  $C_4 = 0.00551$ 

Equation 13 explains about 92 percent of the variance in  $K_t$  for the 167 tests where  $F/H_{mo} < 1.0$ . Equation 13 is the result of a considerable amount of trial and error effort to find an equation which fits the data well, makes physical sense based on current understanding of the phenomenon, approaches the correct limiting values, and is reasonably simple. The regression analysis for Equation 13 is shown in Appendix B.

34. If Equations 12 and 13 are used, the transmission coefficient can be predicted over the entire range of conditions tested in this study. Predicted values of  $K_t$  were made using Equation 12 for  $F/H_{mo} > 1.0$  and Equation 13 for  $F/H_{mo} < 1.0$ . This prediction method will be referred to as the wave transmission model. Figures 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23 show predicted and observed values of  $K_t$  as a function of  $F/H_{mo}$  for subsets 1 and 2, 3 and 4, 5 and 6, 7 and 8, and 9 and 10, respectively. Figures 19 through 23 indicate that the wave transmission model does a good job of predicting individual test results and produces trends very similar to those of the observed data.

35. In addition to investigating the attenuation of wave energy passing over and through the reef, it is also possible to determine the relative shift in wave energy caused by the structure. The shift in wave energy is measured by the ratio of the period of peak energy density of the transmitted wave to the period of peak energy density of the incident wave. Figure 24 shows the shift in peak period as a function of relative freeboard. What is surprising



Figure 19. Comparison of data and predicted values of the wave transmission coefficient using the transmission model for subsets 1 and 2



Figure 20. Comparison of data and predicted values of the wave transmission coefficient using the transmission model for subsets 3 and 4  $\,$ 



Figure 21. Comparison of data and predicted values of the wave transmission coefficient using the transmission model for subsets 5 and 6



Figure 22. Comparison of data and predicted values of the wave transmission coefficient using the transmission model for subsets 7 and 8



Figure 23. Comparison of data and predicted values of the wave transmission coefficient using the transmission model for subsets 9 and 10



Figure 24. Ratio of the transmitted period of peak energy density to the incident period of peak energy density as a function of the relative freeboard, all subsets

about this analysis is that the reef does not produce much shift in the peak period of the spectrum. In fact, in only a few tests was the shift as much as 10 percent.

#### Wave Reflection and Energy Dissipation

36. The method developed by Goda and Suzuki (1976) to resolve the wave spectrum into incident and reflected components is the method used in this study to calculate the reflection coefficient. According to Goda and Suzuki, the reflection coefficient is defined as

$$K_r = \sqrt{\frac{E_r}{E_I}}$$

where  $E_r$  and  $E_I$  are the reflected and incident wave energy of the spectrum, respectively.

37. One variable, the reef reflection parameter, was found to be conspicuously better than others for predicting wave reflection and is formulated as

$$\frac{\frac{h_{c}^{2}L_{p}}{A_{t}d_{s}}}{A_{t}d_{s}} = \frac{\frac{L_{p}}{\left(\frac{A_{t}}{h_{c}^{2}}\right)d_{s}}$$

This parameter can be thought of as approximately the ratio of wave length to horizontal distance between the toe of the reef and the swl on the reef. Since, for many tests, the reefs are deformed and/or submerged, the quantity  $\left(\frac{A_t}{R_c}\right)d_s$  is sometimes only indicative of this horizontal distance. When  $K_r$  is plotted versus the reef reflection parameter, a very strong data trend results (Figure 25). Such a strong trend seems surprising considering the complex nature of irregular wave reflection and the wide range of conditions represented in Figure 25. A regression equation was fit to the data shown in Figure 25 to provide a convenient rule-of-thumb method to estimate reflection from a reef and to provide insight relating to wave reflection from coastal structures in general. The equation is given by

$$K_{r} = \frac{1.0}{1.0 + C_{I} \left(\frac{h_{c}^{2}L_{p}}{A_{t}d_{s}}\right)^{2}}$$
(14)

where  $C_1 = 8.284$  and  $C_2 = -0.951$  are coefficients. Equation 14 explains about 80 percent of the variance in  $K_r$  for the 204 tests considered, follows the trend of the data well, and approaches the correct limiting values.



Figure 25. Wave reflection coefficient versus the reef reflection parameter illustrating the ability of Equation 14 to predict reflection, all subsets

38. While the analysis was being conducted to develop Equation 14, it was clear a relation could be developed which could explain considerably more of the variance in  $K_r$  if more dependent variables were used. Better estimates of reflection from reefs would be valuable since wave reflection causes navigation problems, increases potential for toe scour, and can cause erosion at nearby shorelines by increasing the severity of wave conditions. In addition, knowledge of wave reflection provides a way to estimate the amount of wave energy dissipated by the reef. The ability of low and submerged rubble structures to dissipate wave energy has long been appreciated, but only in

recent years has it been possible to quantify this property. Quantification of energy dissipation by a reef is the property that justified consideration of rubble-mound construction since both wave reflection and transmission are usually undesirable. The basic conservation of energy relation for rubble structures can be written as follows:

$$K_t^2 + K_r^2 + \text{dissipation} = 1.0$$
(15)

where dissipation in Equation 15 refers to the fraction of the incident wave energy dissipated by the structure.

39. The following regression equation will provide an accurate estimate of wave reflection from a reef breakwater:

$$K_{r} = \exp\left[C_{1}\left(\frac{d_{s}}{L_{p}}\right) + \frac{C_{2}}{\frac{h_{c}}{d_{s}}} + C_{3}\left(\frac{A_{t}}{h_{c}^{2}}\right) + C_{4}\left(\frac{F}{H_{mo}}\right)\right]$$
(16)

where

$$C_{1} = -6.774$$

$$C_{2} = -0.293$$

$$C_{3} = -0.0860$$

$$C_{4} = +0.0833$$

Equation 16 explains about 99 percent of the variance in  $K_r$  for the 204 tests considered. The dependent variables and the signs of their coefficients are consistent with current understanding of wave reflection. All the dependent variables in Equation 16 affect reflection in a monotonic manner such that, other factors being equal,  $K_r$  increases as  $d_s/L_p$  decreases,  $h_c/d_s$  increases,  $A_t/h_c^2$  decreases, and  $F/H_m$  increases. However, some care should be exercised in using Equation 16; for example, reflection will increase with increasing crest height only until the crest height approaches the limit of wave runup which for a reef would be  $F/H_m \gtrsim 1.5$ . Since all terms in Equation 16 are negative for submerged reefs, the equation approaches the correct limiting value of  $K_r = 0$  for decreasing structure height. On the other hand, Equation 16 was fit to a data set where reflection was strongly correlated to height of the reef which suggests that the equation might not be satisfactory for reefs with crest heights above the limit of runup. This

problem is demonstrated in Figure 26a where the difference between predicted  $K_r$  and observed  $K_r$  are plotted versus relative freeboard  $F/H_{mo}$ . Figure 26a shows that Equation 16 predicts  $K_r$  usually to within ±0.05 with little systematic error except for high relative freeboards, i.e.,  $F/H_{mo} > 2.5$ . Because of the possibility of systematic error for high relative freeboards, it is recommended that if the relative freeboard exceeds 2.5, a value of 2.5 be used in Equation 16. When this procedure is applied to the data of this study, it removes the systematic error as shown in Figure 26b.

40. It is intended that the prediction equation for  $K_{\perp}$ , Equation 16, be used with the wave transmission model (discussed in paragraph 34) in the energy conservation relation given by Equation 15 to compute energy dissipated by the reef. This approach was used to prepare Figure 27 which shows a scatter plot of predicted energy dissipation versus "observed" energy dissipation caused by the reef. Figure 27 shows that the procedure outlined above can make good predictions of energy dissipation and the rather surprising fact that, for some conditions, the reef can dissipate up to 90 percent of incident wave energy. Generally, greatest energy dissipation was observed for shortperiod waves on reefs which were high enough not to be overtopped. The lowest observed energy dissipation of about 30 percent occurred for the few reefs with a relative crest height less than 0.7, i.e.,  $h_c/d_c < 0.7$ . For submerged reefs, energy dissipation increases with increasing steepness  $H_{mo}/L_{p}$ and with increasing relative reef width  $A_t/dL_s$ . Reefs with their crest near the swl will dissipate between about 35 to 70 percent of incident wave energy, and dissipation is strongly dependent on relative reef width as shown in Figure 28. For reefs with moderate to heavy overtopping, i.e.,  $0 < F/H_{mo} < 1.0$ , energy dissipation is strongly dependent on the relative reef width but not on wave steepness.

41. Since wave energy dissipation characteristics of reef breakwaters are so important, a special analysis was conducted to illustrate the influence of the most important variables in a simple way that would still be consistent with the data. This analysis used the most effective two variables in predicting  $K_t$  and the two most effective variables for predicting  $K_r$  with the provision that one of the variables be common to both  $K_t$  and  $K_r$  so that the predicted values could be plotted on a common axis. Fortunately, the relative crest height  $h_c/d_s$  provides a good common variable. Good predictions are obtained for transmission using the variables  $h_c/d_s$  and  $B_r$  and for



Figure 26. Error in predicting the reflection coefficient using Equation 16



Figure 27. Scatter plot of the predicted energy dissipation by a reef using the dissipation model versus the observed energy dissipation, all subsets



Figure 28. Energy dissipation by reefs with crest near the swl as a function of the relative reef width

wave reflection when  $h_c/d_s$  and relative depth  $d_s/L_p$  are used. Regression analysis was used to develop the curves for  $K_t$  and  $K_r$  shown in Figure 29. The equations used to compute the curves in Figure 26 explain about 82 percent and 98 percent of the variance in  $K_t$  and  $K_r$ , respectively. Appendix B gives the equations used in Figure 29 and other information related to the regression analysis. The curves shown in Figure 29 fit the general trends of the data quite well. However, the real value of Figure 29 is that it is a compilation of information about wave transmission, wave reflection, and wave energy dissipation of reef breakwaters. Figure 29 is an improvement over Figure 8 in Ahrens (1984) because Figure 29 is based on an analytic model; whereas Figure 8 is based on subjective curve fitting to the observed data.



ire 29. Distribution of wave energy in the vicin: of a reef breakwater

42. This report summarizes the results from 205 laboratory tests of reef breakwaters conducted using irregular waves. Findings from this study can be categorized as follows: (a) the stability of the structure to wave attack, (b) wave transmission over and through the structure, (c) wave reflection from the structure, and (d) energy dissipation by the structure. These findings are largely summarized through the use of equations fit to the data which can be used to predict various breakwater characteristics with surprisingly high accuracy.

- 43. The important conclusions from this study are:
  - a. A stability number was defined by Equation 2 and named the spectral stability number which was found to be the single most important variable influencing the stability of reef breakwaters.
  - b. There is very little stone movement or damage for spectral stability numbers less than six, but stone movement and damage can be clearly seen for values greater than eight.
  - <u>c</u>. For values of the spectral stability number above six, the influence of other variables on stability can be identified. Other factors being equal, the stability of the reef increases the lower the relative crest height  $h_c/d_s$ ; as its size defined by Equation 3 increases; and as the slope of the struc-

ture, as defined either by Equation 4 or 5, gets flatter.

- d. Wave transmission over and through a reef is a very complex process. Part of the complexity relates to the confusing influence of some variables; e.g., for breakwaters with positive freeboards transmission over the reef is directly proportional to wave height, while energy transmitting through the reef is inversely proportional to the wave height. For conditions where transmission is dominated by wave energy propagating through the reef, a simple relation, Equation 12, was found to predict the transmission resulted from wave overtopping or wave propagation over the crest of a submerged reef, a rather complex relation, Equation 13, was required to make reasonable estimates of transmission coefficients.
- e. Wave reflection is easier to predict than either stability or wave transmission. A simple relation using only one variable, Equation 14, was able to explain about 80 percent of the variance in the reflection coefficients. A more complex relation, Equation 16, was developed which explained about 99 percent of the variance in the reflection coefficient. Other factors being equal, reflection coefficients increase with increasing wave length and increasingly steeper reef slopes. Reflection

coefficients also increase with increasing relative reef height  $h_c/d_s$  and increasing relative freeboard F/H until the crest height reaches the upper limit of wave runup.

f. Wave energy dissipation characteristics of a reef are difficult to summarize briefly because of the complexity of the phenomenon. One surprising finding was that for short-period waves  $d_{s}/L_{p} > 0.12$  which do not overtop the crest the reef will dissipate 80 to 90 percent of incident wave energy. For reefs with the lowest relative crest height tested  $0.63 < h_{c}/d_{c}$ 

< 0.70 , the structure would dissipate about 30 percent of incident wave energy. Reefs with their crests near the still-water level will dissipate between 30 to 70 percent of incident wave energy depending on the relative reef width  $A_t/d_{s,p}$ .

The model developed in this study was found to make good estimates of energy dissipation.

#### REFERENCES

Ahrens, J. P. 1984 (Sep). "Reef Type Breakwaters," <u>Proceedings of the 19th</u> Coastal Engineering Conference, Houston, Tex., pp 2648-2662.

Allsop, N. W. H. 1983 (Mar). "Low-Crest Breakwater, Studies in Random Waves," Proceedings of Coastal Structures 83, Arlington, Va., pp 94-107.

Bremner, W. D., Foster, N., Miller, C. W., and Wallace, B. C. 1980. "The Design Concept of Dual Breakwaters and its Application to Townsville, Australia," <u>Proceedings of the 17th Coastal Engineering Conference</u>, Sydney, Australia, Vol 2, pp 1898-1908.

Goda, T., and Suzuki, Y. 1976. "Estimation of Incident and Reflected Waves in Random Wave Experiments," <u>Proceedings of the 15th Coastal Engineering Con-</u> ference, Honolulu, Hawaii, pp 828-845.

Gravesen, H., Jensen, O. J., and Sorensen, T. 1980. "Stability of Rubble Mound Breakwaters II," Danish Hydraulic Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Hudson, R. Y., and Davidson, D. D. 1975. "Reliability of Rubble-Mound Breakwater Stability Models," <u>Proceedings of the ASCE Symposium on Modeling Tech-</u> niques, San Francisco, Calif., pp 1603-1622.

Lillevang, O. J. 1977 (Mar). "A Breakwater Subject to Heavy Overtopping: Concept, Design, Construction, and Experience," <u>Proceedings of ASCE Specialty</u> Conference, Ports '77, Long Beach, Calif., pp 1-33.

Lording, P. T. and Scott, J. R. 1971 (May). "Armor Stability of Overtopped Breakwater," <u>Journal of Waterways, Harbors and Coastal Engineering</u>, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol WW2, Paper 8138, pp 341-354.

Raichlen, F. 1972 (May). "Armor Stability of Overtopped Breakwater," <u>Journal</u> of Waterways, Harbors, and Coastal Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol WW2, Discussion of paper 8138, pp 273-279.

Seelig, W. N. 1979 (Mar). "Effect of Breakwaters on Waves: Laboratory Tests of Wave Transmission by Overtopping," <u>Proceedings of Coastal Structures '79,</u> Alexandria, Va., Vol 2, pp 941-961.

Seelig, W. N. 1980 (Jun). "Two-Dimensional Tests of Wave Transmission and Reflection Characteristics of Laboratory Breakwaters," CERC Technical Report No. 80-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Vincent, C. L. 1981 (Nov). "A Method for Estimating Depth-Limited Wave Energy, <u>Coastal Engineering Technical Aid 81-6</u>, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Walker, J. R., Palmer, R. Q., and Dunham, J. W. 1975 (Jun). "Breakwater Back Slope Stability," <u>Proceedings of Civil Engineering in the Oceans/III,</u> Newark, Del., Vol 2, pp 879-898.

Wiegel, R. L. 1964. <u>Oceanographical Engineering</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J.

Wiegel, R. L. 1982 (Mar). "Breakwater Damage by Severe Storm Waves and Tsunami Waves," Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric Co., Berkeley, Calif.



Photo 1. Representative samples of the stone used in this study (As a scale, labels in figure are 12.2 by 2.3 cm.)

APPENDIX A: TABULAR SUMMARY OF STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE DATA

				Medi an	Density	Area	Water	AVE.	AVE.	AVE.			Structure	Damaged	Area
			File	Weight	0f	Of	Depth	INC.	INC.	Trans.		Cal.	Heicht	Structure	0f
Subset	Test	Test	And	W50	Stone	BW,At	d 5	Hac	To	Hec	AVE.	Hing	as Built	Height	Damage
NO.	ND.	Түре	6ain	gr.	Nt.	cm^2	сп.	cn	58C.	005	Kr	сΰ.	hc cs.	hc ca.	Ad cm^2
1	1	1	1.100	17	2,630	1170		11.010	1.450	6.450	0.242	10.250	74.900	21 920	49.520
1	2	i	1.080	17	2.630	1170	25	10.140	1.460	5.680	0.231	9 500	24 720	27,010	42 920
1	3	1	1.060	17	2,630	1170	25	8,000	1.430	4 460	0 387	7 540	74 110	23.500	17 740
1	4		1.040	17	2,630	1170	25	5.730	1.450	3 270	0.210	5 400	25 700	24.440	10 500
1	-		1 070	17	2 630	1170	25	2 970	1 440	1 400	0.204	2 740	24 210	27.770	4 070
	, k	î	2 100	17	2 630	1170	20	13 430	2 230	0.000	0.370	11 420	24.200	20.700	77 200
1	7	1	2 080	17	2.630	1170	25	11 500	2.200	7 210	0.217	10 750	24.410	20.700	75.000
	, 0		2.000	17	2 4 7 0	1170	25	0 676	2.250	5 800	0.770	0.000	29.090	21,400	74.750
	0	1	2.000	17	2.030	1170	25	4 000	2.230	7 4(0	0.377	6.000	23.400	23.170	34./30
1	10	1	2.040	17	7.030	1170	20	2 010	2.270	1.500	0.401	0.070	23.090	24.600	15.390
4	11	1	2.020	17	2.030	1170	25	17 170	2.200	7.000	0.413	2.710	24.990	24.340	8.640
1	11	1	7 100	17	2.000	1170	20	15,130	2.200	7.070	0.327	11.340	23.050	19.990	91.790
1	10	1	7 000	17	2.000	1170	2J 115	14 750	7.000	7.300	0.000	11,770	23.750	10.980	213.030
1	19	1	3,000	17	2.000	1170	20	14.330	3.000	5.300	0.270	11.080	24.780	17.390	168.530
1	10		3.000	17	2.030	1170	20	11.350	2.780	7.200	0.299	10.260	24.440	19.840	100.610
1	10	1	3.040	17	2.000	1170	20	7.810	2.750	5.040	0.337	7.510	25.270	22.560	39.580
1	1/	1	3.020	17	2.000	1170	25	3.890	2.750	2.360	0.425	3.830	24.660	24.440	2.040
ż,	18	1	5.100	17	2.600	1170	25	15.720	2.950	9.170	0.303	11.960	24.690	17.100	70.010
1	20	1	4.020	17	2.630	11/0	25	3.460	3.530	3.400	0.461	5.310	24.140	23.800	5.950
1	21	i	4.040	1/	2.600	1170	25	10.070	3.520	5.840	0.354	9.220	24.780	18.8/0	111.860
1	22	1	4.050	1/	2.630	1170	25	14.250	3.600	8.850	0.322	11.690	25.120	16.490	181.720
1	25	1	4,070	1/	2.650	1170	25	16.100	3.640	9.4/0	0.351	12.330	24.840	15.880	212.380
1	25	1	1.100	17	2.630	1170	25	11.450	1.450	6.330	0.240	10.620	24.230	21.920	35.770
1	26	1	1.080	17	2.630	1170	25	10.080	1.460	5.730	0.229	9.45	24.570	21.880	40.040
1	27	1	2.060	17	2.630	1170	25	8.830	2.240	5.650	0,493	8.670	25.090	21.820	43.290
1	28	1	3.060	17	2.630	1170	25	11.550	2.800	7.540	0.312	10.370	24.990	19.290	102.660
1	29	1	4.040	17	2.630	1170	25	10.380	3.590	7.130	0.338	9.44(	24.810	18.260	131.180
1	30	1	4.060	- 17	2.630	1170	25	14.980	3.630	9.080	0.330	11.98	24.810	16.700	204.110
3	31	1	1.100	17	2.630	1560	25	11.360	1.470	5,180	0.234	10,540	29.170	24.720	119.380
3	32	1	1.080	17	2.630	1560	25	9.460	1.450	4.040	0.237	8.90	30.480	26.430	105.720
3	33	1	1.060	17	2.630	1560	25	7.820	1.440	2.420	0.299	7.43	29.600	28.040	41.870
3	34	i	1.040	17	2.630	1560	25	5.500	1.440	1.280	0.319	5.27	0 29.630	29.3B0	5.650
3	35	1	1.020	) 17	2.630	1560	25	2.820	1.440	0.720	0.33B	2.17	29.630	29.500	7.340
3	36	1	3.100	) 17	2.630	1560	25	15.630	2.980	8.220	0.303	11.95	0 29.810	19.260	299.890
3	37	1	3.080	17	2.630	1560	25	13.760	3.000	7.980	0,288	11.48	29.170	19.780	303.420
3	38	1	3.060	) 17	7 2.630	1560	25	10.980	2.810	6.180	0.319	10.00	0 29.440	22.340	155,890
3	39	1	3.040	) 17	2.630	1560	25	7.490	2,820	3.760	0.430	7.22	0 29.260	25.880	67.730
3	40	1	3.020	) 17	7 2.630	1560	25	3.680	2.790	1.240	0.584	3.62	0 29.84	28.530	23.230
3	41	1	2.100	) 17	7 2.630	1560	25	13.380	2.230	7.180	0.311	11.61	0 29.29	21.730	175.400
3	42	1	2.080	) 1	7 2.630	1560	25	11.170	2.270	6.100	0.331	10.54	0 29.38	24.020	122.260
3	43	1	2.060	) 17	7 2.630	1560	25	8.350	2,250	4.140	0,411	8.23	0 29.81	26.000	77.760
3	44	1	2.040	1	7 2.630	1560	25	5.720	2,290	2.070	0.510	5.71	0 29.29	27.980	34.000
3	45	1	2.020	) 1	7 2.630	1560	25	2.890	2,280	0.860	0.532	2.89	0 29.44	29.290	2.690
	46		4.020	0 1	7 2.630	1560	25	5,510	3.560	2,160	0.597	5.35	0 29.63	0 28.070	29.640
7	47		4,040	0 1	7 2,630	1560	25	10.610	3,580	7,030	0.339	9.61	0 29,50	20,850	244.610
7	49		4.04	0 1	7 2.630	1560	25	10.170	3.520	6.300	0.344	9.29	0 29.69	21.400	208.010
3	49		4.06	0 1	7 2.630	1560	25	14.610	3.570	8.330	0.328	11.84	0 30.08	0 19,350	341.420
-	50		4.07	G 1	7 2.630	1560	25	15.820	3.600	9,140	0.332	12.75	0 29.38	0 17.370	345,880
-	51		4.01	0 1	7 2.630	1560	) 25	2.610	3.520	0.890	0.615	2,57	0 28.99	28,800	5, 700
	57		2,10	0 1	7 7.630	1560	) 25	12.230	2,250	7,200	0.320	11.57	0 29.69	0 22.070	177 540
	54		3.10	0 1	7 2.630	1560	25	15.590	2,900	8,290	0.305	11.95	0 28.74	0 19.450	258 270
	54		1 4 07	0 1	7 2.630	1560	) 25	15 840	7 520	D B B00	0.317	17 76	0 29.23	0 18 010	270 040

Note: Area of BW = cross-sectional area of breakwater; Inc.  $H_{mo}$  = incident  $H_{mo}$ ; Inc.  $T_p$  = incident  $T_p$ ; Trans.  $H_{mo}$  = transmitted  $H_{mo}$ ; Cal.  $H_{mo}$  = calibrated  $H_{mo}$ .

File         Hetgh         OF         H         Depth         IAC.         IRC.         Trans         Call         Height Structure         H           30.         ML         Type         Eain         Gr         Structure         IF         Keo         Structure         IF         Keo					Median	Density	Area	Water	AVE.	AVE.	AVE.			Structure	e Damaged	Area
Subset         Text         <				File	Neight	Df	Df	Depth	INC.	INC.	Trans.		Cal.	Height	Structur	e Of
NU         NU         NU         NP         BAID         Pr.         NL         CP <sup>2</sup> C4.         C4         C4 <thc4< th=""> <thc4< th=""> <thc4< th=""> <th< th=""><th>Subset</th><th>Test</th><th>Test</th><th>And</th><th>W50</th><th>Stone</th><th>8W,At</th><th>ds</th><th>Hao</th><th>Tp</th><th>Heo</th><th>AVE.</th><th>Hao</th><th>as Built</th><th>Height</th><th>Danage</th></th<></thc4<></thc4<></thc4<>	Subset	Test	Test	And	W50	Stone	8W,At	ds	Hao	Tp	Heo	AVE.	Hao	as Built	Height	Danage
3         67         1         1.000         17         2.53         1560         25         10.00         1.600         1.7         2.500         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.510         2.500         2.500         2.500         2.500         2.500         2.500         2.500         2.500         1.600         1.7         2.500         2.100         2.50         1.600         1.510         0.500         2.500         1.600         1.510         0.500         2.500         1.600         1.7         2.500         2.100         2.500         1.600         1.700         1.700         1.700         2.600         2.700         2.500         2.600         2.700         3.500         5.700         3.500         5.	ND.	WE.	lype	Gain	gr.	Nt.	ca^2	ca.	C B	sec.	C 08	Kr	CO.	hc ca.	hc ca.	Ad ca^2
3       68       1       1.00       17       2.5.30       15.60       25       11.60       8.430       2.00       0.7.17       9.7.80       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       9.7.20       25.710       1.600       77       1.100       17       2.450       2190       25       7.900       1.60       0.780       7.810       35.690       31.890       35.690       31.890       35.690       31.890       35.690       31.890       35.690       31.690 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>67</td><td>1</td><td>1.080</td><td>17</td><td>2.630</td><td>1560</td><td>25</td><td>10.420</td><td>1.430</td><td>4.610</td><td>0.242</td><td>9.740</td><td>29.140</td><td>24.110</td><td>119.660</td></t<>	3	67	1	1.080	17	2.630	1560	25	10.420	1.430	4.610	0.242	9.740	29.140	24.110	119.660
3         649         1         2.600         17         2.530         1560         25         8.400         2.200         4.530         71         1         4.010         17         2.540         1560         25         2.500         0.570         0.500 </td <td>3</td> <td>86</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.100</td> <td>17</td> <td>2.630</td> <td>1560</td> <td>25</td> <td>11.060</td> <td>1.460</td> <td>4.950</td> <td>0.248</td> <td>10.290</td> <td>29.020</td> <td>25.910</td> <td>92.530</td>	3	86	1	1.100	17	2.630	1560	25	11.060	1.460	4.950	0.248	10.290	29.020	25.910	92.530
3       70       1       1.060       17       2.630       1540       25       1.080       2.710       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       2.700       3.700	3	69	1	2.060	17	2.630	1560	25	8.430	2.250	4.110	0.410	8.310	29.440	26.610	66.430
3       71       1       4.010       17       2.630       25       2.590       3.590       0.420       2.040       2.79       0.120       3.450       2.190       23       0.280       1.460       2.50       2.840       28.400       29.400       13.450       1.190       1.70       14.50       1.460       0.279       1.010       3.450       3.180       3.2190       13.50       3.180       3.180       3.2190       13.50       3.180	3	70	1	3.060	17	2.630	1560	25	10.890	2.910	6.200	0.317	9.940	29.230	22.010	66.390
5       72       1       1.000       17       2.630       2190       25       1.680       1.460       1.220       0.272       0.810       3.1200       27.440       21.440       113.160         5       74       1       1.070       17       2.630       2190       25       7.500       1.460       0.297       0.280       3.200       3.500	3	71	1	4.010	17	2.630	1560	25	2.590	3.590	0.920	0.600	2.550	28.860	28,100	15.140
5       73       1       1.000       17       2.630       2190       25       7.910       1.460       0.278       7.810       3.160       3.2190       25       7.910       1.460       0.278       7.810       3.160       3.2190       25       7.810       1.460       0.278       7.810       3.160	5	72	1	1.100	17	2.630	2190	25	10.860	1.460	2,520	0.279	10.120	34.870	29.440	219.440
5       74       1       1.000       17       2.630       219       25       7.500       1.640       0.790       7.500       3.500       3.790       3.500       3.790       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.500       3.750       3.770       3.750       <	5	73	1	1.080	17	2.630	2190	25	9.380	1.450	1.680	0.272	8.830	34.380	32.190	113.160
5       75       1       1.060       17       2.630       219       25       3.460       0.710       3.450       3.450       3.520       2.380       0.235       5.200       0.235       5.200       0.235       5.200       0.235       5.200       0.235       5.200       0.235       5.200       3.450       3.520       3.545       3.560       3.550       3.520       3.545       3.560       3.520       3.545       3.560       3.520       3.546       3.560       3.570       3.540       3.560       3.500       3.520       3.540       3.400       4.200       3.414       4.400       <	5	74	1	1.070	17	2.630	2190	25	7.910	1.420	1.150	0.298	7.510	35.050	33.890	138.700
5         76         1         1.040         17         2.630         219         22         5.740         1.140         0.720         0.282         1.220         0.122         1.220         1.470         1.470         1.470         1.470         1.440         1.550         0.122         1.220         1.470         1.410         0.557         1.410         1.560         0.285         2.560         1.410         1.570         0.354         2.450         3.570         3.560         3.500         3.560         3.600         3.550         3.600         3.570         3.580         3.600         3.517         6.5.101         3.570         3.580         2.600         3.550         2.600         3.550         2.600         3.550         2.600         3.500         3.570	5	75	1	1.060	17	2.630	2190	25	7.520	1.460	0.990	0.289	7.150	34.780	34.560	83.430
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	76	1	1.040	17	2.630	2190	25	5.460	1.410	0.720	0.285	5.230	34.560	34.550	23.880
5       78       1       1.070       17       2.450       219       25       2.76       1.440       0.570       0.534       2.450       55.70       55.70       55.70       55.70       55.70       55.70       55.70       56.70       55.70       56.70       55.70 <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>77</td><td>1</td><td>4.070</td><td>17</td><td>2.630</td><td>2190</td><td>25</td><td>15.720</td><td>3.580</td><td>7.690</td><td>0.322</td><td>12.220</td><td>34.780</td><td>20.120</td><td>644.190</td></t<>	5	77	1	4.070	17	2.630	2190	25	15.720	3.580	7.690	0.322	12.220	34.780	20.120	644.190
5       79       1       1.020       17       2.450       2190       25       2.750       1.140       0.570       0.570       0.531       2.160       35.260       35.200       35.400       35.900       25.600       35.200       35.900       25.600       35.200       35.900       25.600       35.900       35.200       35.900       35.200       35.900       35.900       35.200       35.900       35.200       35.900       35.200       35.900       3	5	78	1	1.070	17	2.630	2190	25	8.820	1.410	1.560	0.285	8.330	35.450	33.560	163.970
5       80       1       2.100       17       2.450       219       22       7.70       0.335       11.480       2.6.60       24.200       337.260         5       81       1       2.460       17       2.450       2190       225       9.640       2.300       3.460       0.335       10.305       55.000       26.600       35.700       2.500       3.460       0.484       9.709       53.200       3.500       55.916       6.600       55.916       6.600       55.916       4.600       55.916       4.600       55.916       4.600       55.916       4.600       55.90       3.1410       3.4400       4.909       2.610       55.917       55.910       55.910       2.510       25.910       2.510       2.500       2.500       2.750       2.860       6.320       0.311       11.900       55.902       2.760       4.970       3.510       5.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       2.500       3.500       5.90       5.90       2.500       3.550       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.90       5.9	5	79	1	1.020	17	2.630	2190	25	2.750	1.440	0.570	0.354	2.650	35.270	35.260	3.900
5       81       1       2.080       17       2.630       2190       25       10.890       2.280       4.320       0.335       10.340       35.170       26.50       28.680       255.700         5       83       1       2.040       17       2.630       2190       25       6.790       2.300       1.440       0.335       10.30       35.170       26.260       255.700         5       84       1       2.000       17       2.630       2190       25       15.340       3.000       0.720       0.513       4.020       34.400       4.400       4.400       4.410       34.400       4.400       5.90       1.100       3.100       17       2.630       2190       25       1.140       2.400       2.400       0.332       1.040       35.270       2.400       2.700       3.710       3.51.70       2.400       2.700       3.710       3.51.70       2.400       2.700       3.700       3.720       3.51.70       2.400       2.700       3.700       3.710       3.51.70       2.400       2.700       3.700       3.710       3.51.70       2.400       2.700       3.700       3.710       3.51.70       2.400       3.700       3.700       <	5	80	1	2.100	17	2.630	2190	25	12.960	2,270	5.770	0.303	11.480	36.060	24.200	393.260
5       82       1       2.640       17       2.630       2190       25       9.640       2.300       3.440       0.384       9.370       33.650       28.660       233.590         5       84       1       2.040       17       2.630       2190       25       4.030       2.300       0.840       0.538       4.000       34.410       34.400       4.690         5       85       1       3.100       17       2.630       2190       25       15.340       3.000       7.230       0.512       11.910       34.910       22.160       25.81.370         5       86       1       3.060       17       2.630       2190       25       11.60       2.640       0.332       11.910       33.140       22.160       23.141         5       89       1       3.060       17       2.630       2190       25       3.80       2.800       0.407       7.310       35.140       27.700       84.11.70       35.140       27.700       84.970       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560       35.560	5	81	1	2,080	17	2.630	2190	25	10.890	2.280	4.320	0.335	10.340	35.170	26.610	345.970
5       83       1       2.040       17       2.630       2190       25       6.790       2.300       1.440       0.499       6.790       31.270       31.290       13.400       4.490         5       85       1       3.100       17       2.630       2190       25       15.340       3.000       7.230       0.312       11.910       35.910       22.160       51.540         5       86       1       3.000       17       2.630       2190       25       11.750       2.640       6.290       0.311       11.900       35.910       22.160       51.910       27.100       28.11       11.000       35.200       2.2160       51.910       27.100       28.11       11.000       35.200       2.910       25       11.60       2.600       0.477       7.310       51.40       27.90       35.560       55.95       91       14.400       17       2.630       2190       25       17.80       2.500       0.581       3.720       35.560       35.55       95       91       4.040       17       2.630       2190       25       13.30       3.500       2.235       0.581       3.720       35.400       35.700       35.56       5.000	5	82	1	2.060	17	2,630	2190	25	9.640	2.300	3.460	0.384	9.370	35.050	28.680	235.700
5       84       1       2.020       17       2.630       2190       25       1340       3.000       7.20       0.312       11.910       33.410       34.400       4.400       4.400         5       86       1       3.080       17       2.630       2190       25       14.080       2.960       6.900       0.311       11.900       35.910       22.160       514.830         5       867       1       3.0401       17       2.630       2190       25       14.080       2.680       6.320       0.311       11.400       35.910       22.160       53.873         5       89       1       3.0400       17       2.630       2190       25       17.80       2.900       0.930       0.581       1.720       35.140       27.70       85.140       35.100 <td>5</td> <td>83</td> <td>1</td> <td>2.040</td> <td>17</td> <td>2.630</td> <td>2190</td> <td>25</td> <td>6.790</td> <td>2.300</td> <td>1.440</td> <td>0.489</td> <td>6.790</td> <td>35.270</td> <td>33.590</td> <td>116.690</td>	5	83	1	2.040	17	2.630	2190	25	6.790	2.300	1.440	0.489	6.790	35.270	33.590	116.690
5       85       1       5.100       17       2.630       2190       25       15.340       3.000       7.20       0.312       11.190       34.990       21.610       518.370         5       87       1       3.070       17       2.630       2190       25       12.750       2.860       6.320       0.314       11.640       35.540       23.040       429.580         5       88       1       3.060       17       2.630       2190       25       17.580       2.860       6.320       0.314       11.040       35.540       35.140       27.20       37.140       27.20       37.810       29.700       0.581       37.20       35.80       35.510       57.510       2.580       3.570       5.780       0.383       7.260       31.400       37.07       21.030       55.190       55.190       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.800       5.810       5.800       5.810       5.800       5.810       5.800       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810       5.810	5	84	1	2.020	17	2.630	2190	25	4.030	2.300	0,840	0.538	4.030	34.410	34.400	4.090
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	85	1	3.100	17	2.630	2190	25	15.340	3.000	7.230	0.312	11.910	34.990	21.610	514.590
5       87       1       3.070       17       2.630       2190       25       12.750       2.860       6.320       0.314       11.040       35.230       24.870       32.416       49.7580         5       89       1       3.040       17       2.630       2190       25       7.580       2.680       0.352       10.400       35.140       27.720       184.970         5       90       1       3.020       17       2.630       2190       25       7.580       2.680       0.404       7.710       15.170       21.00       25.550       6.6890       0.532       7.540       35.170       7.560       25.170       7.560       25.170       7.550       0.534       17.100       55.170       7.560       2.680       5.370       0.544       1.740       7.570       5.560       5.190       34.810       2.700       25.130       3.566       5.970       7.500       0.548       2.540       3.540       8.360       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540       5.540	5	86	1	3.080	17	2.630	2190	25	14.080	2.960	6.900	0.311	11.590	35.910	22.160	538.370
5       88       1       3.060       17       2.630       2190       25       11.160       2.680       0.352       10.400       55.140       29.720       184.790         5       90       1       3.020       17       2.630       2190       25       7.580       2.680       0.477       7.310       35.140       29.720       184.790         5       91       1       4.060       17       2.630       2190       25       1.740       3.570       5.580       0.324       1.710       35.700       2.515       0.335       9.450       34.810       27.010       251.500       3570       5.590       0.344       1.010       2.500       3.570       5.580       0.354       1.710       35.570       35.500       35.500       35.500       35.500       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.570       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700       35.700 </td <td>5</td> <td>87</td> <td>1</td> <td>3.070</td> <td>17</td> <td>2.630</td> <td>2190</td> <td>25</td> <td>12.750</td> <td>2.860</td> <td>6.320</td> <td>0.314</td> <td>11.040</td> <td>35.540</td> <td>23.040</td> <td>429.580</td>	5	87	1	3.070	17	2.630	2190	25	12.750	2.860	6.320	0.314	11.040	35.540	23.040	429.580
5       89       1       3.040       17       2.630       2190       25       3.780       2.780       0.480       0.477       7.310       35.140       29.720       184.790         5       91       1       4.060       17       2.630       2190       25       3.780       2.780       0.4890       0.584       11.710       35.170       5.356       6.590         5       92       1       4.060       17       2.630       2190       25       5.330       3.560       5.350       0.524       5.170       3.560       5.53       9.260       34.590       23.560       5.90         5       93       1       4.010       17       2.630       2190       25       5.330       3.560       0.524       5.190       35.60       5.202         5       95       1       1.050       17       2.630       2190       25       7.900       1.330       1.980       0.290       9.370       35.140       35.30       5.66       5.200         5       97       1       1.080       17       2.630       2190       25       1.480       0.490       0.597       5.141       3.460       27.70       3.540	5	88	1	3.060	17	2.630	2190	25	11.160	2.840	2.680	0.352	10.400	35.230	24.870	324.140
5       90       1       3.020       17       2.630       2190       25       3.780       0.990       0.581       3.720       35.360 <td< td=""><td>5</td><td>89</td><td>1</td><td>3.040</td><td>17</td><td>2.630</td><td>2190</td><td>25</td><td>7.580</td><td>2.850</td><td>2.680</td><td>0.477</td><td>7.310</td><td>35.140</td><td>29.720</td><td>184.970</td></td<>	5	89	1	3.040	17	2.630	2190	25	7.580	2.850	2.680	0.477	7.310	35.140	29.720	184.970
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	90	1	3.020	17	2.630	2190	25	3.780	2.780	0.990	0.581	3.720	35.360	35.350	6.970
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	91	1	4.060	17	2.630	2190	25	14.290	3.560	6.890	0.364	11.710	35.170	21.030	555.190
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	92	1	4.040	17	2.630	2190	25	10.130	3.570	5.350	0.383	9.260	34.590	23.560	389.080
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	93	1	4.020	17	2.630	2190	25	5.330	3.560	2.320	0.524	5.190	34.810	27.010	231.330
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	94	1	4.010	17	2.630	2190	25	2.580	3.570	0.760	0.648	2.540	35.870	35.860	5.020
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	95	1	1.030	17	2.630	2190	25	4.280	1.440	0.650	0.341	4.110	35.570	35.420	8.360
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	96	1	1.050	17	2.630	2190	25	7.020	1.440	0.900	0.288	6.690	35.540	35.330	56.860
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	97	1	1.080	17	2.630	2190	25	9.990	1.330	1.980	0.290	9.370	35.170	31.760	187.200
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	98	1	1.100	17	2.630	2190	25	11.350	1.450	3.060	0.297	10.540	34.960	29.540	231.050
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	99	1	2.030	17	2.630	2190	25	5.480	2.290	1.030	0.509	5.470	35.480	34.440	51.560
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	100	1	2.060	17	2.630	2190	25	8.220	2.290	2.400	0.450	8.110	34.630	30.270	170.380
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	101	1	2.080	17	2.630	2190	25	11.030	2.280	4.410	0.363	10.440	35.300	27,340	293.850
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	102	1	2.050	17	2.630	2190	25	6.910	2.290	1.340	0.490	6.870	35.910	31.330	131.360
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	103	1	2.100	17	2.630	2190	25	13.020	2.280	6.070	0.318	11.500	35.080	24.050	329.250
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	104	1	3.010	17	2.630	2190	25	1.810	2.780	0.620	0.590	1.790	35,810	35.690	5.670
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	105	1	3.030	17	2.630	2190	25	5.680	2.810	1.590	0.521	5.550	35.750	31.760	146.600
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	106	1	3.050	17	2.630	2190	25	9.310	2.850	4.110	0.413	8.770	36.090	27.160	271.370
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	107	1	3.080	17	2.630	2190	25	13.870	2,860	6.500	0.357	11.520	35,630	22.190	502.700
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	108	1	3.100	17	2.630	2190	25	15.610	2.910	7.340	0.335	11.950	34.930	21.280	531.870
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	109	1	4.010	17	2.630	2190	25	2.560	3.560	0.780	0.624	2,520	35,910	35,900	2.690
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	110	1	4.030	17	2.630	2190	25	8.060	3.560	3,170	0.526	7.630	35.540	26.970	247.400
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	111	1	4,060	17	2.630	2190	25	14.460	3.540	7.330	0.335	11,780	35.360	20,360	588.170
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	112	1	4.070	17	2.630	2190	25	15.990	3.580	7.880	0.327	12.300	36,030	19.780	656.820
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	124	1	1.100	71	2.830	1900	25	11.440	1.450	3.910	0.354	10.610	31.460	31.210	42.460
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	125	1	1.080	71	2.830	1900	25	10.020	1.450	2.940	0.335	9.400	31.700	31.550	26.570
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	126	1	1.060	71	2.930	1900	25	8.030	1.440	2.000	0.352	7.620	31.360	31,350	20.070
7         128         1         1.020         71         2.830         1900         25         2.600         1.430         0.820         0.430         2.500         31.670         31.660         3.530           7         129         1         2.100         71         2.830         1900         25         13.030         2.226         4.950         0.430         2.500         31.670         31.660         37.440           7         130         1         2.080         71         2.830         1900         25         11.110         2.300         4.060         0.431         15.00         31.670         31.660         37.440           7         131         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         8.630         2.280         2.980         0.508         8.490         31.910         18.550           7         131         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         5.580         2.280         2.980         0.508         8.490         31.910         31.670         34.900           7         132         1         2.040         71         2.830         1900         25         5.280	7	127	1	1.040	71	2.830	1900	25	5,600	1.430	1.330	0.378	5.360	31,700	31.610	1.770
7         129         1         2.100         71         2.830         1900         25         13.030         2.226         4.950         0.455         11.500         31.670         31.640         37.440           7         130         1         2.080         71         2.830         1900         25         11.10         2.300         4.060         0.455         11.500         31.670         31.640         37.440           7         130         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         11.110         2.300         4.060         0.471         10.500         32.340         31.970         18.950           7         131         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         8.630         2.280         0.508         8.490         31.910         31.670         34.900           7         132         1         2.0404         71         2.830         1900         25         5.580         2.280         1.720         0.537         5.570         32.060         31.670         34.600           7         133         1         2.020         71         2.830         1900         25         5.280 <td>7</td> <td>128</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.020</td> <td>71</td> <td>2.830</td> <td>1900</td> <td>25</td> <td>2.600</td> <td>1,430</td> <td>0.820</td> <td>0.430</td> <td>2,500</td> <td>31.670</td> <td>31.660</td> <td>3.530</td>	7	128	1	1.020	71	2.830	1900	25	2.600	1,430	0.820	0.430	2,500	31.670	31.660	3.530
7         130         1         2.080         71         2.830         1900         25         11.110         2.300         4.060         0.471         10.500         32.340         31.970         18.950           7         131         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         8.630         2.280         2.580         0.508         8.490         31.910         31.670         24.900           7         132         1         2.040         71         2.830         1900         25         5.580         2.280         1.508         8.490         31.910         31.670         34.900           7         132         1         2.040         71         2.830         1900         25         5.580         2.280         1.720         0.537         5.570         32.060         31.730         9.660           7         133         1         2.020         71         2.830         1900         25         2.720         2.260         1.600         0.570         2.720         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.670         31.550         1.370         9.660 <td>7</td> <td>129</td> <td>1</td> <td>2.100</td> <td>71</td> <td>2.830</td> <td>1900</td> <td>25</td> <td>13.030</td> <td>2.226</td> <td>4.950</td> <td>0,455</td> <td>11.500</td> <td>31.670</td> <td>31.660</td> <td>37.440</td>	7	129	1	2.100	71	2.830	1900	25	13.030	2.226	4.950	0,455	11.500	31.670	31.660	37.440
7         131         1         2.060         71         2.830         1900         25         8.630         2.280         2.980         0.508         8.490         31.910         31.670         24.900           7         132         1         2.040         71         2.830         1900         25         5.580         2.280         1.720         0.537         5.570         32.060         31,730         9.660           7         133         1         2.020         71         2.830         1900         25         2.720         2.260         1.000         0.570         2.720         31.670         31.550         1.390	7	130	1	2.080	71	2.830	1900	25	11.110	2,300	4.060	0.471	10,500	32,340	31,970	18.950
7 132 1 2.040 71 2.830 1900 25 5.580 2.280 1.720 0.537 5.570 32.060 31.730 9.660 7 133 1 2.020 71 2.830 1900 25 2.720 2.260 1.000 0.570 2.720 31.670 31.550 1.390	7	131	1	2.060	71	2.830	1900	25	8.630	2.280	2.980	0.508	8.490	31,910	31,670	24.900
7 133 1 2.020 71 2.830 1900 25 2.720 2.250 1.000 0.570 2.720 31.670 31.550 1.390	7	132	1	2.040	71	2.830	1900	25	5.580	2.280	1.720	0.537	5.570	32.060	31,730	9.660
	7	133	1	2.020	71	2.830	1900	25	2.720	2.250	1.000	0.570	2.720	31.670	31.550	1.390

				Hedi an	Density	Area	Water	AVE.	AVE.	AVE.			Structure	Danaged	Area
			File	Weight	Df	Qf	Depth	INC.	INC.	Trans.		Cal.	Height	Structure	e Of
Subset	Test	Test	And	W50	Stone	BW.At	ds	Hao	Tp	Keo	AVE.	Heo	as Built	Height	Danage
ND.	ND.	Туре	Sain	gr.	wt.	ca^2	C8.	CM	sec.	C08	Kr	ca.	hc cm.	hc co.	Ad ca^2
7	134	1	3.100	71	2.830	1900	25	15.660	3.040	6.770	0.426	11.960	31.640	29.720	93.740
7	135	1	3.080	71	2.830	1900	25	14.030	2.880	6.120	0.409	11.580	32.160	29.750	105.840
7	136	1	3,060	71	2.830	1900	25	11.170	2.790	4.890	0.449	10.130	32,520	30.540	43.240
7	137	1	3.040	71	2.830	1900	25	7.420	2.820	2.850	0.502	7.160	31.670	31.540	7.900
7	138	1	3.020	71	2.930	1900	25	3.550	2.780	1.350	0.556	3.500	31.300	31.120	2.970
7	139	1	4.070	71	2.830	1900	25	15.860	3.580	8.010	0.409	12.260	31.390	24.320	238.360
7	140	1	4.060	71	2.830	1900	25	14.230	3.520	6.420	0.466	11.620	32.250	29.140	100.610
7	141	1	4,040	71	2.830	1900	25	10.380	3.550	4.490	0.511	9.440	31.390	30.210	50.450
7	142	1	4.020	71	2.830	1900	25	5.100	3.570	1.830	0.596	4.970	32.220	31.210	18.210
7	143	1	4.010	71	2,830	1900	25	2.350	3.600	0.980	0.596	2.320	31.670	31.660	9.010
7	144	1	1.030	71	2.830	1900	25	3,980	1.420	1.070	0.382	3.820	31.970	31.640	1.110
7	145	1	1.050	71	2,830	1900	25	6.740	1.390	1.590	0.356	6.430	31.850	31.790	3.160
7	146	1	1.080	71	2.830	1900	25	9,980	1,450	2.970	0.380	9.360	31.820	31.810	9.290
2	147	i	1 100	71	2.830	1900	25	11,420	1.450	3,620	0.379	10.590	32.000	31.730	23.230
,	140	:	2 030	71	2 830	1900	25	4.070	2,290	1.310	0.554	4.070	31.820	31.640	4.460
7	140		2.030	71	2.030	1900	25	7 070	2 290	2 340	0.526	7.030	31,610	31,460	5.330
	147	1	2.030	71	2.030	1000	25	11 320	2 240	1 250	0 482	10.630	31,490	31.360	23.040
/	120	1	2.030	71	2.030	1700	20	17 110	2 230	5 100	0 441	11 530	31.610	30,510	40.880
/	151	1	2.100	/1	2.850	1700	23	1 / 50	2.230	0 000	0.507	1 430	31 700	31.690	0.930
/	152	1	5.010	/1	2.830	1900	20	1.010	2.700	2.020	0.575	5 540	71 820	71 070	20.250
7	153	1	3.030	11	2.850	1900	23	0.000	2.790	2.020	0.004	0 110	31 300	31 000	27.870
7	154	1	3,050	71	2.830	1900	25	9.750	2.800	4.230	0.401	7.110	71 240	20 130	56.300
7	155	1	3.0B0	71	2.830	1900	25	14.240	2.810	6.040	0.425	11.030	70 170	27.030	106.650
7	156	1	3.100	71	2.830	1900	25	15.420	2.880	6.960	0.418	11.930	32.130	28.390	1 300
7	157	1	4.010	71	2.830	1900	25	2.350	3.580	1.000	0.588	2.320	32.800	52.170	17 540
7	158	1	4.030	71	2.830	1900	25	7.810	3.600	2.910	0.564	7.410	32.740	32.460	14/ 400
7	159	1	4.060	71	2.830	1900	25	14.510	3.550	6.840	0.452	11.800	32.220	26.970	140.420
7	160	1	4.070	71	2.830	1900	25	16.040	3.580	7.680	0.430	12.310	31.940	25.880	142.420
7	161	1	4,060	71	2.830	1900	25	14.420	3.540	6.680	0.471	11.760	31.B60	28.250	,129.510
9	188	1	4,040	71	2,830	1900	30	10.540	3.560	6.700	0,422	9.870	32.000	29.810	47.660
9	189	1	1.040	71	2,830	1900	30	5.760	1.430	3.140	0.256	5.520	31.820	31.790	8,550
g	190	1	1,080	71	2.830	1900	30	10,940	1.500	6.030	0.301	10.320	31.550	31.540	7.620
9	191	1	1,100	71	2,830	1900	30	12.630	1,500	6.950	0.285	11.800	31.730	31.240	7.840
ģ	192	1	2 040	71	2,830	1900	30	5,800	2,200	3.210	0.443	5.790	31.580	31.520	1.390
, a	107		2.080	71	2 830	1900	30	12.020	2,190	7.130	0.388	11.620	31.670	31.060	17.280
, 0	104	1	2 100	71	2 830	1900	30	14.460	2.220	8.370	0.357	13.280	31,580	29.660	42.550
7	174	1	7 040	71	0.070	1000	30	0 200	2 990	5 090	0 436	7.960	32,000	31.760	7.250
7	173	1	3.040	71	2.030	1700	70	14 000	7 000	9 590	0 748	13 610	31.610	26.610	156.260
9	176	1	3.080	71	2.830	1900	30	10.070	7 040	10 370	0 344	14 250	32 040	25.510	191.290
9	197	1	3.100	/1	2.830	1900	30	18.1/0	3.000	10.000	0.044	5 110	32.000	77 040	3.160
9	198	1	4.020	1	2,930	1900	30	3.220	3.3/0	2.700	0.477	11 070	32.100	78 640	99.310
9	199	1	4.050	/1	2.930	1900	30	12.380	5.510	5.360	0.403	11.770	71 410	25 210	198.630
9	200	1	4.070	71	2.830	1900	50	17.600	5.280	10.4/0	0.362	14.230	J1.01V	10.001	1 770
2	12		2.040	17	2.630	1170	25	5.870	2.240	4.1/0	0.321	3.860	19.990	17.771	1 500
2	19	2	2.040	17	2.630	1170	25	5.870	2.230	4,610	0.271	5.860	17.300	10.860	0 450
2	24	2	2.040	17	2.630	1170	25	5.950	2.230	4.690	0.243	5.930	15.880	15.910	0.000
4	55	- 2	2.040	17	2.630	1560	25	5.510	2.260	3.930	0.215	5.500	19.450	19.390	2.420
4	57		2.020	17	2.630	1560	25	2.720	2.240	2.120	0.180	2.720	18.010	17.980	1.020
4	58		2.040	17	2.530	1560	25	5.450	2.220	4.210	0.210	5.440	17.980	17.830	0.740
4	59		2 2.060	) 17	2.630	1560	25	8.350	2.230	6.030	0,238	8.230	17.830	17.800	0.560
4	60		2 2.080	) 17	2.630	1560	25	11.180	2.230	7.330	0,261	10.540	17.800	17.860	-0.650
8	61		2 2.100	) 1	7 2,630	1560	25	13.270	2.230	8.040	0.272	11.580	17.860	18.010	0.190
6	62	, .	2 1.020		7 2.630	1560	25	3,170	1.440	2.120	0,125	3.05	18.010	17.89	) 1.490
,	62		2 1 0.80	1	7 2 470	1540	25	5.540	1,440	3,980	0,150	5.32	0 17.890	17.74	0.840
	6.		7 1 040	1	7 2 630	1540	10	7,990	1, \$40	5,220	0.178	7,58	0 17.740	17.68	0.190
	01		2 1.000	0 1	7 2.030	1540	20	9,920	1.440	6.110	0.213	9.31	0 17.680	17.56	0.460
	0.		2 1.08	0 1	7 2.030	1540	20	5 11 190	1.040	6.680	0.279	10.40	0 17.560	17.71	-1.110
	1 66	7	2 1.10	0 1	7 2.630	1050	11	5 7 0 40	1 1 170	2 010	0 151	2.73	0 19.780	19.81	0 -0.840
	b 11.	2	2 1.02	0 1	1 2.600	2190	4	J 2.040	1.400	2.010	A 191	2.15	* *****		

Subset ND.	Test ND.	Test Type	File And Gain	Hedian Neight NSO gr.	Density Df Stone wt.	Area Df BN,At cm^2	Water Depth ds cm.	AVE. INC. Hao Ca	AVE. INC. Tp sec.	AVE. Trans. Heo COB	AVE. Kr	Cal. Heo Co.	Structure Height as Built hc ca.	Banaged Structure Height hc cm.	Area Df Damage Ad cm^2
6	114	2	1.070	17	2.630	2190	25	9.040	1.430	4.870	0.214	8.530	19.810	19.600	4.370
6	115	2	1.040	17	2.630	2190	25	5.590	1.400	3,500	0,159	5.350	19.600	19.630	0.370
6	116	2	1.060	17	2.630	2190	25	8.120	1.440	4.510	0.201	7.700	19.630	19.540	2.140
6	117	2	1.080	17	2.630	2190	25	9.980	1.440	5.240	0.235	9.360	19.540	19.630	NA
6	118	2	1.100	17	2.630	2190	25	11.470	1.450	5.680	0.245	10.640	19.630	19.960	4.740
6	119	2	2.020	17	2.630	2190	25	2.490	2.220	1.840	0.185	2.490	19.960	19.780	0.370
6	120	2	2.040	17	2.630	2190	25	5.180	2.230	3.660	0.180	5.170	19.780	19.910	1.300
6	121	2	2.060	17	2.630	2190	25	7.960	2.220	4.990	0.205	7.870	19.810	19.811	1.490
6	122	2	2.080	17	2.630	2190	25	10.660	2.250	6.100	0.233	10,180	19.810	19.960	3.440
6	123	2	2.100	17	2.630	2190	25	12.880	2.230	6.690	0.260	11.450	19.960	19.750	3.160
8	162	2	1.010	71	2.830	1900	25	1.090	1.430	0.570	0.351	1.050	28.250	28.380	1.580
8	163	2	1.020	71	2.830	1900	25	2.430	1.430	0.950	0.284	2.340	28.380	28.190	0.560
8	164	2	1.030	71	2.930	1900	25	3,990	1.440	1.420	0.247	3.830	28.190	28.250	NA
8	165	2	1.040	71	2.830	1900	25	5.380	1.440	1.910	0.23B	5.150	28.250	28.220	0.560
8	166	2	1.050	71	2.830	1900	25	7.800	1.450	2.770	0.249	7.410	28.220	28.190	1.760
8	167	2	1.080	71	2.830	1900	25	9.780	1.460	3.740	0.272	9.190	28.190	28.350	1.110
8	168	2	1.100	71	2.830	1900	25	11.030	1.450	4.360	0.299	10.270	28.350	28.150	1.670
8	169	2	2.010	71	2.830	1900	25	1.160	2.280	0.700	0.483	1.160	28.160	28.161	1.760
8	170	2	2.020	71	2.830	1900	25	2.550	2.270	1.190	0.454	2.550	28.160	28.250	0.650
8	171	2	2.030	71	2.830	1900	25	3.940	2.260	1.760	0.437	3.940	28.250	28.190	0.460
9	172	2	2.040	71	2.930	1900	25	5.440	2.300	2.500	0.436	5.430	28.190	28.220	1.670
8	173	2	2.060	71	2.830	1900	25	8.730	2.260	3.980	0.408	8.580	28.220	28.221	1.110
8	174	2	2.080	71	2.830	1900	25	11.260	2.280	5.150	0.395	10.600	28.220	28.290	0.190
8	175	2	2.100	71	2.830	1900	25	13.310	2.240	6.320	0.391	11.590	28.290	27.650	6.040
8	176	2	3.010	71	2.830	1900	25	1.620	2,780	0.920	0.493	1.600	27.650	27.610	0.650
8	177	2	3.020	71	2.830	1900	25	3.550	2,800	1.630	0.463	3.500	27.610	27.580	-0.650
8	178	2	3.030	71	2.B30	1900	25	5.600	2.800	2.630	0.440	5.490	27.580	27.610	1.110
8	179	2	3,040	71	2.830	1900	25	7.590	2,830	3.810	0.400	7.310	27.610	27.650	3.160
8	180	2	3.060	71	2.830	1900	25	11.340	2.840	5.460	0.393	10.240	27.650	27.651	2.040
8	181	2	3.080	71	2.830	1900	25	14.160	2.800	6.680	0.391	11.620	27.650	28.010	0.370
9	182	2	3.100	71	2.830	1900	25	13.320	1.900	5.950	0.350	11.160	28,010	27.550	2.600
8	183	2	4.010	71	2.830	1900	25	2.250	3.580	1.130	0.515	2.220	27.550	28.010	0.370
8	184	2	4.020	71	2.830	1900	25	5.010	3.590	2.510	0.493	4.880	28.010	27.580	2,420
8	185	2	4.030	71	2.830	1900	25	7.500	3.560	3.940	0.474	7.150	27.580	27.680	1.110
8	186	2	4.040	71	2.830	1900	25	7.930	3.540	5.120	0.457	9.120	27.680	27.5B0	3.810
8	187	2	4.050	71	2.830	1900	25	12.260	3.540	6.040	0.445	10.680	27.580	27.490	2.600
10	201	2	2.020	71	2.830	1900	30	2.580	2.210	2,230	0.295	2.580	25.210	25.211	1.860
10	202	2	2,040	71	2.830	1900	30	5.570	2.220	4.310	0.290	5.560	25.210	25.180	2.230
10	203	2	2.060	71	2.830	1900	30	9.750	2.220	6.330	0.286	8.690	25.180	25.120	0.056
10	204	2	2.080	71	2.830	1900	30	12.250	2.220	8.000	0.299	11.800	25.120	24.960	2.970
10	205	2	2.100	71	2.830	1900	30	14.410	2.220	8.920	0.306	13.260	24.960	25.020	2.230

APPENDIX B: REGRESSION ANALYSIS USED TO DEVELOP FIGURE 29 SHOWING ENERGY DISTRIBUTION IN VICINITY OF REEF

 For the energy dissipation figure (Figure 29) the following equation was used to predict the wave transmission coefficient:

$$K_{t} = \frac{1.0}{1.0 + C_{1} \left(\frac{h_{c}}{d_{s}}\right)^{2} (B_{n})^{C_{3}}}$$

where

$$C_1 = 0.02945$$
  
 $C_2 = 3.329$   
 $C_1 = 0.585$   
 $R^2 = 0.859$   
 $F = 611$ 

2. The wave reflection curves shown in Figure 29 were calculated using the following equation:

$$K_{r} = \exp\left[C_{1}\left(\frac{h_{c}}{d_{s}}\right) + C_{2}\left(\frac{d_{s}}{h_{c}}\right) + C_{3}\left(\frac{d_{s}}{L_{p}}\right)\right]$$

where

$$C_{1} = 0.2899$$

$$C_{2} = -0.7628$$

$$C_{3} = -7.3122$$

$$R^{2} = 0.984$$

$$F = 4.175$$

.

# APPENDIX C: NOTATION

 $A_d$  Area of damage (cm<sup>2</sup>)

Cross-sectional area of breakwater (cm<sup>2</sup>) A<sub>t</sub> Bulk number, defined by Equation 3 Bn Response slope of reef to wave action, defined by Equation 5 С C1 Effective "as built" reef slope, defined by Equation 4 Dimensionless coefficient С, Water depth at toe of breakwater (cm) ď  $(W_{50}/w_{r})^{1/3}$ , typical dimension of the median stone (cm) d 50  $h_c - d_c$ , freeboard of structure which for reef can be either positive F or negative (cm) Crest height of breakwater after wave attack (cm) h h' Crest height of breakwater "as built" (cm) Zero-moment wave height at transmitted gage locations with no H breakwater in channel (cm) Zero-moment transmitted wave height (cm) H\_ Incident zero-moment wave height (cm) Hmo Reflection coefficient of breakwater as defined and calculated by Kŗ method of Goda and Suzuki (1976)  $H_t/H_c$  , wave transmission coefficient K<sub>t</sub> Airy wave length calculated using T and d (cm) L N Stability number, defined by Equation 1 N\* Spectral stability number, defined by Equation 2 Tp Wave period of peak energy density of spectrum (sec) Density of stone  $(g/cm^3)$ w<sub>r</sub> Density of water, tests conducted in fresh water,  $w_{r,r} = 1.0 (g/cm^3)$ w<sub>w</sub> Median stone weight (subscript indicates percent of total weight of W 50 gradation contributed by stones of lesser weight) (g)