## Coastal Geoarchaeology and Neocatastrophism: a Dangerous Liaison?

### Christophe MORHANGE – Nick MARRINER – Guénaelle BONY – Nicolas CARAYON – Clément FLAUX – Majid SHAH-HOSSEINI

#### Abstract

Here, we explore the relationships between Mediterranean archaeology and the geosciences with particular emphasis on shoreline mobility and harbour evolution. We review ancient and recent geoarchaeological research on the palaeoenvironmental evolution of ancient harbours; in particular, we elucidate a renewal of catrastrophism. We argue that there is an absence of rational grounding and overemphasis on natural hazards at historical time scales. Research into the collapse of ancient societies is, in our view, oversimplistic and partly driven by bibliometric opportunism. Caution is needed to ensure that neocatastophism does not alter the paradigm of geoarchaeology.

### Özet

Burada, Akdeniz arkeolojisi ile yerbilimler arasındaki ilişkilere ve kıyı çizgisi hareketliliği ile limanların evrimi özeline bakmaktayız. Eski limanların paleo-çevresel evrimi üzerine yapılmış eski ve yeni araştırmalara yeni bir bakış verilmektedir. Argümanımıza göre tarihi ölçeklerde bilimsel temellendirmelerden çok, doğal felaketlerle açıklama yönünde aşırı bir eğilim bulunmaktadır. Eski toplumların çöküşü üzerine yapılan araştırmalar bizce son derece basittir ve biraz da kitabî-edebî ölçülere dayanmaktadır. Jeoarkeolojinin paradigmasının yeni-felaketçilik tarafından etkilenmemesi için dikkatli olunmalıdır.

### 1. Introduction

In this article, we explore the relationships between archaeology and the geosciences with particular emphasis on Mediterranean shoreline mobility and harbour evolution<sup>1</sup>. Why should a multidisciplinary approach be adopted? How can oversimplifications and the

<sup>\*</sup> The authors warmly thank D. Kelletat for constructive suggestions of an earlier version of this manuscript.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Morhange 2001; Fouache 2003; Marriner 2009.

fallacy of circular reasoning be avoided? How can non-deterministic research questions be formulated?

The archaeological sciences now widely embrace the most advanced analytical techniques developed by the geosciences. The past two decades have witnessed an unprecedented growth of new archaeometric tools (e. g. geophysics and genetics) to understand the archaeological record.

Here, we review recent geoarchaeological research and consider how the content of scientific papers dealing with ancient harbour palaeoenvironments has evolved during the past century. From an epistemological point of view two trends can be distinguished that differ both in their paradigms and objectives consistent with academic frontiers<sup>2</sup>.

### 2. Pioneering studies on coastal and harbour geoarchaeology

Investigation into human impacts on coastal palaeoenvironments is relatively recent, even though this topic has long been a central tenant of palaeogeography. A number of geographical and geological societies were created during the 19<sup>th</sup> century, around the same time as the archaeological schools at Rome and Athens. Archaeology was seen as a means of showcasing political prowess and establishing a cultural stronghold. It was very much at the centre stage of the British, French and German race for intellectual supremacy in understanding the roots of ancient civilizations around the Mediterranean. The resulting encyclopaedic inventories, and the supporting institutional frameworks which accompanied them, are illustrative of the shift towards precise recording and measurement in all areas of the natural and archaeological sciences. For instance, it was during the first part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century that many scholars started to draw parallels between coastal progradation and harbour silting to explain the reduced size or isolation of many ancient harbour basins<sup>3</sup>.

In France, the work of Desjardins<sup>4</sup> and Pâris<sup>5</sup> in Delos or Renan<sup>6</sup> in Tyre and Sidon are of notable significance (**Fig. 1**). In 1846, Raulin embarked upon an expedition to Crete, whose geology was virtually unknown at the time. Raulin, who was a geologist, cartographer and naturalist, compiled his observations in a 1,000-page book and published the first true geological map of the island in which the geology and uplifted coastal zones of the island were precisely delineated<sup>7</sup>. Sea-level variation was an early research focus and many geographers investigated this question. For instance, Negris<sup>8</sup> studied submerged archaeological ruins in Leucade, Egine and Delos and concluded that sea level had risen by 3 m since

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Leveau 1995; Leveau 2005.

 $<sup>^3\,</sup>$ e. g. see Georgiades 1907 or Lehmann-Hartleben 1923.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Desjardins 1876.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pâris 1916.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Renan 1864.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Raulin 1869.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Negris 1903a; Negris 1903b; Negris 1904a; Negris 1904b.

the Roman period. His ideas met strong opposition from the geologist Cayeux who advocated sea-level stability for the last few thousand years. According to Cayeux<sup>9</sup> the submerged archaeological structures were the result of local subsidence whereas for Negris they were a uniform trait of the coastline, which could be observed in many different places around the Mediterranean. In France, the fixist dogma of Suess slowed for a number of decades progress in the measurement of relative sea-level changes using archaeological data.

German researchers were early pioneers in the study of antiquity, marrying information about ancient societies with their environmental contexts. Invited by Schliemann to participate in the



Fig. 1 Renan's (1864, fig. p. 569) reconstruction of Tyre and its ancient harbour areas. The northern harbour (Sidonian harbour) is partially silted while the southern part of the city is correctly interpreted as a submerged quarter of the ancient city. This contrasts with the interpretations of Poidebard (1939)

excavation of Troy, Dr. Virchow, a polyvalent pathologist, anthropologist and prehistorian, stayed in the Troad region to undertake research. Their joint efforts laid the foundations for a very fruitful scientific collaboration between archaeology and the geosciences<sup>10</sup>. As early as 1886, Cold identified the role of delta progradation in shaping the evolution of Western Anatolia's rias, in particular the deltaic plains of Küçük and Hüyük Menderes. Greece was also a research focus of German geographers such as Neumann and Partsch<sup>11</sup>. Later Philippson published an encyclopaedic synthesis of Greek landscapes<sup>12</sup>. The work of Hafemann<sup>13</sup> contains the first radiocarbon data of the 365 A.D. uplift of Western Crete.

In Great Britain, early geoarchaeological observations were made by Spratt to identify and date archaeological sites in Western Crete<sup>14</sup>. Since this time, archaeoseismology has significantly contributed to our understanding of Western Crete<sup>15</sup>. The main research advances in multidisciplinary studies were made in Northern Europe with for instance the

<sup>14</sup> Spratt – Leake 1854; Spratt, 1865.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cayeux 1907.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Virchow 1879; Wagner et al. 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Neumann – Partsch 1885.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Philippson 1959.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Hafemann 1965.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Stiros 2001; Stefanakis 2010.

development of modern field methods including high-precision stratigraphy (Wheeler method).

In the next two sections, we describe common threads and knowledge gaps between two scientific communities, archaeosciences vs. geosciences so as to better understand the intellectual landscape that partially explains the rise of neocatastrophism.

# 3. Aims, expectations and research production of coastal archaeological teams

Here, we outline three research topics to show that archaeologists have always actively participated in coastal geomorphological research. They have contributed with surveys conducted underwater and at continental sites<sup>16</sup>.

### 3.1 Relative sea-level changes

Relative sea-level changes are fundamental in establishing the palaeogeography and bathymetry of excavation sites. The fact that relative sea level has changed over the centuries is of particular important in understanding the archaeological record in coastal areas. For instance, relative sea-level variations constitute a natural hazard that could potentially have endangered any human settlement in antiquity. Relative sea-level data allows researchers to estimate the height of the water column in an ancient harbour basin and, subsequently, the maximum draught of the ships that could enter it<sup>17</sup>. Several recent studies (e.g. Portus, the ancient harbour of Rome) have proposed estimates for ship draught depths<sup>18</sup>. Palaeo sea-level indicators dated to the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> centuries A.D. indicate a relative sea level rise of  $80 \pm 10$  cm since this time at Portus. The differences between the ancient sea level and the stratigraphic data provide important information on the water depth. For instance, in the entrance channel of the hexagonal basin of Trajan (Portus), the height of the water column was 7 m<sup>19</sup>, confirming Roman texts from this period. The basin of Claudius was too deep, large and poorly protected to provide good shelter for the ships. These accurate field measurements contrast with the estimates inferred from hydroisostatic computer models<sup>20</sup>.

### 3.2 Shoreline changes

Shoreline changes continue to be interesting to archaeologists because they allow researchers to understand the physical context of excavated sites and to precisely locate the harbour basin(s) and waterfront. For instance, in Italy the work of Schmiedt<sup>21</sup> systematically interpreted aerial photographs. In a similar vein, the pioneering studies of

 $<sup>^{16}\,</sup>$  Poidebard 1939; Leveau 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Blackman 1973; Boetto 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Goiran et al. 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Goiran et al. 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Lambeck et al. 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Schmiedt 1970; Schmiedt 1975.



Fig. 2 Urban organization of the ancient city of Ampurias (Spain) and geoelectric data. Sedimentological analyzes inside the speculated Greek harbour have allowed to reconstruct its environmental evolution. It <del>constituted of</del> an exposed shoreline. These results underline three problems concerning the functionality of the harbour basin: (i) difficult access; (ii) an exposed environment; and (iii) a shallow draught depth. This calls in to question the idea of a protected Greek harbour in this cove (from Bony et al. 2011, fig. 2)

Poidebard and Lauffray<sup>22</sup> combined aerial photographs, dredging and underwater diving to investigate the archaeological sites of Sidon and Tyre<sup>23</sup>. A specificity of coastal archaeology is that it uses data from both underwater and inland coastal environments<sup>24</sup>. For archaeologists, it is important to differentiate between sea-level change and shoreline mobility (e. g. progradation) because the two phenomena can be both complementary and contradictory<sup>25</sup>. For instance, preliminary geophysical and geomorphological work at Ampurias<sup>26</sup> (**Fig. 2**), Cumes<sup>27</sup>, Portus<sup>28</sup> and Elaia near Pergamon<sup>29</sup>, etc. have allowed detailed mapping of the sites in addition to an indirect investigation of their sediment archives. However, the division of archaeological sites into geophysical zones corresponding to the different terrestrial and marine environments has remained somewhat hypothetical

<sup>25</sup> Leveau 2006.

<sup>29</sup> Pirson 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Poidebard – Lauffray 1951.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Poidebard 1939.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Hesnard 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Nieto et al. 2005; Bony et al. 2011a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Stefaniuk – Morhange 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Keay et al. 2005.



Fig. 3 6,000 years of coastal progradation in the ancient marine embayment of the Gialias river, Cyprus (from Devillers 2008, fig. ##)

and must be confirmed by archaeological soundings. Some case study examples illustrate the progresses that have been made. At Elaia (Turkey), it is now possible to follow the history of the settlement and its harbours from the 3<sup>rd</sup> millennium B.C. up to its abandonment in the 6<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup> centuries A.D.<sup>30</sup>. New chronostratigraphic information will help to understand the foundation and silting up of the closed harbour<sup>31</sup>. In Egypt, Flaux<sup>32</sup> has reconstructed the evolution of Lake Mariout situated on the western extremity of the Nile delta. Although the lake has progressively retracted during the last 2000 years, the archaeological record shows that it was actually an important axis of communication in antiquity. In Valencia (Spain), Carmona and Ruiz<sup>33</sup> have probed the geomorphological evolution of the Late Holocene coastal flood plain of the Turia River by cross-referencing sedimentological, stratigraphic, geoarchaeological and radiocarbon data. The Holocene marine transgression formed a lagoon environment on the coastal plain. During the Late Holocene, increased sediment supply to the lower reaches of the river led to the progradation of strand-plain ridges and the aggradation of the floodplain which caused the frequent relocation of the harbours to stay abreast with coastal changes. These case studies demonstrate a typical sea race (linked to the progradation of clastic coastlines (Fig. 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Pirson 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Brückner et al. 2009; Brückner et al. 2010; Brückner et al. this volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Flaux 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Carmona – Ruiz 2011.

# 3.3 Integrated palaeoenvironmental studies

At a final stage, analysis of multiple cores combined with laboratory studies of the lithoclasts, bioindicators and chemical isotopes allow geoarchaeologists to reconstruct palaeoenvironments and processes with an accurate positioning of the harbour sites. This multi-proxy approach produces a comprehensive picture of the archaeological sites in question and their evolution in time and space<sup>34</sup>. Chronostratigraphic data can yield precise information on the constraints and the natural potentialities of a site.

Two main types of archaeological publications exist: (a) those that propose a synthetic approach to cataloguing archaeological sites using geoscience. The thesis of Carayon<sup>35</sup> looking at Phoenicean and Punic harbours is a good example. At a local scale, Baralis et al.<sup>36</sup> proposed a similar approach for Apollonia Pontica (modern site of Sozopol in Bulgaria, Black Sea). In this manner, archaeologists avoid overly deterministic interpretations; nevertheless they must also circumvent an over-interpretation of geoscience data. This approach yields a geographical picture that is classic in its form but renewed in its content. A good example is the harbour of Genoa where Melli et al.<sup>37</sup> combined geomorphological and archaeological data. (b) Palaeoenvironmental studies that are exclusively based on biofacies chronostratigraphy usually provide a diagnosis of the marine accessibility such as the ancient



Fig. 4 Dredging traces in the ancient harbour of Naples (Carsana et al. 2009, fig. 3. 4). 4A: map. 4B: photography. The coastal landscape between Parthenope and Neapolis has been redrawn based on recent archaeological and geoarchaeological results. The excavation at the site of the Municipio station revealed several different levels of the sandy sea bottom of the harbour, which was used from the late fourth or early third century B.C. to the early fifth century A.D. The absence of pre-fourth century B.C. layers is due to extensive dredging between the fourth and second centuries B.C. Unprecedented traces 165 to 180 cm wide and 30 to 50 cm deep attest to powerful dredging technology that scoured into the volcanic tufa substratum, completely reshaping the harbour bottom

<sup>36</sup> Baralis et al. 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Marriner – Morhange 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Carayon 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Melli et al. 2011.

harbours of Naples<sup>38</sup> and Sidon<sup>39</sup> or Tyre<sup>40</sup>. However, it is increasingly apparent that these interpretations are often biased because of the frequent dredging operations that were conducted in many harbours during antiquity<sup>41</sup> (**Fig. 4**). In addition, the physical contextualization of an archaeological site does not necessarily greatly help archaeologists to accurately interpret it. For instance, with reference to the eastern Mediterranean, how does one characterize a Bronze Age proto-harbour in archaeological terms? What types of structures were used to protect the basins? It is important to stress that preliminary geo-archaeological studies are not a substitute for real excavation of the site itself even if the urban context is often a handicap.

Therefore, the role of geoarchaeologists is to elucidate the natural forcings that allow a dynamic contextualization of the archaeological sites at different spatial and temporal scales. In this respect, coastal evolution is a key for the understanding the relationships between human societies and their environments.

### 4. The objectives and expectations of >naturalists<

The interest of naturalists for the archaeological study of palaeoenvironments is mainly focused upon:

### 4.1 High-resolution measurements of relative sea-level variations

In direct response to global climate change and the recent acceleration of sea-level rise, there has been a renewed interest in measuring relative sea-level variations in archaeological contexts<sup>42</sup>. Indeed, harbour archaeological sites are interesting for three reasons:

(a) They can inform us about the sea-level position as far back as 3000 years ago, far beyond the realm of instrumental records which span at best a few hundred years. During this period, for which coral records are often less precise, ancient harbours are good archives to collect local sea-level data for historical periods.

(b) The ancient harbour structures form a solid base upon which marine organisms can grow. The study of fossil biological layers allows sea-level index points to be determined precisely, with centimetric accuracy in sheltered areas<sup>43</sup>.

(c) Finally, the dating of the sites by pottery is often far more accurate and reliable compared with the vagaries of isotopic dating, which require floating calibrations and/or correction for marine reservoir effects. However, the major drawback of this approach is that it necessitates multiple investigations that can only be performed on archaeological sites

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Amato et al. 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Marriner et al. 2006.

<sup>40</sup> Marriner et al. 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Marriner – Morhange 2006; Morhange – Marriner 2010a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> e. g. Pirazzoli 1976.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Laborel – Laborel-Deguen 1994.

vestigations can yield very accurate results and more nuanced interpretations<sup>45</sup>.

and at the same pace as the excavation work progresses. Moreover, to be accurate, the data need to be analyzed with a great deal of multidisciplinary expertise. In a world of science driven by speed of publication and biometrics the published results are often of poor quality. The majority of sea-level studies are not interpreted at the local but regional scale, which interprets the <code>>oceanic< signal</code> in terms of crustal mobility (isostasic or tectonic) and eustatic change, using computer models<sup>44</sup>. Patiently cross-controlled multidisciplinary in-

### 4.2 Watershed erosion and base-level detritism

At the scale of the ancient harbour, it is of particular interest to estimate the relative rates of sedimentation in an artificial trap (e. g. harbour basin). These data reflect land use history and soil erosion in urban and watershed contexts. Three processes may disturb the continuity of sediment archives: (1) compaction, particularly in the case of fine-grained sediments of organic origin; and (2) sediment and urban waste dumping in waterfront areas; and (3) dredging which seems to have taken place in the majority of harbour basins as early as the Roman period, leading to stratigraphic hiatuses and chronological inversions<sup>46</sup>. Archaeologists have become accustomed to working with geomorphologists who have obtained convincing chronostratigraphic data during drilling projects, such as Goiran<sup>47</sup> looking at the harbour palaeoenvironments of Alexandria (Egypt). Nonetheless, these types of studies can only inform us about the physical conditions of harbour creation, while the social or historical reasons for port location remain largely elusive.

At the deltaic scale, sedimentation results from a complex interplay of climatic and human impacts that are often difficult to separate. Devillers<sup>48</sup> in Cyprus, Vött et al.<sup>49</sup> in Greece, Brückner et al.<sup>50</sup> and Kraft et al.<sup>51</sup> in Turkey have analyzed ancient delta geographies. These good examples offer an opportunity to link the disciplines of geology, geomorphology, archaeology, history and epigraphy to shed light on the changing palaeogeographies. At Ephesos, progradation of the Cayster delta during the past three millennia has significantly altered the harbour settings<sup>52</sup>. This work demonstrates how multidisciplinary research can greatly enhance our understanding of ancient coastal geographies during the past 6,000 years. However, elucidating the contribution of different natural forcings can rarely be envisaged unless a major high-energy event is identified.

 $<sup>^{44}\,</sup>$  Lambeck et al. 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Pirazzoli 1976; Erol – Pirazzoli 1992; Morhange et al. 2001; Faivre et al. 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Marriner – Morhange 2006; Morhange – Marriner 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Goiran 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Devillers 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Vött et al. 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Brückner et al. 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Kraft et al. 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Brückner et al., this volume.

New research avenues of interest include the impacts of palaeo-floods<sup>53</sup> or the dislocation of ancient harbours in the face of deltaic progradation. In the Western Mediterranean, the multidisciplinary work undertaken on the Rhône delta, associating fluvial chronology and the displacement of the coastal zone, has produced remarkable results<sup>54</sup>. The Roman harbour of Fréjus (France) is another good example<sup>55</sup>. In the Eastern Mediterranean, work at Troy<sup>56</sup>, Klazomene<sup>57</sup>, Piraeus<sup>58</sup>, Acarnania<sup>59</sup>, Phoenicia<sup>60</sup>, Cyprus<sup>61</sup>, the Nile delta<sup>62</sup> and the bay of Haifa in Israel<sup>63</sup> perfectly illustrate the scope and importance of adopting an interdisciplinary approach. Significant advances have been made in the last two decades can be linked to the democratization and systematic use of isotope chronologies. Isotopic dating has allowed archaeologists to independently test the history of the environments and human societies<sup>64</sup>. This advancement has been accompanied by the development of new techniques including the systematic use of bioindicators and geochemical proxies<sup>65</sup>. Ancient written sources and other historical documents have therefore lost their importance as exclusive sources to understand the archaeological record. Onus is now placed on comparing and contrasting geoscience and archaeological data.

### 4.3 A proliferation of proxies?

There has been a growth in the means of measurements beginning with the use of relatively simple bioindicators to increasingly complex proxies (such as the use of micro-charcoal and phytoliths as indicators of palaeo-fires etc.) derived from the wealth of modern quantitative techniques. Geoarchaeological research is now being carried out to gauge the level and intensity of human impacts at finer spatial and temporal scales.

Although geoarchaeological studies are increasingly quantified, understanding the relationships between human societies and their environment remains highly subjective. The study of the past demonstrates that coastal ecosystems have been subjected to a multitude of natural and human forcings for many millennia. Environmental response is evaluated via the processes involved, their reversibility or resilience and the time scales over which they operate. Quantitative palaeo-ecology provides an estimate of the dynamics involved and of the cumulative impacts that the climate and human pressures exert on these

- <sup>61</sup> Devillers 2008.
- <sup>62</sup> Goodfriend Stanley 1999; Flaux et al. 2012.

- $^{64}\,$ Leveau 2006; Kaniewski et al. 2011.
- 65 Véron et al. 2006.

 $<sup>^{53}\,</sup>$  Bravard 2006; Benvenuti et al. 2006 for the harbour of Pisa.

 $<sup>^{54}\,</sup>$  Bruneton et al. 2001; Provansal et al. 2003; Vella et al. 2005.

 $<sup>^{55}\,</sup>$  Bony et al. 2011b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Kraft et al. 1980 and 2002.

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>$  Goodman et al. 2008; Goodman et al. 2009a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Goiran et al. 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Vött et al. 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Marriner 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Zvieli et al. 2006.

systems. The role of climatic and human forcings during the Holocene period is still a difficult area of study because of the plethora of research interpretations<sup>66</sup>. In this rich intellectual context, it is paradoxical to observe a recent growth in neocatastrophic research<sup>67</sup>.

### 5. The revival of catastrophism

In the Eastern Mediterranean, neocatastrophism probably has its roots in the study of the Bronze Age eruption of Santorini and its speculated impacts<sup>68</sup>. In harbour research, Caesarea Maritima in Israel constitutes an iconic example of both multidisciplinary archaeological excavation and neocatastrophism. This latter point can be summarized in two stages:

A *first neotectonic theory* was developed by researchers during the early 1990's<sup>69</sup>. These authors produced evidence for neotectonic activity in the ancient basin of Caesarea Maritima, where large Herodian breakwaters are presently submerged at 5–8 m below sea level, whereas other contemporary coastal installations in the same area remain at sea level. Seismic reflection surveys elucidated a series of shore-parallel faults that have been interpreted as displacing both the eolianite outcrop and the submerged breakwaters. These faults present offsets of 1–3 m. Mart and Perecman<sup>70</sup> have suggested that the subsidence of the ancient breakwaters was caused by neotectonic displacements of these faults, enhanced by liquefaction. They conclude that neotectonic activity has shaped the southern Levantine coast during the past 2000 years. These interpretations are reminiscent of Neev et al.'s<sup>71</sup> book and its focus upon coastal neotectonism. This work was rapidly contradicted by the geophysist Gill<sup>72</sup> who demonstrated that the seismic profiles do not provide any evidence for faults or reliable displaced marker horizons. It seems most likely that subsidences of the Roman moles has resulted from a scouring of marine sands from under the harbourworks.

A second more recent neocatastrophic theory has focused upon tsunami impacts<sup>73</sup>. Underwater geoarchaeological excavations on the shallow seabed fronting Caesarea's seaport are inferred to document a tsunami that damaged the ancient harbour. The tsunami deposit is interpreted as being a 0.5 m thick bed of reverse-graded shells, coarse sand, pebbles, and pottery deposited over a large area outside the harbour. The lower portion of the deposit is composed of angular shell fragments, and the upper part of convex-up *Glycymeris* shells. The sequence records downcutting into shelf sands, with the return flow sorting and depositing intact and fragmentary shells. Radiocarbon dating and optically stimulated

- <sup>72</sup> Gill 1999.
- 73 Reinhardt et al. 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Allée – Lespez 2006; Anthony 2009.

<sup>67</sup> Marriner et al. 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Marinatos 1939.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Mart – Perecman 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Mart – Perecman 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Neev et al. 1987.



Fig. 5 Evolution of catastrophic keywords and palaeo-catastrophes since 1950 (source: Google Ngram, <http://books.google.com/ngrams> [##.##.####]). The frequency of these keywords shows a peak after 1980 that underscores an exaggerated interest in palaeo-catastrophes in intellectual inquiry and popular mindsets. We suggest that this reflects both (i) earth science that, as a historical science, uses the past (i. e. the rock record) to predict and quantify future changes; and (ii) media demand for catastrophes of all types and chronologies

luminescence dates constrain the deposit to between the 1<sup>st</sup> century B.C. and the 2<sup>nd</sup> century A.D., and point to the tsunami of 115 A.D. as the most likely candidate for the event. In 2009, the same research team<sup>74</sup> identified three tsunami events dated to around 1500 years ago, 2000 years ago and 3630–3410 cal. years B.P. This latter unit is attributed to tsunami waves produced during the Late Bronze Age eruption of Santorini. Particle-size distribution, planar bedding, shell taphoecoensis and dating supposedly distinguish it from normal storm and typical marine conditions. Somewhat ambiguously, coarse sediments that were previously considered to be ballast or storm deposits have now been systematically reinterpreted as tsunami deposits. Moreover, it is interesting to observe that a more nuanced analysis of the same biosedimentological data can produce non-tsunami scenarios. For example, in 1999, Reinhardt and Raban linked harbour destruction to seismic activity (influenced by the work of Mart and Perecman) and silting of the inner harbour.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Goodman et al. 2009b.

In a similar vein, volcanic eruptions are also frequently invoked as drivers of change in the archaeological record, even though evidence for this assumption is rare. Even if Torrence and Grattan<sup>75</sup> have discussed several case studies illustrating the complexity of relationships between human societies and volcanic eruptions their position has largely been ignored. They suggest that volcanic activity frequently acted as a stimulus rather than a hindrance to cultural development. Despite the popular paradigm, which sees natural catastrophes as a constant threat and catalyst of past human disasters, closer examination of human history often reveals a very different story. For example, the impact of the Late Bronze Age eruption of Santorini has been the focus of considerable research, although debate rages as to how the eruption disrupted the environment and influenced the cultural trajectory of Eastern Mediterranean societies<sup>76</sup>. It has been argued that the eruption was responsible for widespread famine and climate change. The precise dating of the eruption is also disputed. It is unclear whether a weakening of Cretan culture was underpinned by the eruption or social changes. Far reaching impacts of the Bronze Age Santorini eruption and its energy are still enigmatic and are too often used to explain things for which other explanations have not been tested.

Numerous examples exist around the Mediterranean for archaeological sites that have been studied neocatastrophically, none more so than the earthquake of 365 A.D.<sup>77</sup> which is part of the >Early Byzantine Tectonic Paroxysm<sup>78</sup>. Other examples include the submerged ancient cities of Menouthis and Canope<sup>79</sup>, the ancient harbour of Phalasarna in Western Crete hit by a tsunami<sup>80</sup>, Balos around 20 km to the north of Phalasrna<sup>81</sup> or even the vanished city of Helike in the Gulf of Corinth<sup>82</sup>. All these examples attest to a revival in neocatastrophic thinking in the study of Mediterranean coasts, accentuated by recent globally mediatized disasters such as the Sumatra earthquake of 2004 and the 2011 Fukushima disaster (**Fig. 5**). We believe that these recent disasters will increase the propensity for this type of publication. This hypothesis does not call into question serious academic research undertaken into paleotsunami impacts during the past two decades. For example, there are by far fewer field data in contrast to the historical tsunami catalogues with several thousand events mentioned. We question the deterministic causal link drawn between natural catastrophes and the history of human societies.

From an anthropological standpoint, it is important to ask how researchers produce their ideas? What are the factors driving a revival of catastrophic thinking? There are several non-exclusive issues of note. 1) Undoubtedly, researchers are exploiting irrational

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Torrence – Grattan 2002.

<sup>76</sup> Marinatos 1939; Driessen 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Jacques – Bousquet 1984; Stiros 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Pirazzoli 1986; Pirazzoli et al. 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Stanley et al. 2001; Stanley et al. 2004a; Stanley et al. 2004b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Pirazzoli et al. 1992; Dominey-Howes et al. 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Scheffers – Scheffers 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Marinatos 1960; Soter – Katsonopoulou 2011.

social fears of natural and technological disasters underpinned by media sensationalism. 2) Equally important is the need to justify the social role of the geosciences as an applied discipline. 3) It is still naively believed possible to draw-up a simple history of human societies and natural history. All of these unresolved issues have created an intellectual dispute overshadowed by the battle for supremacy between academic disciplines<sup>83</sup> and bibliometric competition.

### 6. Conclusion

In contrast with the rise of neocatastrophism, we have identified two recent fields of research activity that seem particularly promising:

(1) The history of palaeo-pollution. Lead isotope analyses developed in the geosciences have successfully been used to study sediment pollution caused by human activities. Lead is a particularly good marker to study human societies in the past. Environmental contamination by lead is related to its extraction and fusion as well as mixing to form metal alloys. Traces of human origin usually differ from those contained in the natural environment. Lead is also a marker of the geographical origin of ores during periods of intense human activities. Lead trace isotopes have been used to study the harbour sediments of Sidon<sup>84</sup>, Marseille<sup>85</sup> and Alexandria<sup>86</sup>. These studies have not only shown the usefulness of lead as a marker of early human activities but also as a complementary proxy to understand the development of ancient maritime cities.

(2) Non-deterministic evaluation of the constraints, potentialities and palaeo-hazards of ancient sites<sup>87</sup>. The occurrence of palaeo-risks is a question that merits closer investigation in the coming years without neocatastrophic undertones (e. g. systematic search for tsunami deposits in ancient harbour basins) because this trend has falsely skewed public perception into thinking that ancient societies lived under the permanent threat of natural catastrophes. Unfortunately, this over-simplistic vision masks the true problems of environmental vulnerability encountered by human societies since prehistoric times. Geoarchaeological studies allow coastal vulnerability to be assessed over long period of times. The revival of catrastrophism tells us more about present social anxieties than the real impacts of historical catastrophic events<sup>88</sup>. Caution is required so that neocatastophism does not completely alter the paradigm of coastal geoarchaeology. For example, archaeology has sometimes been hampered by over-simplistic dogma to explain the collapse of ancient Bronze Age civilizations<sup>89</sup>, the destruction of the Minoans by the eruption of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Leveau 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Le Roux et al. 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Le Roux et al. 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Véron et al. 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Morhange et al. 2010b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Walter 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Schaeffer 1948; Nur – Cline 2000; Deckers et al. 2007.

Santorini<sup>90</sup> or rapid climate change<sup>91</sup>. None of these hypotheses have survived a serious cross-examination even though they continue to partly shape geoarchaeological inquiry. Nevertheless, we believe that extreme event studies can also help to improve public awareness on unknown risks.

As Leveau<sup>92</sup> has noted, one positive upshot is that the history of ancient coasts can no longer be written using ancient texts as the sole source of information, as was the case in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Finally, using the geosciences has radically changed our perception of ancient harbour environments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Marinatos 1939.

 $<sup>^{91}\,</sup>$  Weiss et al. 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Leveau 2006.

### Bibliography

Allée – Lespez 2006	P. Allée – L. Lespez (eds.), L'érosion, entre société, climat et paléoenvironnement. Table ronde en l'honneur du professeur René Neboit-Guilhot (Clermont-Ferrand 2006).
Amato et al. 2009	L. Amato – C. Guastaferro – A. Cinque – V. di Donato – P. Romano – M. R. Ruello – S. Perriello Zampelli – C. Morhange – E. Russo Ermolli – G. Irollo – V. Carsana – D. Giampaola, Ricostruzioni morfoevolutive nel territorio di Napoli. L'evoluzione tardo pleistocenica-olocenica e le linee di riva di epoca storica, Méditerranée 112, 2009, 23–31.
Anthony 2009	E. Anthony, Shore Processes and their Palaeoenvironmental Applications. Developments in Marine Geology (2009 Amsterdam).
Baralis et al. 2011	A. Baralis –B. Devillers – N. Marriner – C. Morhange – A. Hermary, Coastal Geoarchaeology of Apollonia Pontica (Bulgaria), Méditerranée 117, 2011, 23–30.
Benvenuti 2006	M. Benvenuti – M. Mariotti-Lippi – P. Pallecchi –M. Sagri, Late-Holocene Catastrophic Floods in the Terminal Arno River (Pisa, Central Italy) from the Story of a Roman Riverine Harbour, The Holocene 16, 6, 2006, 863–876.
Blackman 1973	D. J. Blackman, Evidence of Sea Level Change in Ancient Harbours and Coastal Installations, in: D. J. Blackman (ed.), Marine Archaeology, Colston Papers 23 (London 1973) 115–139.
Boetto 2010	G. Boetto, Le port vu de la mer: l'apport de l'archéologie navale à l'étude des ports antiques, Bollettino di Archaeologia on line, volume speciale, B/B7/9 (Rome 2010) 112–128.
Bony et al. 2011a	G. Bony – C. Morhange –X. Nieto, Géoarchéologie du port grec d'Empuriès. Un port ouvert ou fermé?, Méditerranée 117, 2011, 81–87.
Bony et al. 2011b	G. Bony – C. Morhange – H. Bruneton – P. Carbonel – C. Gébara, 2000 ans de colmatage du port antique de Fréjus (Forum Julii), France: une double métamorphose coastale, Comptes Rendus Geoscience 343.10, 701–715.
Bravard 2006	JP. Bravard, Le paléoenvironnement, le géographe et la complexité, in: Allée – Lespez 2006, 25–34.
Brückner et al. 2005	H. Brückner – A. Vött – A. Schriever – M. Handl, Holocene Delta Progradation in the Eastern Mediterranean, Case Studies in their Historical Context, Méditerranée 104, 2005, 95–106.
Brückner et al. 2010	H. Brückner – M. Seeliger – M. Knipping, Geoarchäologische Untersuchungen in der Bucht von Elaia, in: F. Pirson, Pergamon. Bericht über die Arbeiten in der Kampagne 2009, AA 2010/2, 208–219.
Brückner – Seeliger 2009	H. Brückner – M. Seeliger, Geoarchäologische Untersuchungen, in: F. Pirson , Pergamon. Bericht über die Arbeiten in der Kampagne 2008, AA 2009/2, 194–199.
Bruneton et al. 2001	H. Bruneton –G. Arnaud-Fassetta –M. Provansal –D. Sistach, Geomorphological Evidence for Fluvial Change During the Roman Period in the Lower Rhone Valley (Southern France), Catena 45, 4, 2001, 287–312.
Carmona – Ruiz 2011	P. Carmona – J. M. Ruiz, Historical Morphogenesis of the Turia River Coastal Flood Plain in the Mediterranean Coastal of Spain, Catena 86, 3, 2011, 139–149.
Carayon 2008	N. Carayon, Les ports phéniciens et puniques. Géomorphologie et infrastructures 1–3 (Diss. Université Marc Bloch, Strasbourg II 2008 <http: tel.archives-<br="">ouvertes.fr/index.php?halsid=0m1gjekv6c758ka27qin71br62&amp;view_this_doc=tel- 00283210&amp;version=1&gt; (16. 5. 2013).</http:>
Carsana et al. 2009	V. Carsana – S. Febbraro – D. Giampaola – C. Guastaferro – G. Irollo – M. R. Ruello, Evoluzione del paesaggio costiero tra Parthenope e Neapolis, Méditerranée 112, 2009, 14–22.
Cayeux 1907	L. Cayeux, Fixité du niveau de la Méditerranée à l'époque historique, Annales de géographie 16, 1907, 97–116.
Cold 1886	C. Cold, Küstenveränderungen im Archipel <sup>2</sup> (München 1886).

Deckers et al. 2007	K. Deckers – L. Herveux – C. Kuzucuoglu – J. McCorriston – H. Pessin – S. Riehl – E. Vila, Characteristics and Changes in Archaeology-related Environmental Data During the Third Millenium B.C. in Upper Mesopotamia, in: C. Kuzucuoğlu – C. Marro (eds.), Sociétés humaines et changement climatique à la fin du troisième millénaire: une crise a-t-elle eu lieu en Haute Mésopotamie?, Actes du Colloque de Lyon (5–8 décembre 2005), Varia Anatolica 19 (Paris 2007) 573–580.
Desjardins 1876	E. Desjardins, Géographie historique et administrative de la Gaule romaine 1, Introduction et géographie physique comparée. Epoque romaine – époque actuelle (Paris 1876).
Devillers 2008	B. Devillers, Holocene Morphogenesis and Anthropisation of a Semi-arid Watershed, Gialias River, Cyprus, BARIntSer 1775 (Oxford 2008).
Dominey-Howes et al.	D. Dominey-Howes – A. Dawson – D. Smith, Late Holocene Coastal Tectonics at Falasarna,
1998	Western Crete: A Sedimentary Study, in: I. Stewart – C. Vita-Finzi (eds.), Coastal Tectonics, Geological Society of London, Special Publications 146 (London 1998) 343–352.
Driessen 2002	J. Driessen, Towards an Archaeology of Crisis: Defining the Long Term Impact of the Bronze Age Santorini Eruption, in: R. Torrence – J. Grattan (eds.), Natural Disasters and Cultural Change (London 2002) 252–263.
Erol – Pirazzoli 1992	O. Erol – P. A. Pirazzoli, Seleucia Pieria: An Ancient Harbour Submitted to Two Successive Uplifts, IntJNautA 21, 4, 1992, 317–327.
Faivre et al. 2010	S. Faivre – T. Bakran-Petricioli – N. Horvatinčić, Relative Sea-Level Change During the Late Holocene on the Island of Vis (Croatia) – Issa Harbour Archaeological Site, Geodinamica Acta 23, 5/6, 2010, 209–223.
Flaux 2011	C. Flaux, Connexion de la région lagunaire d'Alexandrie au Nil depuis 2000: entre contrôle anthropique et forçage naturel, Méditerranée 117, 2011, 73–79.
Flaux et al. 2012	C. Flaux – C. Morhange – N. Marriner – J. M. Rouchy, Bilan hydrologique et biosédimentaire de la lagune du Maryût (delta du Nil, Egypte) entre 8000 et 3200 ans cal. B.P., Géomorphologie 2012, 261–278.
Fouache 2003	E. Fouache (ed.), The Mediterranean World Environment and History. Colloque international Environmental Dynamics and History in Mediterranean Areas (Paris 2003).
Gill 1999	D. Gill, Non-tectonic Settlement of the Herodian Harbour in Caesarea, Israel Geological Society, Annual Meeting (Jerusalem 1999).
Georgiades 1907	A. S. Georgiades, Les ports de la Grèce dans l'Antiquité qui subsistent encore aujourd'hui (Athens 1907) files 1–6.
Goiran 2001	J. P. Goiran, Recherches géomorphologiques dans la région littorale d'Alexandrie en Egypte (Diss. Université d'Aix-Marseille I 2001) <a href="http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/index.php?halsid=0m1gjekv6c758ka27qin71br62&amp;view_this_doc=tel-00326333&amp;version=1">http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/index.php?halsid=0m1gjekv6c758ka27qin71br62&amp;view_this_doc=tel-00326333&amp;version=1</a> (16. 5. 2013)
Goiran et al. 2009	J. P. Goiran –H. Tronchère –U. Collalelli –F. Salomon –H. Djerbi, Découverte d'un niveau marin biologique sur les quais de Portus: le port antique de Rome, Méditerranée 112, 2009, 59–67.
Goiran et al. 2010	J. P. Goiran – H. Tronchère – F. Salomon – P. Carbonel – H. Djerbi – C. Ognard, Palaeoenvironmental Reconstruction of the Ancient Harbours of Rome: Claudius and Trajan's Marine Harbours on the Tiber Delta, Quaternary International 216, 1/2, 2010, 3–13.
Goiran et al. 2011	J. P. Goiran – K. P. Pavlopoulos – E. Fouache – M. Triantaphyllou – R. Etienne, Piraeus. The Ancient Island of Athens: Evidence from Holocene Sediments and Historical Archives, Geology 39, 6, 2011, 531–534.
Goodfriend – Stanley 1999	G. A. Goodfriend – D. J. Stanley, Rapid Strand-plain Accretion in the Northeast- ern Nile Delta in the 9 <sup>th</sup> Century A.D. and the Demise of the Port of Pelusium, Geology, 27, 2, 1999, 147–150.

18 C. N	Aorhange – N. Marriner – G. Bony – N. Carayon – C. Flaux – M. Shah-Hosseini
Goodman et al. 2008	B. Goodman – E. Reinhardt – H. Dey – J. Boyce – H. Schwarcz – V. Sahoğlu – H. Erkanal – M. Artzy, Evidence for Holocene Marine Transgression and Shoreline Progradation Due to Barrier Development in Iskele, Bay of Izmir, Turkey, Journal of Coastal Research 24, 5, 2008, 1269–1280.
Goodman et al. 2009	<ul> <li>B. Goodman – E. Reinhardt – H. Dey – J. Boyce – H. Schwarcz – V. Sahoğlu – H. Erkanal – M. Artzy, Multi-proxy Geoarchaeological Study Redefines Understanding of the Palaeocoastlines and Ancient Harbours of Liman Tepe (Iskele, Turkey), Terra Nova 21, 2, 2009, 97–104.</li> </ul>
Goodman et al. 2009	B. Goodman – H. Dey – E. G. Reinhardt – F. McCoy – Y. Mart, Tsunami Waves Generated by the Santorini Eruption Reached Eastern Mediterranean Shores, Geology 37, 10, 2009, 943–946.
Hafemann 1965	D. Hafemann, Die Niveauveränderungen an den Küsten Kretas seit dem Altertum nebst einigen Bemerkungen über ältere Strandbildungen auf Westkreta, Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur in Mainz, Abhandlungen der mathematisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Klasse 12, 1965, 605–688.
Hesnard 2004	A. Hesnard, Terre submergée, mer enterrée: une ›géoarchéologie‹ du port antique de Marseille, in: L. de Maria – R. Turchetti (eds.), Evolución palaeoambiental de los puertos y fondeaderos antiguos en el Mediterráneo occidental (Rome 2004) 3–29.
Jacques – Bousquet	<ul> <li>F. Jacques – B. Bousquet, Le cataclysme du 21 juillet 365: phenomena regional ou catastrophe cosmique, in: APDCA (ed.), Tremblements de terre, histoire et archéologie. 4° rencontres internationales d'archéologie et d'histoire d'Antibes, 3–4–5 novembre 1983 = Rencontres internationales d'archéologie et d'histoire d'Antibes 4 (Valbonne 1984) 183–206.</li> </ul>
Kaniewski et al. 2011	D. Kaniewski – E. Van Campo – K. Van Lerberghe – T. Boiy – K. Vansteenhuyse – G. Jans – K. Nys – H. Weiss – C. Morhange – T. Otto – J. Bretschneider, The Sea Peoples, from Cuneiform Tablets to Carbon Dating, Plos One 6, 6, 2011, 1–7.
Keay et al. 2005	S. Keay – M. Millett – L. Paroli – K. Strutt (eds.), Portus, Archaeological Monographs of the British School at Rome 15 (Rome 2005).
Kraft et al. 1980	J. C. Kraft – İ. Kayan – O. Erol, Geomorphic Reconstructions in the Environs of Ancient Troy, Science 209 no. 4458, 1980, 776–782.
Kraft et al. 2002	J. C. Kraft – G. R. Rapp – İ. Kayan – J. V. Luce, Harbour Areas at Ancient Troy: Sedimentology and Geomorphology Complement Homer's Iliad, Geology 31, 2, 2002, 163–166.
Kraft et al. 2007	J. C. Kraft – H. Brückner – İ. Kayan – H. Engelmann, The Geographies of Ancient Ephesos and the Artemision in Anatolia, Geoarchaeology 22, 1, 2007, 121–149.
Laborel – Laborel-D	eguen J. Laborel – F. Laborel-Deguen, Biological Indicators of Relative Sea-level Variations and Co-
1994	seismic Displacements in the Mediterranean Region, Journal of Coastal Research 10, 1994, 395–415.
Lambeck et al. 2004	K. Lambeck – M. Anzidei – F. Antonioli – A. Benini – A. Esposito, Sea Level in Roman Time in the Central Mediterranean and Implications for Recent Change, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 224, 3/4, 2004, 563–575.
Lehmann-Hartleben	1923 K. Lehmann-Hartleben, Die antiken Hafenanlagen des Mittelmeeres. Beiträge zur Geschichte des Städtebaus im Altertum, Klio Beih. 14 (Leipzig1923).
Le Roux et al. 2003	G. Le Roux – A. Véron – C. Morhange, Geochemical Evidences of Early Anthropogenic Activity in Harbour Sediments from Sidon, Archaeology and History in the Lebanon 18, 2003, 115–119.
Le Roux et al. 2005	G. Le Roux – A. Véron – C. Morhange, Lead Pollution in the Ancient Harbour of Marseilles, Méditerranée 104, 1/2, 2005, 31–35.
Leveau 1995	P. Leveau, L'Histoire en otage: Arles, colonie romaine et les plaines du bas Rhône. Les enjeux d'un débat, in: S. van der Leeuw (ed.), L'Homme et la dégradation de l'environnement. 15 <sup>èmes</sup> Rencontres internationales d'archéologie et d'histoire d'Antibes, 20–22 octobre 1994 (Antibes 1995) 245–262.

Coast	al Geoarchaeology and Neocatastrophism: a Dangerous Liaison?	!9
Leveau 2004	P. Leveau, Revisiter l'espace et le temps dans le delta du Rhône: archéologie et h toire des zones humides et des milieux deltaïques, in: C. Landuré – M. Pasquali	is- ni
	<ul> <li>A. Guilcher (eds.), Delta du Rhône. Camargue antique et médiévale, Bullet Archéologique de Provence suppl. 2 (Aix-en-Provence 2004) 13–43.</li> </ul>	in
Leveau 2005	P. Leveau, Mythe, référence à l'Antique et mémoire des catastrophes dans les m dias scientifiques. Le déluge de la Bible à Platon. Les scientifiques croient-ils au mythes antiques?, in: R. Favier – AM. Granet-Abisset (eds.), Récits et représent tions des catastrophes depuis l'Antiquité (Grenoble 2005) 145–159.	é- IX a-
Leveau 2006	P. Leveau, Les littoraux de Gaule du Sud au premier Age du Fer, du delta d l'Argens au delta de l'Aude, un état de la question, in: S. Gori – M. C. Bettin (eds.), Gli Etruschi da Genova ad Ampurias: 24 Convegno di studi etruschi e it lici: Marseille-Lattes, 26 settembre – 1 ottobre 2002 (Pisa 2006) 47–60.	le ni a-
Marinatos 1939	S. Marinatos, The Volcanic Destruction of Minoan Crete, Antiquity 13, 193 425–439.	9,
Marinatos 1960	S. Marinatos, Helice: A Submerged Town of Classical Greece, Archaeology 1 1960, 186–193.	3,
Marriner 2009	N. Marriner, Geoarchaeology of Lebanon's Ancient Harbours, BARIntSer 195 (Oxford 2009).	53
Marriner – Morhange 2006	N. Marriner – C. Morhange, Geoarchaeological Evidence for Dredging in Tyre Ancient Harbour, Levant, Quaternary Research 65, 2006, 164–171.	's
Marriner – Morhange 2007	N. Marriner – C. Morhange, Geoscience of Ancient Mediterranean Harbour Earth Science Reviews 80, 2007, 137–194.	·s,
Marriner et al. 2006	N. Marriner – C. Morhange – C. Doumet-Serhal, Geoarchaeology of Sidon Ancient Harbours, Phoenicia, JASc 33, 11, 2006, 1514–1535.	's
Marriner et al. 2008	N. Marriner – C. Morhange – N. Carrayon, Ancient Tyre and it's Harbours, 500 Years of Human-environment Interactions, JASc 35, 5, 2008, 1281–1310.	)0
Marriner et al. 2010	N. Marriner – C. Morhange – S. Skrimshire, Geoscience Meets the Four Hors men? Tracking the Rise of Neocatastrophism, Global and Planetary Change 7 2010, 43–48.	е- 4,
Mart – Perecman 1996	Y. Mart – I. Perecman, Neotectonic Activity in Caesarea, the Mediterranean Coa of Central Israel, Tectonophysics 254, 1/2, 1996, 139–153.	.st
Melli et al. 2011	P. Melli – B. Strano – M. Vacchi – M. Firpo, Recherches géo-archéologiques dar la zone littorale de Gênes (Ligurie, Italie), Méditerranée 117, 2011, 97–102.	15
Morhange 2001	C. Morhange, Mobilité littorale de quelques sites portuaires antiques de Méditerranée. Marseille, Pouzzoles, Cumes, Kition et Sidon (Habil. Universi de Provence, CEREGE 2001 <a href="http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00269281">http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00269281</a> [7. 2013]).	le té 4.
Morhange et al. 2001	C. Morhange – J. Laborel – A. Hesnard, Changes of Relative Sea Level Durir the Past 5000 Years in the Ancient Harbour of Marseilles, Southern Franc Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeooecology 166, 2001, 319–329.	ıg e,
Morhange – Marriner	C. Morhange – N. Marriner, Mind the (Stratigraphic) Gap: Roman Dredging a Ancient	in
2010a	Mediterranean Harbours, BAO Bollettino di Archaeologia Online, Special Vo IAAC, B / B7 / 9, 2010, 23–32.	ol.
Morhange – Marriner 2010b	C. Morhange – N. Marriner, Palaeo-hazards in the Coastal Mediterranean: Geoarchaeological Approach, in: I. P. Martini – W. Chesworth (eds.), Landscap and Societies. Selected Cases (Berlin 2010) 223–234.	A es
Neev et al. 1987	D. Neev – N. Bakler – K.O. Emery, Mediterranean Coasts of Israel and Sinai (Nev York 1987).	W
Negris 1903a	P. Negris, Observations concernant les variations du niveau de la mer depuis le temps historiques et préhistoriques, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Science 137, 1903, 222–224.	es
Negris 1903b	P. Negris, Régression et transgression de la mer depuis l'époque glaciaire jusqu nos jours, Revue universelle des mines, de la métallurgie, des travaux publics, de sciences et arts appliqués à l'industrie 3, 1903, 249–281.	'à es

20 C. M	Iorhange – N. Marriner – G. Bony – N. Carayon – C. Flaux – M. Shah-Hosseini
Negris 1904a	P. Negris, Vestiges antiques submergés, MdI 29, 1904, 340–363.
Negris 1904b	P. Negris, Nouvelles observations sur la dernière transgression de la Méditerranée, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 2, 1904, 379–381.
Neumann – Partsch I	1885 C. Neumann – J. Partsch, Physikalische Geographie von Griechenland mit besonderer Berücksichtigung auf das Alterthum (Wroclaw 1885).
Nieto et al. 2005	X. Nieto – A. Revil – C. Morhange – G. Vivar – E. Rizzo – X. Aguelo, La fachada maritima de Ampurias: Estudios geofísicos y datos arqueologicos, Empuries 54, 2005, 71–100.
Nur – Cline 2000	A. Nur – E. H. Cline, Poseidon's Horses: Plate Tectonics and Earthquake Storms in the Late Bronze Age Aegean and Eastern Mediterranean, JASc 27, 2000, 43–63.
Pâris 1916	J. Pâris, Contributions à l'étude des ports antiques du monde grec 2. Les établissements maritimes de Délos, BCH 40, 1916, 5–73.
Philippson 1959	A. Philippson, Die griechischen Landschaften IV (Frankfurt 1959).
Pirazzoli 1976	P. A. Pirazzoli, Sea Level Variations in the Northwest Mediterranean During Roman Times, Science 194, 1976, 519–521.
Pirazzoli 1986	<ul> <li>P. A. Pirazzoli, The Early Byzantine Tectonic Paroxysm, in: A. Ozer – C. Vita-Finzi (eds.), Dating Mediterranean Shorelines, Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Suppl.</li> <li>62 (Stuttgart 1986) 31–49.</li> </ul>
Pirazzoli et al. 1992	P. A. Pirazzoli – J. Ausseil-Badie – P. Giresse – E. Hadjidaki – M. Arnold, Historical Environmental Changes at Phalasarna Harbour, West Crete, Geoarchaeology 7, 1992, 371–392.
Pirazzoli et al. 1996	P. A. Pirazzoli – J. Laborel – S. C. Stiros, Earthquake Clustering in the Eastern Mediterranean During Historical Times, Journal of Geophysical Research 16, B3, 1996, 6083–6097.
Pirson 2010	F. Pirson, Pergamon – Bericht über die Arbeiten in der Kampagne 2009, AA 2010/2, 139–236.
Poidebard 1939	A. Poidebard, Un grand port disparu, Tyr. Recherches aériennes et sous-marines, 1934–1936 (Paris 1939).
Poidebard – Lauffray	1951 A. Poidebard –J. Lauffray, Sidon, aménagements antiques du port de Saïda. Etude aérienne au sol et sous-marine (Beyrouth 1951).
Provansal et al. 2003	M. Provansal – C. Vella – G. Arnaud-Fassetta – F. Sabatier – Maillet G., Role of Fluvial Sediment Inputs in the Mobility of the Rhône Delta Coast (France), Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement 4, 2003, 271–282.
Raulin 1869	V. Raulin, Description physique de l'île de Crète I-III (Paris 1869).
Reinhardt – Raban 1	<ul> <li>E. G. Reinhardt – A. Raban, Catastrophic Destruction of Herod the Great's Harbour at Caesarea Maritima, Israel – Geoarchaeological Evidence, Geology 27, 9, 1999, 811–814.</li> </ul>
Reinhardt et al. 2006	E. G. Reinhardt – B. E. Goodman – J. I. Boyce – G. Lopez – P. van Hengstum – W. Rink – Y. Mart – A. Raban, The Tsunami of December 13, 115 A.D. and the Destruction of Herod the Great's Harbour at Caesarea Maritima, Israel, Geology 34, 12, 2006, 1061–1064.
Renan 1864	E. Renan, La Mission de Phénicie (Paris 1864).
Schaeffer 1948	C. F. A. Schaeffer, Stratigraphie comparée et chronologie de l'Asie Occidentale (III <sup>e</sup> et II <sup>e</sup> millennaires) (Oxford 1948).
Scheffers – Scheffers	2007 A. Scheffers – S. Scheffers, Tsunami Deposits on the Coastline of West Crete (Greece), Earth and Planetary Science Letters 259, 3/4, 2007, 613–624.
Schmiedt 1970	G. Schmiedt, Atlante aerofotografico delle sedi umane in Italia, II. Le sedi antiche (Florence 1970).
Schmiedt 1975	G. Schmiedt, Antichi Porti d'Italia. Gli Scali Fenico-Punici i porti della Magna-Grecia (Florence 1975).

Soter– Katsonopoulou 2011	S. Soter – D. Katsonopoulou, Submergence and Uplift of Settlements in the Area of Helike, Greece, from the Early Bronze Age to Late Antiquity, Geoarchaeology 26, 4, 2011, 584–610.
Spratt 1895	C. T. A. B. Spratt, Travels and Researches in Crete II (London 1865).
Spratt – Leake 1854	C. T. A. B. Spratt – C. Leake, Extract of a Letter from Captain Spratt R. N. – on Crete, Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London 24, 1854, 238–239.
Stanley et. al. 2001	D. J. Stanley – F. Goddio – G. Schnepp, Nile Flooding Sank Two Ancient Cities, Nature 412, 2001, 293–294.
Stanley et. al. 2004a	D. J. Stanley – F. Goddio – T. F. Jorstad – G. Schnepp, Submergence of Ancient Greek Cities Off Egypt's Nile Delta – A Cautionary Tale, GSA Today 14, 2004, 4–10.
Stanley et. al. 2004b	D. J. Stanley – A. G. Warne – G. Schnepp, Geoarchaeological Interpretation of the Canopic, Largest of the Relict Nile Delta Distributaries, Egypt, Journal of Coastal Research 20, 2004, 920–930.
Stefanakis 2010	M. I. Stefanakis, Western Crete: From Captain Spratt to Modern Archaeoseismology, in: M. Sintubin – I. S. Stewart – T. M. Niemi – E. Altunel (eds.), Ancient Earthquakes: Geological Society of America Special Paper 471, 2010, 67–79.
Stefaniuk – Morhange 2009	L. Stefaniuk – C. Morhange, Cuma: Evoluzione dei paesaggi coastali nella depres- sione sud-ovest di Cuma dà 4000 anni. Il problemà del porto antico; in: Taranto: Istituto per la storia e l'archeologia della Magna Grecia (ed.), Cuma. Atti del qua- rantaquattresimo convegno di studi sulla Magna Grecia, Taranto 27 settembre – 10 ottobre 2007 (Taranto 2009) 303–322.
Stiros 2001	S. C. Stiros, The A.D. 365 Crete Earthquake and Possible Seismic Clustering During the Fourth to Sixth Centuries A.D. in the Eastern Mediterranean: a Review of Historical and Archaeological Data, Journal of Structural Geology, 23, 2/3, 545–562.
Torrence – Grattan 2002	R. Torrence – J. Grattan (eds.), Natural Disasters and Cultural Change (London 2002).
Vella et al. 2005	C. Vella – TJ. Fleury – G. Raccasi – M. Provansal – F. Sabatier – M. Bourcier, Evolution of the Rhône Delta Plain in the Holocene, Marine Geology 222/223, 2005, 235–265.
Véron et al. 2006	A. Véron – J. P. Goiran – C. Morhange – N. Marriner – JY. Empereur, Pollutant Lead Reveals the Pre-hellenistic Occupation and Antique Growth of Alexandria, Egypt, Geophysical Research Letters 33, 2006, L06409, 1–4.
Virchow 1879	R. Virchow, Beiträge zur Landeskunde der Troas, Abhandlungen der königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin Physikalische Klasse 3 (Berlin 1879).
Vött et al. 2006	A. Vött – H. Brückner – A. Schriever – J. Luther – M. Handl – K. van der Borg, Holocene Palaeogeographies of the Palairos Coastal Plain (Akarnania, Northwest Greece) and their Geoarchaeological Implications, Geoarchaeology 21, 7, 2006, 649–664.
Vött et al. 2007	A. Vött –A. Schriever – M. Handl – H. Brückner, Holocene Palaeogeographies of the Central Acheloos River Delta (NW Greece) in the Vicinity of the Ancient Seaport Oiniadai, Geodinamica Acta 20, 4, 2007, 241–256.
Walter 2008	F. Walter, Catastrophes, une histoire culturelle XVI°–XXI° siècle (Paris 2008).
Wagner et al. 2003	G. A. Wagner – E. Pernicka – HP. Uerpmann (eds.), Troia and the Troad. Scientific Approaches, Natural Science in Archaeology 16 (Berlin – Heidelberg 2003).
Weiss et al. 1993	H. Weiss – MA. Courty – W. Wetterstrom – F. Guichard – L. Senior – R. Meadow – A. Curnow, The Genesis and Collapse of Third Millennium North Mesopotamian Civilization, Science 261, 5124, 1993, 995–1004.
Zviely et al. 2006	D. Zviely – D. Sivan – A. Ecker – N. Bakler – V. Rohrlich – E. Galili – E. Boaretto – M. Klein – E. Kit, Holocene Evolution of the Haifa Bay Area, Israel, and its Influence on Ancient Tell Settlements, The Holocene 16, 6, 2006, 849–861.